

## ON $\mathcal{I}$ -CONVERGENCE ALMOST SURELY OF COMPLEX UNCERTAIN SEQUENCES

S. DEBNATH<sup>1\*</sup>, A. HALDER<sup>1</sup>, §

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we explore the concepts of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence almost surely and  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergence almost surely in complex uncertain theory and study some of their properties and identify the relationships between them. Also, we introduced the notions of  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -Cauchy sequence almost surely of complex uncertain sequences and investigate their relationships.

**Keywords:** Uncertainty theory, complex uncertain variable,  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence,  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergence.

**AMS Subject Classification (2020):** 60B10, 40A35, 40G15.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Characteristics of different types of convergences of a sequence are making a huge impact on mathematical analysis. The concept of statistical convergence, which is an extension of the usual idea of convergence, was introduced by Fast[9] and Steinhaus[27], individually in the year 1951. But the research on this concept got flourish soon after the works of Šalát[23] and Fridy[10] came into literature. As an extension of statistical convergence, the idea of  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergence was introduced by Kostyrko et al.[15]. Thereafter, lots of interesting developments have occurred in  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence and related topics like Savaş and Das[24, 25], Mursaleen et al.[18], Debnath and Rakshit[6], Savaş et al.[26], Choudhury and Debnath[2], Hazarika[11], and many more.

There are various types of problems in the real world that have uncertainty. Dealing with these important problems along with uncertainty is challenging work. In 2007, Liu[16] proposed a theory, named uncertainty theory to overcome these specific types of

---

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Tripura University (A Central University), Suryamaninagar-799022, Agartala, India.

e-mail: shyamalnitamath@gmail.com; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2804-6564>.

\* Corresponding author.

e-mail: mit2905halder@gmail.com; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3059-7202>.

§ Manuscript received: September 12, 2022; accepted: December 19, 2022.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.14, No.3 © Işık University, Department of Mathematics, 2024; all rights reserved.

problems. Later, he[17] also improved this theory in 2009. Afterward, uncertainty theory made an entry into the area of mathematics, and it has become an active area of research. In [16], Liu defined different types of convergence of a sequence of real uncertain variables namely convergence almost surely (a.s.), convergence in measure, convergence in mean, and also convergence in distribution. Then You[29] defined convergence uniformly almost surely (u.a.s.) and gave the relationships among all these different convergence of a sequence of real uncertain variables. Chen et al.[1] extended this work by introducing these various convergences for the sequence of complex uncertain variables. To know more about complex uncertain variables, we may refer to[21, 30, 31]. Recently, Tripathy and Nath[28] defined statistical convergence for complex uncertain sequences. After that, many researchers investigated the nature of convergence of sequences in an uncertain environment like Dowari and Tripathy[7, 8], Das et al.[3], Debnath and Das[4, 5], Debnath and Rakshit[6], Khan et al.[12], Kişi[13, 14], Nath and Tripathy[20], Saha et al.[22] and many more.

Inspired by the above works, in this article, we introduce the notion of  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergence almost surely in complex uncertain theory, examined several properties, and identify the relationships between  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergence almost surely of complex uncertain sequence. Moreover, we define  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -Cauchy sequence almost surely of complex uncertain sequence. It can be observe that if  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_d$ , then  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence almost surely coincide with statistical convergence almost surely of complex uncertain sequence and if  $\mathcal{I}$  be an admissible ideal, then  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergence almost surely coincide with  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence almost surely but the converse is not true.

## 2. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we provide some basic definitions and results on generalized convergence concepts and the theory of uncertainty which will be used throughout the article.

**Definition 2.1.** [15] A non-void class  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is called an ideal if  $\mathcal{I}$  is additive (i.e.,  $A, B \in \mathcal{I} \implies A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ ) and hereditary (i.e.,  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $B \subseteq A \implies B \in \mathcal{I}$ ). An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is said to be non-trivial if  $\mathcal{I} \neq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ . A non-trivial ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is said to be admissible if  $\mathcal{I}$  contains every finite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Example 2.2.** (i)  $\mathcal{I}_f :=$  The set of all finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  forms a non-trivial admissible ideal.

(ii)  $\mathcal{I}_d :=$  The set of all subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  whose natural density is zero forms a non-trivial admissible ideal.

**Definition 2.3.** [10] A sequence  $(x_n)$  is said to be statistically convergent to  $\ell$  provided that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : |x_k - \ell| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 2.4.** [15] A sequence  $(x_n)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $\ell$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : |x_n - \ell| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$ . The usual convergence of sequences is a special case of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence ( $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_f$ -the ideal of all finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ ). The statistical convergence of sequences is also a special case of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence. In this case,  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_d = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{N} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap \{1, 2, \dots, n\}|}{n} = 0\}$ , where  $|A|$  is the cardinality of the set  $A$ .

**Definition 2.5.** [15] Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$ . A sequence  $(x_n)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent to  $\ell$ , if there exists a set  $A = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_n < \dots\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_{m_n} - \ell| = 0.$$

**Definition 2.6.** [19] A sequence  $(x_n)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cauchy, if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : |x_n - x_{n_0}| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$ .

**Definition 2.7.** [19] A sequence  $(x_n)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -Cauchy, if there exists a set  $A = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_n < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$  such that the subsequence  $(x_{m_n})$  is a Cauchy sequence i.e.,  $\lim_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} |x_{m_i} - x_{m_j}| = 0$ .

**Definition 2.8.** [15] An admissible ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  is said to satisfy the condition AP, if for every countable family of mutually disjoint sets  $\{C_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  from  $\mathcal{I}$ , there exists a countable family of sets  $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that the symmetric difference  $C_j \Delta B_j$  is finite for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j \in \mathcal{I}$ .

**Definition 2.9.** [16] Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on a nonempty set  $\Gamma$ . A set function  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $\Gamma$  is called an uncertain measure if it satisfies the following axioms:

Axiom 1 (Normality):  $\mathcal{M}\{\Gamma\} = 1$ ;

Axiom 2 (Duality):  $\mathcal{M}\{\Lambda\} + \mathcal{M}\{\Lambda^c\} = 1$  for any  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}$ ;

Axiom 3 (Subadditivity): For every countable sequence of  $\{\Lambda_j\} \in \mathcal{L}$ ,

$$\mathcal{M}\left\{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_j\right\} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}\{\Lambda_j\}.$$

The triplet  $(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$  is called an uncertainty space, and each element  $\Lambda$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  is called an event. To obtain an uncertain measure of compound event, a product uncertain measure is defined by Liu[17] as:

$$\mathcal{M}\left\{\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_k\right\} = \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}\{\Lambda_k\}.$$

**Definition 2.10.** [21] A variable  $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$  from an uncertainty space  $(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$  to the set of complex numbers is a complex uncertain variable if and only if  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are uncertain variables, where  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are the real and imaginary parts of  $\zeta$ , respectively.

**Definition 2.11.** [1] A complex uncertain sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  is said to be convergent almost surely (a.s) to  $\zeta$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an event  $\Lambda$  with  $\mathcal{M}\{\Lambda\} = 1$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\zeta_n(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| = 0, \text{ for every } \gamma \in \Lambda.$$

Symbolically we write  $\zeta_n \xrightarrow{A_s} \zeta$ .

**Definition 2.12.** [28] A complex uncertain sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  is said to be statistically convergent almost surely to  $\zeta$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an event  $\Lambda$  with  $\mathcal{M}\{\Lambda\} = 1$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ k \leq n : \|\zeta_k(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0, \text{ for every } \gamma \in \Lambda.$$

Symbolically we write  $\zeta_n \xrightarrow{SAs} \zeta$ .

**Definition 2.13.** A complex uncertain sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an event  $\Lambda$  with  $\mathcal{M}\{\Lambda\} = 1$  such that

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| \geq \varepsilon\right\} \in \mathcal{I}, \text{ for every } \gamma \in \Lambda.$$

Symbolically we write  $\zeta_n \xrightarrow{A_s(\mathcal{I})} \zeta$ .

Throughout the paper, we consider  $\mathcal{I}$  is a non-trivial admissible ideal of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 3.1.** If a complex uncertain sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  is convergent almost surely to  $\zeta$ , then  $(\zeta_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta$ .

*Proof.* It follows directly from the fact that  $\mathcal{I}_f \subset \mathcal{I}$ . □

**Remark 3.2.** But the converse of Theorem 3.1 is not true in general.

**Example 3.3.** Consider the uncertainty space  $(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$  to be  $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots\}$  with power set and  $\mathcal{M}\{\Gamma\} = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{M}\{\phi\} = 0$  and

$$\mathcal{M}\{\Lambda\} = \begin{cases} \sup_{\gamma_n \in \Lambda} \frac{n}{(2n+1)}, & \text{if } \sup_{\gamma_n \in \Lambda} \frac{n}{(2n+1)} < \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 - \sup_{\gamma_n \in \Lambda^c} \frac{n}{(2n+1)}, & \text{if } \sup_{\gamma_n \in \Lambda^c} \frac{n}{(2n+1)} < \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots.$$

Also, the complex uncertain variables defined by

$$\zeta_n(\gamma) = \begin{cases} i\beta_n, & \text{if } \gamma \in \{\gamma_1, \gamma_4, \gamma_9, \dots\} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

$$\text{where } \beta_n = \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } n = k^2, k \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

and  $\zeta \equiv 0$ . Take  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_d$ .

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and there exists an event  $\Lambda$  with  $\mathcal{M}\{\Lambda\} = 1$ , we have

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| \geq \varepsilon\right\} = \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n(\gamma)\| \geq \varepsilon\right\}$$

$$= \left\{1, 4, 9, \dots\right\} \in \mathcal{I} \text{ for every } \gamma \in \Lambda.$$

Thus the sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta \equiv 0$  but it is not convergent almost surely to  $\zeta \equiv 0$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $\zeta, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots$  be complex uncertain variables defined on the uncertainty space  $(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$ . If  $\zeta_n \xrightarrow{A_s(\mathcal{I})} \zeta$ , then  $\zeta$  is uniquely determined.

*Proof.* If possible let  $\zeta_n \xrightarrow{A_s(\mathcal{I})} \zeta$ , and  $\zeta_n \xrightarrow{A_s(\mathcal{I})} \zeta^*$  for some  $\zeta(\gamma) \neq \zeta^*(\gamma)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$A = \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}) \text{ and}$$

$$B = \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n(\gamma) - \zeta^*(\gamma)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}).$$

Since  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$  and  $\phi \notin \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$  this implies  $A \cap B \neq \phi$ . Let  $m \in A \cap B$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \|\zeta_m(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ and } \|\zeta_m(\gamma) - \zeta^*(\gamma)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ \text{Therefore } \|\zeta(\gamma) - \zeta^*(\gamma)\| &= \|\zeta_m(\gamma) - \zeta^*(\gamma) + \zeta(\gamma) - \zeta_m(\gamma)\| \\ &\leq \|\zeta_m(\gamma) - \zeta^*(\gamma)\| + \|\zeta(\gamma) - \zeta_m(\gamma)\| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Hence the results. □

**Theorem 3.5.** *If the complex uncertain sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  and  $(\zeta_n^*)$  are  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta^*$ , respectively, then*

- (i)  $(\zeta_n + \zeta_n^*)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta + \zeta^*$ .
- (ii)  $\zeta_n - \zeta_n^*$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta - \zeta^*$ .
- (iii)  $(c\zeta_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $c\zeta$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then  $A = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \left( \|\zeta_n(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) \right\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$

and  $B = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \left( \|\zeta_n^*(\gamma) - \zeta^*(\gamma)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) \right\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$ .

Since  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$  and  $\phi \notin \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$  this implies  $A \cap B \neq \phi$ . Therefore for all  $n \in A \cap B$  we have,

$$\|(\zeta_n(\gamma) + \zeta_n^*(\gamma)) - (\zeta(\gamma) + \zeta^*(\gamma))\| \leq \|\zeta_n(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| + \|\zeta_n^*(\gamma) - \zeta^*(\gamma)\| < \varepsilon.$$

i.e,  $\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \|(\zeta_k + \zeta_k^*) - (\zeta + \zeta^*)\| < \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$ .

Hence  $(\zeta_n + \zeta_n^*)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta + \zeta^*$ .

(ii) It is similar to the proof of (i) above and therefore omitted.

(iii) The proof is easy so omitted. □

**Theorem 3.6.** *If the complex uncertain sequences  $(\zeta_n)$  and  $(\zeta_n^*)$  are  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta^*$ , respectively, and there exist positive numbers  $p_1, p, q_1$ , and  $q$  such that  $p_1 \leq \|\zeta_n\|, \|\zeta\| \leq p$  and  $q_1 \leq \|\zeta_n^*\|, \|\zeta^*\| \leq q$  for any  $n$ , then*

- (i)  $(\zeta_n \zeta_n^*)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta \zeta^*$ .
- (ii)  $\left(\frac{\zeta_n}{\zeta_n^*}\right)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\frac{\zeta}{\zeta^*}$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $p, q > 0$  then

$$A = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n - \zeta\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2q} \right\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$$

$$\text{and } B = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n^* - \zeta^*\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2p} \right\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}).$$

Since  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$  and  $\phi \notin \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$  this implies  $A \cap B \neq \phi$ . Therefore for all  $n \in A \cap B$  we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\zeta_n \zeta_n^* - \zeta \zeta^*\| &= \|\zeta_n \zeta_n^* - \zeta_n \zeta^* + \zeta_n \zeta^* - \zeta \zeta^*\| \\ &\leq \|\zeta_n \zeta_n^* - \zeta_n \zeta^*\| + \|\zeta_n \zeta^* - \zeta \zeta^*\| \\ &\leq p \|\zeta_n^* - \zeta^*\| + q \|\zeta_n - \zeta\|. \\ &< \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

i.e,  $\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n \zeta_n^* - \zeta \zeta^*\| < \varepsilon\right\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$ .

Hence  $(\zeta_n \zeta_n^*)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta \zeta^*$ .

(ii) It is similar to the proof of (i) above and therefore omitted.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** *If every subsequence of a complex uncertain sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta$ , then  $(\zeta_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta$ .*

*Proof.* If possible let, every subsequence of a complex uncertain sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta$ , but  $(\zeta_n)$  is not  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta$ . Then there exists some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$A = \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| \geq \varepsilon\right\} \notin \mathcal{I}.$$

So A must be an infinite set. Let  $A = \{n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_j < \dots\}$ . Now we define a sequence  $(\zeta_j^*)$  as  $\zeta_j^* = \zeta_{n_j}$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $(\zeta_j^*)$  is a subsequence of  $(\zeta_n)$  which is not  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark 3.8.** *But the converse of Theorem 3.7 is not true in general.*

**Example 3.9.** *From Example 3.3, we see that the complex uncertain sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta \equiv 0$ .*

*Now we define a subsequence  $(\zeta_m^*)$  of  $(\zeta_n)$  by*

*$(\zeta_m^*) = (\zeta_{m_n})$ , where  $m_n = n^2, n \in \mathbb{N}$  which is not  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta \equiv 0$ .*

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $(\zeta_n)$  and  $(\zeta_n^*)$  be two complex uncertain sequences such that  $(\zeta_n^*)$  be convergent almost surely to  $\zeta$  and  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \zeta_n \neq \zeta_n^*\} \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then  $(\zeta_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta$ .*

*Proof.* Let the complex uncertain sequences  $(\zeta_n^*)$  be convergent almost surely to  $\zeta$  and  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \zeta_n \neq \zeta_n^*\} \in \mathcal{I}$ .

Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n^*(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| \geq \varepsilon\right\}$  is a finite set and therefore

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n^*(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| \geq \varepsilon\right\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Now  $\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| \geq \varepsilon\right\}$

$$\subseteq \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n^*(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| \geq \varepsilon\right\} \cup \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \zeta_n \neq \zeta_n^*\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Hence the sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Definition 3.11.** *Let  $\zeta, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots$  be complex uncertain variables defined on uncertainty space  $(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$ . A complex uncertain sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta$  if there exists a set  $A = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_n < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$  and an event  $\Lambda$  with  $\mathcal{M}\{\Lambda\} = 1$  such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\zeta_{m_n}(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| = 0, \text{ for every } \gamma \in \Lambda.$$

*Symbolically we write  $\zeta_n \xrightarrow{A_s(\mathcal{I}^*)} \zeta$ .*

**Example 3.12.** Consider the uncertainty space  $(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$  to be  $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots\}$  with power set and  $\mathcal{M}\{\Gamma\} = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{M}\{\phi\} = 0$  and

$$\mathcal{M}\{\Lambda\} = \sum_{\gamma_n \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{2^n}$$

Also, the complex uncertain variables defined by

$$\zeta_n(\gamma) = \begin{cases} i\beta_n, & \text{if } \gamma = \gamma_n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

$$\text{where } \beta_n = \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } n = k^2, k \in \mathbb{N} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

and  $\zeta \equiv 0$ . Take  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_d$ .

Then there exists a set  $A = (\mathbb{N} \setminus B) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$ , where  $B = \{1, 4, 9, \dots\} \in \mathcal{I}$  for which

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\zeta_{m_n}(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| = 0$$

for every  $\gamma \in \Lambda$  with  $\mathcal{M}\{\Lambda\} = 1$ .

Thus the sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta \equiv 0$ .

**Theorem 3.13.** If  $\zeta_n \xrightarrow{A_s(\mathcal{I}^*)} \zeta$ , then  $\zeta_n \xrightarrow{A_s(\mathcal{I})} \zeta$ .

*Proof.* Let us assume that  $\zeta_n \xrightarrow{A_s(\mathcal{I}^*)} \zeta$ . Then there exists a set  $A = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_n < \dots\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$ , and for every  $\varepsilon > 0 \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and there exists an event  $\Lambda$  with  $\mathcal{M}\{\Lambda\} = 1$  such that

$$\|\zeta_{m_n}(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| < \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq n_0$$

and for every  $\gamma \in \Lambda$ .

Let  $Y = \mathbb{N} \setminus A$ . It is clear that  $Y \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$U(\gamma, \varepsilon) = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \subseteq Y \cup \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_{n_0}\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Hence  $\zeta_n \xrightarrow{A_s(\mathcal{I})} \zeta$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 3.14.** But the converse of Theorem 3.13 is not true in general.

**Example 3.15.** Let  $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} D_j$ , where  $D_j = \{2^{j-1}k : 2 \text{ does not divide } k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be the decomposition of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that each  $D_j$  is infinite and  $D_j \cap D_k = \phi$ , for  $j \neq k$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the class of all subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  that can intersect only finite number of  $D_j$ 's. Then  $\mathcal{I}$  is a nontrivial admissible ideal of  $\mathbb{N}$  (Kostyrko et al.[15]).

Now we consider the uncertainty space  $(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$  to be  $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots\}$  with power set and  $\mathcal{M}\{\Gamma\} = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{M}\{\phi\} = 0$  and

$$\mathcal{M}\{\Lambda\} = \begin{cases} \sup_{\gamma_n \in \Lambda} \frac{n}{(2n+1)}, & \text{if } \sup_{\gamma_n \in \Lambda} \frac{n}{(2n+1)} < \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 - \sup_{\gamma_n \in \Lambda^c} \frac{n}{(2n+1)}, & \text{if } \sup_{\gamma_n \in \Lambda^c} \frac{n}{(2n+1)} < \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots.$$

Also, the complex uncertain variables are defined by

$$\zeta_n(\gamma) = i\beta_n \text{ if } \gamma \in \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots\},$$

where  $\beta_n = \frac{1}{j}$ , if  $n \in D_j$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and  $\zeta \equiv 0$ .

It is clear that the sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta \equiv 0$ . But this sequence is not  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta \equiv 0$ . Because for any set  $H \in \mathcal{I}$  there exists  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $H \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^p D_j$  and as a consequence  $D_{p+1} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \setminus H$ . Let  $A = \mathbb{N} \setminus H$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$  for which we can define a subsequence  $\zeta_{m_n}$  which is not convergent almost surely to  $\zeta \equiv 0$ . Hence the sequence  $\zeta_n$  is not  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta \equiv 0$ .

**Theorem 3.16.** Let  $(\zeta_n)$  be a complex uncertain sequence in an uncertainty space  $(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$  such that  $\zeta_n \xrightarrow{A_s(\mathcal{I})} \zeta$ , then  $\zeta_n \xrightarrow{A_s(\mathcal{I}^*)} \zeta$  if  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfies the condition (AP).

*Proof.* Let us assume that  $\zeta_n \xrightarrow{A_s(\mathcal{I})} \zeta$ . Then there exists an event  $\Lambda$  with  $\mathcal{M}\{\Lambda\} = 1$  and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $U(\gamma, \varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$  for every  $\gamma \in \Lambda$ . Now we construct a countable family of mutually disjoint sets  $\{U_k(\gamma)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{I}$  by considering

$$U_1(\gamma) = \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| \geq 1\right\}$$

and

$$U_k(\gamma) = \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{k} \leq \|\zeta_n(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| < \frac{1}{k-1}\right\} = U\left(\gamma, \frac{1}{k}\right) \setminus U\left(\gamma, \frac{1}{k-1}\right)$$

Since  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfies the condition (AP), so for the above countable collection  $\{U_k(\gamma)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  there exists another countable family of subsets  $\{V_k(\gamma)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $U_j(\gamma) \Delta V_j(\gamma)$  is finite for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $V(\gamma) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} V_j(\gamma) \in \mathcal{I}$ .

Let  $\delta > 0$  be arbitrary. By Archimedean property we can choose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{k+1} < \delta$ . Then

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| \geq \delta\right\} \subseteq \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| \geq \frac{1}{k+1}\right\} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k+1} U_j(\gamma) \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Since  $U_j(\gamma) \Delta V_j(\gamma)$  is finite ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, k+1$ ) there exists an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{k+1} V_j(\gamma) \cap (n_0, \infty) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k+1} U_j(\gamma) \cap (n_0, \infty).$$

Choose  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus V(\gamma) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$  such that  $n > n_0$ . Therefore we must have  $n \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{k+1} V_j(\gamma)$  and

so  $n \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{k+1} U_j(\gamma)$ . Then there exists an event  $\Lambda$  with  $\mathcal{M}\{\Lambda\} = 1$   $\|\zeta_n(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| < \frac{1}{k+1} < \varepsilon$

for every  $\gamma \in \Lambda$ . Hence  $\zeta_n \xrightarrow{A_s(\mathcal{I}^*)} \zeta$ . □

**Definition 3.17.** Suppose that  $\zeta, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots$  are complex uncertain variables defined on the uncertainty space  $(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$ . A complex uncertain sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cauchy



sequence almost surely if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and an event  $\Lambda$  with  $\mathcal{M}\{\Lambda\} = 1$  such that

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n(\gamma) - \zeta_{n_0}(\gamma)\| \geq \varepsilon\right\} \in \mathcal{I}, \text{ for every } \gamma \in \Lambda.$$

**Theorem 3.18.** *If a complex uncertain sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta$  then it is  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cauchy sequence almost surely.*

*Proof.* Let the complex uncertain sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  be  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and there exists an event  $\Lambda$  with  $\mathcal{M}\{\Lambda\} = 1$ , we have

$$U(\gamma, \varepsilon) = \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| \geq \varepsilon\right\} \in \mathcal{I} \text{ for every } \gamma \in \Lambda.$$

Clearly,  $\mathbb{N} \setminus U(\gamma, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$  and therefore it is non-empty. Choose  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \setminus U(\gamma, \varepsilon)$ . Then we have

$$\|\zeta_{n_0}(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| < \varepsilon \text{ for every } \gamma \in \Lambda.$$

Let  $V(\gamma, \varepsilon) = \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n(\gamma) - \zeta_{n_0}(\gamma)\| \geq 2\varepsilon\right\} \in \mathcal{I}$  for every  $\gamma \in \Lambda$ . Now we prove that the following inclusion is true  $V(\gamma, \varepsilon) \subseteq U(\gamma, \varepsilon)$ .

For if  $r \in V(\gamma, \varepsilon)$  we have

$$2\varepsilon \leq \|\zeta_r(\gamma) - \zeta_{n_0}(\gamma)\| \leq \|\zeta_r(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| + \|\zeta_{n_0}(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| < \|\zeta_r(\gamma) - \zeta(\gamma)\| + \varepsilon,$$

which implies  $r \in U(\gamma, \varepsilon)$ . Thus we conclude that  $V(\gamma, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{I}$ , i.e.,  $(\zeta_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cauchy sequence almost surely.  $\square$

**Definition 3.19.** *Let  $\zeta, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots$  be complex uncertain variables defined on uncertainty space  $(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$ . A complex uncertain sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -Cauchy sequence almost surely if there exists a set  $A = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_n < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$  and an event  $\Lambda$  with  $\mathcal{M}\{\Lambda\} = 1$  such that*

$$\lim_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} \|\zeta_{m_i}(\gamma) - \zeta_{m_j}(\gamma)\| = 0, \text{ for every } \gamma \in \Lambda.$$

**Theorem 3.20.** *If a complex uncertain sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -Cauchy sequence almost surely then it is  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cauchy sequence almost surely.*

*Proof.* Let the complex uncertain sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -Cauchy sequence almost surely. Then there exists a set  $A = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_n < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$  and there exists an event  $\Lambda$  with  $\mathcal{M}\{\Lambda\} = 1$  such that

$$\|\zeta_{m_n}(\gamma) - \zeta_{m_k}(\gamma)\| < \varepsilon \quad \forall n, k \geq n_0$$

and for every  $\gamma \in \Lambda$ .

Let  $Y = \mathbb{N} \setminus A$ . It is clear that  $Y \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$U(\gamma, \varepsilon) = \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n(\gamma) - \zeta_{n_0}(\gamma)\| \geq \varepsilon\right\} \subseteq Y \cup \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_{n_0}\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Hence the sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cauchy sequence almost surely and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 3.21.** *But the converse of Theorem 3.20 is not true in general.*

**Example 3.22.** Let  $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} D_j$ , where  $D_j = \{2^{j-1}k : 2 \text{ does not divide } k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be the decomposition of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that each  $D_j$  is infinite and  $D_j \cap D_k = \emptyset$ , for  $j \neq k$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the class of all subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  that can intersect only finite number of  $D_j$ 's. Then  $\mathcal{I}$  is a nontrivial admissible ideal of  $\mathbb{N}$  (Kostyrko et al.[15]).

Now we consider the uncertainty space  $(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$  to be  $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots\}$  with power set and  $\mathcal{M}\{\Gamma\} = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{M}\{\emptyset\} = 0$  and

$$\mathcal{M}\{\Lambda\} = \sum_{\gamma_n \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{2^n} \text{ for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots.$$

Also, the complex uncertain variables are defined by

$$\zeta_n(\gamma) = i\beta_n \text{ if } \gamma \in \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots\},$$

where  $\beta_n = \frac{1}{j+1}$ , if  $n \in D_j$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and  $\zeta \equiv 0$ .

It is clear that the sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent almost surely to  $\zeta \equiv 0$ .

By the theorem 3.18 the sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cauchy sequence almost surely.

Next, we shall show that the complex uncertain sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  is not  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -Cauchy sequence almost surely. For this, if possible assume that the sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -Cauchy sequence almost surely. Then  $\exists$  a set  $A = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_n < \dots\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0 \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and there exists an event  $\Lambda$  with  $\mathcal{M}\{\Lambda\} = 1$  such that

$$\|\zeta_{m_n}(\gamma) - \zeta_{m_k}(\gamma)\| < \varepsilon \quad \forall n, k \geq n_0 \quad (1)$$

and for every  $\gamma \in \Lambda$ .

Since  $\mathbb{N} \setminus A \in \mathcal{I}$  so there exists a  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathbb{N} \setminus A \subset D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \dots \cup D_r$ . But  $D_i \subset A \quad \forall i > r$ . In particular  $D_{r+1}, D_{r+2} \subset A$ . We see that from the construction of  $D_j$ 's, for given any  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  there are  $m_n \in D_{r+1}$  and  $m_k \in D_{r+2}$  such that  $m_n, m_k \geq n_0$ . Therefore

$$\|\zeta_{m_n}(\gamma) - \zeta_{m_k}(\gamma)\| = \left\| \frac{i}{r+1} - \frac{i}{r+2} \right\| = \frac{1}{(r+1)(r+2)}$$

If we take  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{3(r+1)(r+2)}$ , then there is no  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  whenever  $m_n, m_k \in M$  with  $m_n, m_k \geq n_0$  such that the equation (1) is holds. This is a contradiction so our assumption was wrong and hence  $(\zeta_n)$  is not  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -Cauchy sequence almost surely.

**Theorem 3.23.** Let  $(\zeta_n)$  be a complex uncertain sequence in an uncertainty space  $(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$  such that  $(\zeta_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cauchy sequence almost surely, then  $(\zeta_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -Cauchy sequence almost surely if  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfies the condition (AP).

*Proof.* Let  $(\zeta_n)$  be an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cauchy sequence almost surely. Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and there exists an event  $\Lambda$  with  $\mathcal{M}\{\Lambda\} = 1$  such that

$$U(\varepsilon, \gamma) = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n(\gamma) - \zeta_{n_0}(\gamma)\| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I} \text{ for every } \gamma \in \Lambda.$$

In particular, for  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{i}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $V_i(\gamma) = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\zeta_n(\gamma) - \zeta_{n_0}(\gamma)\| < \frac{1}{i} \right\}$  for every  $\gamma \in \Lambda$  with  $\mathcal{M}\{\Lambda\} = 1$ .

Since  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfies the condition (AP), then by Lemma (4) of [19], there exists a set  $A =$

$\{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_n < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$  and  $A \setminus V_i$  is finite for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Archimedean property, we choose  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{2}{j_0} < \varepsilon$ . Then  $A \setminus V_{j_0}$  is a finite set, so there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m_n, m_k \in V_{j_0}$  for all  $n, k \geq n_0$ , i.e.,  $\|\zeta_{m_n}(\gamma) - \zeta_{n_0}(\gamma)\| < \frac{1}{j_0}$  and  $\|\zeta_{m_k}(\gamma) - \zeta_{n_0}(\gamma)\| < \frac{1}{j_0}$  for all  $n, k \geq n_0$  and for every  $\gamma \in \Lambda$  with  $\mathcal{M}\{\Lambda\} = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \|\zeta_{m_n}(\gamma) - \zeta_{m_k}(\gamma)\| &= \|\zeta_{m_n}(\gamma) - \zeta_{n_0}(\gamma) - \zeta_{m_k}(\gamma) + \zeta_{n_0}(\gamma)\| \\ &\leq \|\zeta_{m_n}(\gamma) - \zeta_{n_0}(\gamma)\| + \|\zeta_{m_k}(\gamma) - \zeta_{n_0}(\gamma)\| \\ &< \frac{1}{j_0} + \frac{1}{j_0} = \frac{2}{j_0} < \varepsilon \quad \forall n, k \geq n_0 \end{aligned}$$

and for every  $\gamma \in \Lambda$  with  $\mathcal{M}\{\Lambda\} = 1$ .

Hence, the complex uncertain sequence is  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -Cauchy sequence almost surely.  $\square$

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The main contribution of this paper is to provide the notion of  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent almost surely of complex uncertain sequence and study some of its properties and identify the relationships between  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent almost surely of complex uncertain sequence. Also, we define  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -Cauchy sequence almost surely and study the relationship between them. These ideas and results are expected to be a source for researchers in the area of convergence of complex uncertain sequences. Also, these concepts can be generalized and applied for further studies.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The second author is grateful to the **Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, India** for their fellowships funding under the **CSIR-JRF** scheme (**File No: 09/0714(11674)/2021-EMR-I**) during the preparation of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Chen, X., Ning, Y. and Wang, X., (2016), Convergence of complex uncertain sequences, *J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst.*, 30(6), pp. 3357-3366.
- [2] Choudhury, C., Debnath, S., (2022), On  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistical convergence of sequences in gradual normed linear spaces, *Mat. Vesnik*, 74(3), pp. 218-228.
- [3] Das, B., Debnath, P. and Tripathy, B.C., (2022), On statistically convergent of complex uncertain sequences. *Carpathian Math. Publ.*, 14 (1), pp. 135-146.
- [4] Debnath, S., Das, B., (2021), On Rough Statistical Convergence of Complex Uncertain Sequences, *New Math. Nat. Comput.*, doi:10.1142/S1793005722500454.
- [5] Debnath, S., Das, B., (2021), Statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$  for complex uncertain sequences, *J. Uncertain Syst.*, 14(02), doi:10.1142/S1752890921500124.
- [6] Debnath, S., Rakshit, D., (2018), On  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistical convergence, *Iranian J. Math. Sci. Inform.*, 13, pp. 101-109.
- [7] Dowari, P. J., Tripathy, B. C., (2019), Lacunary statistical convergence of sequences of complex uncertain variables, *Sigma*, 10(3), pp. 277-286.
- [8] Dowari, P. J., Tripathy, B. C., (2021), Lacunary Convergence of Sequences of Complex Uncertain Variables, *Malaysian J. Math. Sci.*, 15(1), pp. 91-108.
- [9] Fast, H., (1951), Sur la convergence statistique, *Colloq. Math.*, 2(3-4), pp. 241-244.
- [10] Fridy, J. A., (1985), On statistically convergence, *Analysis*, 5, pp. 301-313.
- [11] Hazarika, B., (2014), Ideal convergence in locally solid Riesz spaces, *Filomat*, 28(4), pp. 797-809.

- [12] Khan, V. A., Khan, I. A. and Hazarika, B., (2022), On  $\mu$ -deferred  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -statistical convergence of double sequence of complex uncertain variables, Rev. Real Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A-Mat., 116, 121, doi:10.1007/s13398-022-01261-1.
- [13] Kişi, Ö., (2019),  $S_\lambda(\mathcal{I})$ -convergence of complex uncertain sequences, Mat. Stud., 51(2), pp. 183-194.
- [14] Kişi, Ö., Ünal, H. K., (2019), Lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_\sigma$ -statistical convergence of complex uncertain sequence, Sigma J. Eng. & Nat. Sci., 37(2), pp. 507-520.
- [15] Kostyrko, P., Mačaj, M., Sleziak, M. and Šalát, T., (2000/2001),  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence, Real Anal. Exchange, 26, pp. 669-686.
- [16] Liu, B., (2007), Uncertainty Theory, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag.
- [17] Liu, B., (2009), Some research problems in uncertainty theory, J. Uncertain Syst., 3(1), pp. 3-10.
- [18] Mursaleen, M., Debnath, S. and Rakshit, D., (2018),  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistical limit superior and  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistical limit inferior, Filomat, 31(7), pp. 2103-2108.
- [19] Nabiev, A., Pehlivan, S. and Gürdal, M., (2007), On  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cauchy sequence, Taiwanese J. Math., 11(2), pp. 569-576.
- [20] Nath, P. K., Tripathy, B. C., (2019), Convergent complex uncertain sequences defined by Orlicz function, Ann. Univ. Craiova-Math. Comput. Sci. Ser., 46(1), pp. 139-149.
- [21] Peng, Z., (2012), Complex uncertain variable, Doctoral Dissertation, Tsinghua University.
- [22] Saha, S., Tripathy, B. C. and Roy, S., (2020), On almost convergence of complex uncertain sequences, New Math. Nat. Comput., 16(03), pp. 573-580.
- [23] Šalát, T., (1980), On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers, Math. Slovaca, 30, pp. 139-150.
- [24] Savaş, E., Das, P. (2011), A generalized statistical convergence via ideals, Appl. Math. Lett., 24, pp. 826-830.
- [25] Savaş, E., Das, P. (2014), On  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistically pre-Cauchy sequences, Taiwanese J. Math., 18(1), pp. 115-126.
- [26] Savaş, E., Debnath, S. and Rakshit, D., (2019), On  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistically rough convergence, Publ. Inst. Math., 105(119), pp. 145-150.
- [27] Steinhaus, H., (1951), Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique, Colloq. Math., 2(1), pp. 73-74.
- [28] Tripathy, B. C., Nath, P. K., (2017), Statistical convergence of complex uncertain sequences, New Math. Nat. Comput., 13(3), pp. 359-374.
- [29] You, C., Yan, L. (2009), On the convergence of uncertain sequences, Math. Comput. Modell., 49, pp. 482-487.
- [30] You, C., Yan, L., (2016), Relationships among convergence concepts of uncertain sequences, Comput. Model. New Technol., 20(3), pp. 12-16.
- [31] Wu, J., Xia, Y., (2012), Relationships among convergence concepts of uncertain sequences, Information Sciences, 198, pp. 177-185.



**Shyamal Debnath** has published more than fifty articles in different reputed journals in the field of Sequence Spaces, Summability Theory, Fuzzy Set etc. Currently he is working as an Associate Professor in the Department of Mathematics, Tripura University.



**Amit Halder** has completed his B.Sc and M.Sc in Mathematics at Calcutta University, Kolkata, West Bengal, India. Currently he is working as a research scholar (CSIR-JRF) at the Department of Mathematics, Tripura University under the supervision of Dr. Shyamal Debnath. His research interests includes the Uncertainty Theory, Sequence Spaces, Summability Theory etc.

---

---