

STUDY OF SUBCLASSES OF STARLIKE AND CONVEX FUNCTIONS

M. I. FAISAL, §

ABSTRACT. In this article, we introduce new subclasses of starlike and convex functions by using a new differential operator defined in the open unit disk \mathbb{U} . We study the geometric properties of such subclasses of starlike and convex functions. The vertices, co-vertices, coordinates of foci and equation of directrix are discussed in detail. Finally, we investigate various convolution properties of these subclasses.

Keywords: Analytic functions, Differential operator, Convex functions.

AMS Subject Classification: Primary 30C45

1. INTRODUCTION

Differential operators based on complex valued functions plays an important role in geometric function theory. In recent years, more and more researchers have been interested in studying the differential operators. They have not only introduced new differential operators but also used them for introducing new subclasses of analytic functions. Our motivation by the research works is based on differential operators, (see for example [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]). The articles provides an idea to introduce a new differential operator for forming new subclasses of analytic functions.

Let

$$f(z) = a_1z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_nz^n, \tag{1}$$

and

$$f_i(z) = a_{1,i}z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,i}z^n, g_j(z) = b_{1,j}z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n,j}z^n. \tag{2}$$

The functions analytic and univalent in $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ of the form (1) with $a_1 = 1$ are said to form the class A , and for f_i, g_i we suppose they have the form (1).

The convolution $f * g$ of the functions f and g , given by (1) is defined by $(f * g)(z) = a_1b_1z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_nb_nz^n = (g * f)(z)$.

Department of Mathematics, Taibah University, Universities Road, PO Box: 344, Medina, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

e-mail: faisalmath@gmail.com; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4169-4366>.

§ Manuscript received: December 22, 2018; accepted: May 11, 2019.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.10, No.3 © Işık University, Department of Mathematics, 2020; all rights reserved.

We define the differential operator $\Theta_{\beta,\gamma}^m f(z)$ in 2012 (cf. [8]), as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{\beta,\gamma}^0 f(z) &= f(z), \\ ((\gamma + 1) + \beta)\Theta_{\beta,\gamma}^1 f(z) &= (\beta)f(z) + (\gamma + 1)zf'(z) \\ &= \Theta_{\beta,\gamma} f(z), \\ \Theta_{\beta,\gamma}^2 f(z) &= \Theta_{\beta,\gamma}(\Theta_{\beta,\gamma}^1 f(z)), \\ &\vdots \\ \Theta_{\beta,\gamma}^m f(z) &= \Theta_{\beta,\gamma}(\Theta_{\beta,\gamma}^{m-1} f(z)), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

If f belongs to A then by using (3),

$$\Theta_{\beta,\gamma}^k f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta + n(\gamma + 1)}{\beta + (\gamma + 1)} \right)^k a_n z^n, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \tag{4}$$

Consider,

$$f_{\beta,\gamma}(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta + n(1 + \gamma)}{\beta + (1 + \gamma)} \right)^k z^n.$$

and define

$$f_{\beta,\gamma}(z) * [f_{\beta,\gamma}(z)]^{-1} = \frac{z}{(1 - z)^\mu}, \quad \mu > 0, z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{5}$$

After doing calculation and then using (5), we get

$$\Upsilon_{\beta,\gamma}^{k,\mu} f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \left(\frac{\beta + (1 + \gamma)}{\beta + n(1 + \gamma)} \right)^k a_n z^n. \tag{6}$$

For, $0 \leq \rho < 1$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and $\eta \geq 0$, let $\Theta_{\beta,\gamma}^\mu(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ denote the class of analytic functions f given by (1) with $a_1 = 1$ and satisfying the analytic criterion

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{z[\Upsilon_{\beta,\gamma}^{k,\mu} f(z)]'}{(1 - \rho)\Upsilon_{\beta,\gamma}^{k,\mu} f(z) + \rho z[\Upsilon_{\beta,\gamma}^{k,\mu} f(z)]'} - \delta \right\} > \eta \left| \frac{z[\Upsilon_{\beta,\gamma}^{k,\mu} f(z)]'}{(1 - \rho)\Upsilon_{\beta,\gamma}^{k,\mu} f(z) + \rho z[\Upsilon_{\beta,\gamma}^{k,\mu} f(z)]'} - 1 \right|. \tag{7}$$

In case, for $\rho = 0$, if $f \in \Theta_{\beta,\gamma}^\mu(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$, then $z[\Upsilon_{\beta,\gamma}^{k,\mu} f(z)]'/\Upsilon_{\beta,\gamma}^{k,\mu} f(z)$ belongs to the region of complex plane i.e. $\{w : \Re(w - \delta) > \eta|w - 1|\}$ which contains $w = 1$ and is bounded

by an ellipse $\frac{\left(u - \frac{\eta^2 - \delta}{\eta^2 - 1}\right)^2}{\frac{\eta^2(1 - \delta)^2}{(\eta^2 - 1)^2}} + \frac{v^2}{\frac{(1 - \delta)^2}{\eta^2 - 1}} = 1$ with vertices at the points $\left(\frac{\eta - \delta}{\eta - 1}, 0\right)$, $\left(\frac{\eta + \delta}{\eta + 1}, 0\right)$, $\left(\frac{\eta^2 - \delta}{\eta^2 - 1}, \frac{1 - \delta}{\sqrt{\eta^2 - 1}}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{\eta^2 - \delta}{\eta^2 - 1}, \frac{\delta - 1}{\sqrt{\eta^2 - 1}}\right)$ respectively. By using vertices and co-vertices of ellipse, one can easily get the coordinates of foci and equation of directrix.

$\Theta_{\beta,\gamma}^{\mu,k}(\rho, \delta, \eta)$ denote the class of analytic functions f given by (1) with $a_1 = 1$ and satisfying the analytic criterion

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{[\Upsilon_{\beta,\gamma}^{k,\mu} f(z)]' + z[\Upsilon_{\beta,\gamma}^{k,\mu} f(z)]''}{[\Upsilon_{\beta,\gamma}^{k,\mu} f(z)]' + \rho z[\Upsilon_{\beta,\gamma}^{k,\mu} f(z)]''} - \delta \right\} > \eta \left| \frac{[\Upsilon_{\beta,\gamma}^{k,\mu} f(z)]' + z[\Upsilon_{\beta,\gamma}^{k,\mu} f(z)]''}{[\Upsilon_{\beta,\gamma}^{k,\mu} f(z)]' + \rho z[\Upsilon_{\beta,\gamma}^{k,\mu} f(z)]''} - 1 \right|. \tag{8}$$

For $\rho = 0$, if $f \in \Theta_{\beta,\gamma}^{\mu,k}(\rho, \delta, \eta)$, then $z[\Upsilon_{\beta,\gamma}^{k,\mu} f(z)]''/[\Upsilon_{\beta,\gamma}^{k,\mu} f(z)]'$ belongs to the region of complex plane i.e. $\{w : \Re(1 + w - \delta) > \eta|w|\}$ which contains $w = 1$ and is bounded by an ellipse

$\frac{\left(u - \frac{1 - \delta}{\eta^2 - 1}\right)^2}{\frac{\eta^2(1 - \delta)^2}{(\eta^2 - 1)^2}} + \frac{v^2}{\frac{(1 - \delta)^2}{\eta^2 - 1}} = 1$ with vertices at the points $\left(\frac{1 - \delta}{\eta - 1}, 0\right)$, $\left(\frac{\delta - 1}{\eta + 1}, 0\right)$, $\left(\frac{1 - \delta}{\eta^2 - 1}, \frac{1 - \delta}{\sqrt{\eta^2 - 1}}\right)$

and $\left(\frac{1-\delta}{\eta^2-1}, \frac{\delta-1}{\sqrt{\eta^2-1}}\right)$ respectively. By using vertices and co-vertices of ellipse, one can easily get the coordinates of foci and equation of directrix.

For $\rho = k = 0$, I get the uniformly starlike and convex functions, first studied by Goodman [9, 10] and Ronning [11, 12] respectively. For class of α -uniformly convex function, I refer for study [13]. For special cases of the classes given by (7) and (8), I refer some other papers (for example [14]-[20]). For sake of convenience, we consider $\phi(\beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{\beta+(\gamma+1)}{\beta+n(\gamma+1)}\right)$.

A function f defined by (1) belongs to class $\Theta_{\beta,\gamma}^{\mu}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (\phi(\beta, \gamma))^k [n(1+\eta) - (\delta+\eta)(1+n\rho-\rho)] |a_n| \leq (1-\delta). \quad (9)$$

The class $\Theta_{\beta,\gamma}^{\mu}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ is nonempty and having the functions of the form

$$f(z) = a_1 z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta+n(1+\gamma))^k (1-\delta)(n-1)!}{(\mu)_{n-1} (\beta+(1+\gamma))^k [n(1+\eta) - (\delta+\eta)(1+n\rho-\rho)]} \lambda_n z^n,$$

where $\lambda_n \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n \leq 1$; satisfy the inequality given in (9). Similarly, a function f defined by (1) belongs to class $\Theta_{\beta,\gamma}^{\mu,k}(\rho, \delta, \eta)$ if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (\phi(\beta, \gamma))^k n [n(1+\eta) - (\delta+\eta)(1+n\rho-\rho)] |a_n| \leq (1-\delta). \quad (10)$$

2. MAIN RESULTS (CONVOLUTION PROPERTIES)

Theorem 2.1. Let the functions $f_i |_{i=1}^r$ and $g_j |_{j=1}^q$ defined by (2) belong to the class $\Theta_{\beta,\gamma}^{\mu}(k+1, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ and $\Theta_{\beta,\gamma}^{\mu}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ respectively. Then convolution of $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_r * g_1 * g_2 * \dots * g_q(z)$ belongs to $\Theta_{\beta,\gamma}^{\mu}(r(k+2) + q(k+1) - 1, \rho, \delta, \eta)$.

Proof. For sake of convenience, let convolution of

$$f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_r * g_1 * g_2 * \dots * g_q = G;$$

then obviously,

$$G(z) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^r |a_{1,i}| \right] \left[\prod_{j=1}^q |b_{1,j}| \right] z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{i=1}^r |a_{n,i}| \right] \left[\prod_{j=1}^q |b_{n,j}| \right] z^n.$$

Since $f_i \in \Theta_{\beta,\gamma}^{\mu}(k+1, \rho, \delta, \eta)$, then by using (9), we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (\phi(\beta, \gamma))^{k+1} [n(1+\eta) - (\delta+\eta)(1+n\rho-\rho)] |a_{n,i}| \leq (1-\delta) |a_{1,i}|.$$

Or

$$|a_{n,i}|_{i=1}^r \leq (\phi(\beta, \gamma))^{-k-2} |a_{1,i}|_{i=1}^r. \quad (11)$$

Similarly if $g_j \in \Theta_{\beta,\gamma}^{\mu}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$, then

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (\phi(\beta, \gamma))^k [n(1+\eta) - (\delta+\eta)(1+n\rho-\rho)] |b_{n,j}| \leq (1-\delta) |b_{1,j}|. \quad (12)$$

This implies that

$$|b_{n,j}|_{i=1}^q \leq (\phi(\beta, \gamma))^{-k-1} |b_{1,j}|_{i=1}^q. \quad (13)$$

Now we have to do that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (\phi(\beta, \gamma))^t [n(1+\eta) - (\delta + \eta)(1+n\rho - \rho)] \left[\prod_{i=1}^r |a_{n,i}| \right] \left[\prod_{j=1}^q |b_{n,j}| \right] \leq (1-\delta) \left[\prod_{i=1}^r |a_{1,i}| \right] \left[\prod_{j=1}^q |b_{1,j}| \right].$$

Applying simultaneously (11), (12) and (13) for $|_{i=1}^r, j = q$ and $|_{j=1}^{q-1}$ respectively. Consider $[t = r(k+2) + q(k+1) - 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (\phi(\beta, \gamma))^t [n(1+\eta) - (\delta + \eta)(1+n\rho - \rho)] \left[\prod_{i=1}^r |a_{n,i}| \right] \left[\prod_{j=1}^q |b_{n,j}| \right] \\ & \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (\phi(\beta, \gamma))^t [n(1+\eta) - (\delta + \eta)(1+n\rho - \rho)] [(\phi(\beta, \gamma))^{-r(k+2)} \\ & \quad \times (\phi(\beta, \gamma))^{-(q-1)(k+1)} |b_{n,q}| \prod_{i=1}^r |a_{1,i}| \left[\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} |b_{1,j}| \right] \\ & = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (\phi(\beta, \gamma))^k [n(1+\eta) - (\delta + \eta)(1+n\rho - \rho)] |b_{n,q}| \left[\prod_{i=1}^r |a_{1,i}| \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} |b_{1,j}| \right] \\ & \leq (1-\delta) \left[\prod_{i=1}^r |a_{1,i}| \right] \left[\prod_{j=1}^q |b_{1,j}| \right] \Rightarrow G \in \Theta_{\beta, \gamma}^{\mu}(r(k+2) + q(k+1) - 1, \rho, \delta, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

Corollary 2.1. *Let the functions $f_i |_{i=1}^r$ and $g_j |_{j=1}^q$ defined by (2) belong to the class $\Theta_{\beta, \gamma}^{\mu}(k+1, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ and $\Theta_{\beta, \gamma}^{\mu}(k-1, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ respectively. Then convolution of $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_r * g_1 * g_2 * \dots * g_q(z)$ belongs to $\Theta_{\beta, \gamma}^{\mu}(r(k+2) + qk - 1, \rho, \delta, \eta)$.*

Corollary 2.2. *Let the functions $f_i |_{i=1}^r$ and $g_j |_{j=1}^q$ defined by (2) belong to the class $\Theta_{\beta, \gamma}^{\mu}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ and $\Theta_{\beta, \gamma}^{\mu}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ respectively. Then convolution of $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_r * g_1 * g_2 * \dots * g_q(z)$ belongs to $\Theta_{\beta, \gamma}^{\mu}((r+q)(k+1) - 1, \rho, \delta, \eta)$.*

Theorem 2.2. *Let the function $f_i |_{i=1}^r$ defined by (2) belongs to the class $\Theta_{\beta, \gamma}^{\mu}(k+1, \rho, \delta, \eta)$. Then convolution of $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_r$ belongs to $\Theta_{\beta, \gamma}^{\mu}(r(k+2) - 1, \rho, \delta, \eta)$.*

Proof. We consider $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_r = G$. Since $f_i \in \Theta_{\beta, \gamma}^{\mu}(k+1, \rho, \delta, \eta)$, this implies that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (\phi(\beta, \gamma))^{k+1} [n(1+\eta) - (\delta + \eta)(1+n\rho - \rho)] |a_{n,i}| \leq (1-\delta) |a_{1,i}|. \tag{14}$$

Or

$$|a_{n,i}|_{i=1}^r \leq (\phi(\beta, \gamma))^{-k-2} |a_{1,i}|_{i=1}^r. \tag{15}$$

By using (14) and (15) for $i = r$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$ respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (\phi(\beta, \gamma))^{r(k+2)-1} [n(1+\eta) - (\delta + \eta)(1+n\rho - \rho)] \left[\prod_{i=1}^r |a_{n,i}| \right] \\ & \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (\phi(\beta, \gamma))^{r(k+2)-1} [n(1+\eta) - (\delta + \eta)(1+n\rho - \rho)] |a_{n,r}| \times \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[(\phi(\beta, \gamma))^{-(r-1)(k+2)} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} |a_{1,i}| \right] \\
&= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (\phi(\beta, \gamma))^{k+1} [n(1+\eta) - (\delta + \eta)(1 + n\rho - \rho)] |a_{n,r}| \left[\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} |a_{1,i}| \right] \\
&\leq (1 - \delta) \left[\prod_{i=1}^r |a_{1,i}| \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 2.3. *If the function $g_i \mid_{i=1}^q$ defined by (2) belongs to the class $\Theta_{\beta, \gamma}^{\mu}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$. Then convolution of $g_1 * g_2 * \dots * g_q$ belongs to the class $\Theta_{\beta, \gamma}^{\mu}(q(k+1) - 1, \rho, \delta, \eta)$.*

Proof. Let $g_i \in \Theta_{\beta, \gamma}^{\mu}(k, \rho, \delta, \eta)$, this implies that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (\phi(\beta, \gamma))^k [n(1+\eta) - (\delta + \eta)(1 + n\rho - \rho)] |b_{n,i}| \leq (1 - \delta) |b_{1,i}|, \quad (16)$$

and

$$\frac{(\mu)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (\phi(\beta, \gamma))^k [n(1+\eta) - (\delta + \eta)(1 + n\rho - \rho)] |b_{n,i}|_{i=1}^q \leq (1 - \delta) |b_{1,i}|_{i=1}^q,$$

or

$$|b_{n,i}|_{i=1}^q \leq (\phi(\beta, \gamma))^{-k-1} |b_{1,i}|_{i=1}^q. \quad (17)$$

Now we need to do that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (\phi(\beta, \gamma))^{q(k+1)-1} [n(1+\eta) - (\delta + \eta)(1 + n\rho - \rho)] \left[\prod_{i=1}^q |b_{n,i}| \right] \\
&\leq (1 - \delta) \left[\prod_{i=1}^q |b_{1,i}| \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Using (16) and (17) for $i = r$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, q-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (\phi(\beta, \gamma))^{q(k+1)-1} [n(1+\eta) - (\delta + \eta)(1 + n\rho - \rho)] \left[\prod_{i=1}^q |b_{n,i}| \right] \\
&\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (\phi(\beta, \gamma))^{q(k+1)-1} [n(1+\eta) - (\delta + \eta)(1 + n\rho - \rho)] |b_{n,q}| \times \\
&\quad \left[(\phi(\beta, \gamma))^{-(q-1)(k+1)} \prod_{i=1}^{q-1} |b_{1,i}| \right] \\
&\leq (1 - \delta) \left[\prod_{i=1}^q |b_{1,i}| \right].
\end{aligned}$$

This completes proof of Theorem 2.3. \square

Similarly, we can prove the following Theorems.

Theorem 2.4. *Let the functions $f_i \mid_{i=1}^r$ and $g_j \mid_{j=1}^q$ defined by (2) belong to the class $\Theta_{\beta, \gamma}^{\mu}(k-1, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ and $\Theta_{\beta, \gamma}^{\mu}(k-1, \rho, \delta, \eta)$. Then Hadamard product of $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_r * g_1 * g_2 * \dots * g_q(z)$ belongs to the class $R_{\beta, \gamma}^{\mu}((r+q)k-1, \rho, \delta, \eta)$.*

Theorem 2.5. *Let the functions $f_i \mid_{i=1}^r$ and $g_j \mid_{j=1}^q$ defined by (2) belong to the class $\Theta_{\beta,\gamma}^\mu(k-1, \rho, \delta, \eta)$ and $\Theta_{\beta,\gamma}^\mu(0, \rho, \delta, \eta)$. Then Hadamard product of $f_1 * f_2 * \cdots * f_r * g_1 * g_2 * \cdots * g_q(z)$ belongs to the class $R_{\beta,\gamma}^\mu(rk + q - 1, \rho, \delta, \eta)$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Cho, N. E. and Kim, T. H., (2003), Multiplier transformations and strongly close to convex functions, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 40(3), pp.399–410.
- [2] Uralegaddi, B. A. and Somanatha, C., (1992), Certain classes of univalent functions, in current topics in analytic functions theory, H.M. Srivastava and S. Owa(ed.), World Scientific Company, Singapore, pp.371–374.
- [3] Cho, N. E. and Srivastava, H. M., (2003), Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations, *Math. Comput. Modeling*, 37(1), pp.39–49.
- [4] Salagean, G. S., (1983), Subclasses of univalent functions, *Lecture Notes in Math.*(Springer-Verlag), 1013, pp.362–372.
- [5] Aghalary, R., Ali, R. M., Joshi, S. B. and Ravichandran, V., (2005), Inequalities for analytic functions defined by certain linear operators, *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, 4(2), pp.267–274.
- [6] Kumar, S. S., Taneja, H. C. and Ravichandran, V., (2006), Classes of Multivalent functions defined by Dziok-Srivastava linear operator and multiplier transformation, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 46(1), pp.97–109.
- [7] Murugusundaramoorthy, G. and Mageshb, N., (2011), On certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with hypergeometric functions, *App. Math. Lett.*, 24(4), pp.494–500.
- [8] Darus, M., Faisal, I. and Shareef, Z., (2012), Application of miller-mocanus lemma on certain subclasses of analytic functions, *Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci.*, 65(1), pp.19–24.
- [9] Goodman, A. W., (1991), On uniformly convex functions, *Ann. polon. Math.*, 56, pp.87–92.
- [10] Goodman, A. W., (1991), On uniformly starlike functions, *J. Math. Anal. and Appl.*, 155, pp.364–370.
- [11] Ronning, F., (1993), Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 118, pp.189–196.
- [12] Ronning, F., (1995), Integral representations for bounded starlike functions, *Annal. Polon. Math.*, 60, pp.289–297.
- [13] Kanas, S. and Wisniowska, A., (2000), Conic domains and starlike functions, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.*, 45, pp.647–657.
- [14] Silverman, H., (1975), Univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 51, pp.109–116.
- [15] Kaplan, W., (1952), Close-to-convex schlicht functions, *Michigan Math. J.*, 2, pp.169–185.
- [16] Sokol, J., (2006), Classes of analytic functions associated with the Choi–Saigo–Srivastava operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 318(2), pp.517–525.
- [17] Bharati, R., Parvatham, R. and Swaminathan, A., (1997), On subclasses of uniformly convex functions and corresponding class of starlike functions, *Tamkang J. Math.*, 26(1), pp.17–32.
- [18] Subramanian, K. G., Sudharsan, T. V., Balasubrahmanyam, P. and Silverman, H., (1998), Classes of uniformly starlike functions, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 53(3-4), pp.309–315.
- [19] Altintas, O. and Owa, S., (1998), On subclasses of univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Pusan Kyongnam Math. J.*, 4, pp.41–56.
- [20] Subramanian, K. G., Murugusundaramoorthy, G., Balasubrahmanyam, P. and Silverman, H., (1995), Subclasses of uniformly convex and uniformly starlike functions, *Math. Japonica*, 42(3), pp.517–522.



Dr M. I. Faisal completed his PhD in the field of Geometric Function Theory at the University Kebangsaan Malaysia in 2012. Then he was appointed as an assistant professor of mathematics in COMSATS Institute of Information Technology Attock campus under TTS system in Pakistan. After two years, in October 2014, he was appointed as an assistant professor of mathematics as a regular faculty member (under BPS-19) in the University of Education Lahore (a national university) in Pakistan. He got best research presenter award from Malaysia in 2011. He also got best research productivity award in 2013 from COMSATS Institute of Information Technology. Currently he is working as assistant professor in the department of mathematics, Taibah University, Madina, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
