# EXISTENCE OF FIXED POINT AND BEST POINT OF PROXIMITY FOR MULTIFUNCTIONAL NON SELF MAPPINGS IN A PARTIAL METRIC SPACE

MOSTEFA DJEDIDI<sup>1</sup>, ABDELOUHAB MANSOUR<sup>1</sup>, §

ABSTRACT. In this paper we give some theorems of existence of best points of proximity for a multifunctional non-self-mapping in a partial metric space and some approximations on the sets of the best points of proximity. Other results are also given.

Keywords: Partial metric space; Fixed point; best point; proximity.

AMS Subject Classification: 47H09; 54E50; 37C25; 47H10.

#### 1. Introduction

Let A, B be two nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d). The aim of this paper is to establish the existence theorems of a best proximity point  $\overline{x} \in A$ , which satisfies  $\inf\{p(\overline{x},y): y \in F(\overline{x})\} = \operatorname{dist}(A,B)$  for a non-self-mapping multifunction  $F: A \to 2^B$ . In this article, we prove that the results obtained in [3] can be enhanced and managed on partial metric spaces. We define

$$d(x,B) = \inf_{y \in B} d(x,y), \ e(A,B) = \sup_{x \in A} d(x,B)$$

and

$$D(A, B) = \max(e(A, B), e(B, A)).$$

Here e(A, B) is the excess of A over B and D(A, B) is the Pompeiu-Hausdorff distance between A and B. And let

$$A_0 = \{x \in A : d(x,y) = d(A,B), for some y \in B\},\$$

$$B_0 = \{ y \in B : d(x, y) = d(A, B), for some x \in A \}.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, El Oued University, Algeria.

e-mail: djedidimostefa@yahoo.fr; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3242-4716.

e-mail: amansour@math.univ-lyon1.fr; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7530-9921.

<sup>§</sup> Manuscript received: February 27, 2019; accepted: February 14, 2021.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.11, No.4 © Işık University, Department of Mathematics, 2021; all rights reserved.

The authors are partially supported by operator laboratory, Eloued, Algeria.

**Definition 1.1.** [3] Let (X, d) be a partial metric space and (A, B) a pair of nonempty subsets of X, and  $A \neq \emptyset$ .

We say that the pair (A, B) satisfies the P-property if and only if

$$\begin{cases} d(x_1, y_1) = d(A, B) \\ d(x_2, y_2) = d(A, B) \end{cases} \Rightarrow d(x_1, y_1) = d(x_2, y_2).$$

Where  $x_1, x_2 \in A_0 \text{ and } y_1, y_2 \in B_0$ 

**Theorem 1.1.** [3] Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and A, B two closed and nonempty subsets of X such that  $A_0 \neq \emptyset$  and the pair (A, B) satisfies the P-property. We assume that  $F: A \to 2^B$  a multifunction with bounded and closed value. If there exists a constant  $\theta \in (0,1)$  such that  $\left[D(F(x), F(y)) \leq \theta d(x,y), \forall x,y \in A\right]$  and  $F(x) \subseteq B_0$  for all  $x \in A_0$ . Then F has a best proximity point  $\overline{x}$  in A.

**Definition 1.2.** We say that the function  $p: X \times X \to [0, +\infty[$  is a partial metric on X if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) p(x,x) = p(y,y) = p(x,y) if and only if x = y for all  $x, y \in X$ ,
- (b)  $p(x,x) \le p(x,y)$  for all  $x,y \in X$ ,
- (c) p(x,y) = p(y,x) for all  $x, y \in X$ ,
- (d)  $p(x,z) \le p(x,y) + p(y,z) p(y,y)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Then (X, p) is called a partial metric space.

For a partial metric p on X, the function  $p^s: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$  given by

$$p^{s}(x,y) = 2p(x,y) - p(x,x) - p(y,y)$$
(1)

and

$$p^{w}(x,y) = p(x,y) - \min\{p(x,x), p(y,y)\}$$
(2)

are metrics on X. Each partial metric p on X Generates a  $T_0$ -topology  $\tau_p$  with a basis the families of open p-balls  $\{B_p(x,\varepsilon): x\in X, \ \varepsilon>0\}$ , where  $B_p(x,\varepsilon)=\{y\in X: p(x,y)< p(x,x)+\varepsilon\}$  for all  $x\in X$  and  $\varepsilon>0$ .

## **Definition 1.3.** [17]

- (i) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a partial metric space (X,p) converges to  $x \in X$  if and only if  $p(x,x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} p(x,x_n)$ .
- (ii) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a partial metric space (X, p) is called Cauchy sequence if and only if  $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} p(x_n, x_m)$  exists and finite.
- (iii) A partial metric space (X, p) est is complete if any Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X converges to a point  $x \in X$  such that  $p(x, x) = \lim_{n, m \to \infty} p(x_n, x_m)$ .

### Lemma 1.1. [17]

- (a1) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy in a partial metric space (X,p) if and only if  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy in the space  $(X,p^s)$ .
- (a2) A partial metric space (X, p) is complete if and only if the space  $(X, p^s)$  Is complete. Morewer

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} p^s(x, x_n) = 0 \Leftrightarrow p(x, x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} p(x, x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} p(x_n, x_m). \tag{3}$$

Let A, B be two nonempty subsets of a partial metric space (X, p), the partial surplus  $e_p(A, B)$  from A to B and  $D_p(A, B)$  are the partial distance of Pompeiu-Hausdorff between A and B defined as follows:

$$p(x,B) = \inf_{y \in B} p(x,y),$$

$$p(A,B) = \sup_{x \in A} p(x,B)$$

and

$$p(A, B) = \max(e_p(A, B), e_p(B, A)).$$

**Remark 1.1.** [6] Let (X, p) be a partial metric space and A a nonempty subset of X, then  $a \in \overline{A} \Leftrightarrow p(a, A) = p(a, a)$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** [7] Let (X, p) be a partial metric space and A, B two closed, nonempty and bounded subsets of X, and h > 1. Then for each  $a \in A$ , there exists  $b \in B$ , such that  $p(a, b) \leq hD_p(A, B)$ .

We next apply the notations

$$A_0 = \{x \in A : p(x, y) = p(A, B), for some y \in B\}$$

and

$$B_0 = \{ y \in B : p(x, y) = p(A, B), for some x \in A \}.$$

**Definition 1.4.** Let (X, p) be a partial metric space and (A, B) a pair of nonempty subsets of X, and  $A \neq \emptyset$ .

We say that the pair (A, B) satisfies the P-property if and only if

$$\begin{cases} p(x_1, y_1) = p(A, B) \\ p(x_2, y_2) = p(A, B) \end{cases} \Rightarrow p(x_1, y_1) = p(x_2, y_2).$$

Where  $x_1, x_2 \in A_0$  and  $y_1, y_2 \in B_0$ .

#### 2. Main results

#### 2.1. Existence of best proximity point for non-self mapping multifunction.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let (X,p) be a complete partial metric space and A,B two closed and nonempty subsets of X such that  $A_0 \neq \emptyset$  and the pair (A,B) satisfies the P-property. We assume that  $F:A \rightarrow 2^B$  a multifunction with bounded and closed values. If there exists a constant  $\theta \in (0,1)$  such that

$$D_p(F(x), F(y)) \le \theta p(x, y), \ \forall x, y \in A.$$

And  $F(x) \subseteq B_0$  for each  $x \in A_0$ . Then F has a best proximity point  $\overline{x}$  in A.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in A_0$ , and  $y_0 \in F(x_0) \subseteq B_0$ . Then there exists  $x_1 \in A_0$ , such that  $p(x_1, y_0) = p(A, B)$ . On the other hand, by lemma 1.2 we obtain  $y_1 \in F(x_1) \subseteq B_0$  such that

$$p(y_0, y_1) \le D_p(F(x_0), F(x_1)) + \theta.$$

Futher more, there exists  $x_2 \in A_0$ , such that  $p(x_1, y_1) = p(A, B)$ , and  $y_2 \in F(x_2) \subseteq B_0$  such that

$$p(y_1, y_2) \le D_p(F(x_1), F(x_2)) + \theta^2.$$

One continues for getting  $x_n \in A_0$ , such that  $p(x_n, y_{n-1}) = p(A, B)$ , and  $y_n \in F(x_n) \subseteq B_0$  such that

$$p(y_{n-1}, y_n) \le D_p(F(x_{n-1}), F(x_n)) + \theta^n.$$

Since  $p(x_{n+1}, y_n) = p(A, B)$  and  $p(x_n, y_{n-1}) = p(A, B)$ , by the P-property, we obtain  $p(x_n, x_{n+1}) = p(y_{n-1}, y_n)$ . Which gives

$$p(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) = p(y_{n-1}, y_{n}) \leq D_{p}(F(x_{n-1}), F(x_{n})) + \theta^{n}$$

$$\leq \theta p(x_{n-1}, x_{n}) + \theta^{n}$$

$$\leq \theta p(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}) + \theta^{n})$$

$$\leq \theta (D_{p}(F(x_{n-2}), F(x_{n-1})) + \theta^{n-1}) + \theta^{n}$$

$$\leq \theta^{2} p(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + 2\theta^{n}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\leq \theta^{n} p(x_{0}, x_{1}) + n\theta^{n} \to 0, \text{ when } n \to +\infty.$$

Next by definition of  $p^s$ , we get  $p^s(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq 2p(x_n, x_{n+p}) \to 0$ , when  $n \to +\infty$ . We now prove that  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, p^s)$ . Suppose that there exist an  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist  $m_k, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m_k > n_k > k$  and  $p(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) > \epsilon$ . We have

$$\begin{array}{lcl} p(A,B) & \leq & p(y_{n_k-1},x_{m_k}) \\ & \leq & p(y_{n_k-1},x_{n_k}) + p(x_{n_k},x_{m_k}) - p(x_{n_k},x_{n_k}) \\ & \leq & p(y_{n_k-1},x_{n_k}) + p(x_{n_k},x_{m_k}) \\ & \leq & p(y_{n_k-1},x_{n_k}) + p(x_{n_k},x_{n_k+1}) + \ldots + p(x_{m_k-1},x_{m_k}). \end{array}$$

Taking the limits on k we get

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} p(y_{n_k - 1}, x_{n_k}) + \lim_{k \to \infty} p(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) = p(A, B).$$

Which gives  $\lim_{k\to\infty} p(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) = 0$ . Since  $p^s(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) < 2p(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \to 0$ , then  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, p^s)$ , and since (X, p) is complete then by lemma 1.1,  $(X, p^s)$  is a complete metric space and so the sequence  $(x_n)$  converges in X. Let  $\overline{x} = \lim_n x_n$ . Since A is closed, we have  $\overline{x} \in A$ .

Now, since  $p(x_n, x_{n+1}) = p(y_{n-1}, y_n)$ , the sequence  $(y_n)$  is convergent in X. Let  $\overline{y} = \lim_n y_n$ .

Sine B is closed, we have  $\overline{y} \in B$ . On the other hand, by lemma 1.1, we have

$$p(\overline{x}, \overline{x}) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} p(x_n, \overline{x}) = \lim_{n, m \to +\infty} p(x_n, x_m) = 0$$

and

$$p(\overline{y}, \overline{y}) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} p(y_n, \overline{y}) = \lim_{n,m \to +\infty} p(y_n, y_m) = 0$$

and  $p(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) = p(A, B)$ . Hence,

$$0 \leq p(y_n, F(\overline{x}))$$
  
$$\leq D_p(F(x_n), F(\overline{x}))$$
  
$$\leq \theta p(x_n, \overline{x}).$$

We take the limit  $n \to +\infty$ , we obtain  $p(\overline{y}, F(\overline{x})) = 0$  which gives  $p(\overline{y}, F(\overline{x})) = p(\overline{y}, \overline{y})$ , using remark 1.1, to get  $\overline{y} \in \overline{F(\overline{x})} = F(\overline{x})$ . One obtains that  $\overline{x}$  is a best proximity point in A which satisfies  $p(\overline{x}, F(\overline{x})) = p(A, B)$ .

As a direct result of Theorem 2.1, we have the following result.

**Corollary 2.1.** [7] Let (X,p) be a complete partial metric space and  $F: X \to 2^X$  be a multifunction with bounded and closed values. If there exists a constant  $\theta \in (0,1)$  such that

$$D_p(F(x), F(y)) \le \theta p(x, y), \ \forall x \in X \ and \ \forall y \in X.$$

Then F has a fixed point  $\overline{x}$  in X.

In the following, let  $PF_i$  be the set of best proximity points for a multifunction  $F_i$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let (X,d) be a complete metric space and A,B two closed nonempty subsets of X such that  $A_0 \neq \emptyset$  and the pair (A,B) satisfies the P-property. Let  $F_i: A \rightarrow 2^B, i=1,2$  be two multifunctions with compact non empty values. If there exist two constants  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in (0,1)$  such that

$$D(F_1(x), F_1(y)) \le \theta_1 d(x, y) \ \forall x \in A \ and \ \forall y \in A,$$

$$D(F_2(x), F_2(y)) \le \theta_2 d(x, y) \ \forall x \in A \ and \ \forall y \in A$$

and  $F_i(x) \subseteq B_0, i = 1, 2$ , for each  $x \in A_0$ . Then

$$D(PF_1, PF_2) \le \frac{1}{1 - max\{\theta_1, \theta_2\}} [\sup_{x \in A} D(F_1(x), F_2(x))].$$

Proof. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we choose  $\beta > 0$  such that  $\beta \sum n\theta_2^n < 1$  and  $\alpha = \frac{\beta\varepsilon}{(1-\theta_2)}$ . Let  $x_{0,1} = x_0 \in PF_1$ , there exists  $y_{0,1} \in F_1(x_0) \subseteq B_0$  such that  $d(x_0, y_{0,1}) = d(A, B)$ . On the other hand, there exist  $y_0 \in F_2(x_0) \subseteq B_0$  and  $x_1 \in A_0$  such that  $d(x_1, y_0) = d(A, B)$ . By the P-property, we get  $d(x_0, x_1) = d(y_{0,1}, y_0)$ . Which gives

$$d(x_0, x_1) \le D(F_1(x_0), F_2(x_0)) + \varepsilon.$$

We take  $y_1 \in F(x_1) \subseteq B_0$  such that

$$d(y_0, y_1) < D(F_2(x_0), F_2(x_1)) + \theta_2 \alpha.$$

Furthermore, there exists  $x_1 \in A_0$ , such that  $d(x_1, y_1) = d(A, B)$ , and there exists  $y_2 \in F_2(x_2) \subseteq B_0$  such that

$$d(y_1, y_2) \le D(F_2(x_1), F_2(x_2)) + \theta_2^2 \alpha.$$

We continue to find  $x_n \in A_0$ , such that  $d(x_n, y_{n-1}) = d(A, B)$ , and there exists  $y_n \in F_2(x_n) \subseteq B_0$  such that

$$d(y_{n-1}, y_n) \le D(F_2(x_{n-1}), F_2(x_n)) + \theta_2^n \alpha.$$

Since  $d(x_{n+1}, y_n) = d(A, B)$  and  $d(x_n, y_{n-1}) = d(A, B)$ , by the P-property, we get  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(y_{n-1}, y_n)$ . Which gives

$$d(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) = d(y_{n-1}, y_{n}) \leq D(F_{2}(x_{n-1}), F_{2}(x_{n})) + \theta_{2}^{n} \alpha$$

$$\leq \theta_{2} d(x_{n-1}, x_{n}) + \theta_{2}^{n} \alpha$$

$$\leq \theta_{2} d(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}) + \theta_{2}^{n} \alpha$$

$$\leq \theta_{2} (D(F_{2}(x_{n-2}), F_{2}(x_{n-1})) + \theta_{2}^{n-1}) + \theta_{2}^{n} \alpha$$

$$\leq \theta_{2}^{2} d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + 2\theta_{2}^{n} \alpha$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\leq \theta_{2}^{n} d(x_{0}, x_{1}) + n\theta_{2}^{n} \alpha \rightarrow 0, \text{ when } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

$$(4)$$

On the other hand, we prove that  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in A. One suppose that there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  and for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist  $m_k, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m_k > n_k > k$  and  $d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) > \epsilon$ . We have

$$d(A,B) \leq d(y_{n_{k}-1}, x_{m_{k}})$$

$$\leq d(y_{n_{k}-1}, x_{n_{k}}) + d(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}})$$

$$\leq d(y_{n_{k}-1}, x_{n_{k}}) + d(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}})$$

$$\leq d(y_{n_{k}-1}, x_{n_{k}}) + d(x_{n_{k}}, x_{n_{k}+1}) + \dots + d(x_{m_{k}-1}, x_{m_{k}}).$$
(5)

Taking the limit, we get

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} d(y_{n_k-1}, x_{n_k}) + \lim_{k \to \infty} d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) = d(A, B).$$

Which gives  $\lim_{k\to\infty} d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) = 0$ . We get  $(x_n)$  a Cauchy sequence in a complete metric space and so the sequence  $(x_n)$  is convergent in X. Let  $\overline{x_2} = \lim_n x_n$ . Since A is closed, we get  $\overline{x_2} \in A$ .

Since  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(y_{n-1}, y_n)$ , the sequence  $(y_n)$  converges in X. Let  $\overline{y} = \lim_n y_n$ . Since B is closed, we get  $\overline{x_2} \in B$  and

$$0 \leq d(y_n, F_2(\overline{x_2}))$$
  
$$\leq D(F_2(x_n), F_2(\overline{x_2}))$$
  
$$\leq \theta_2 d(x_n, \overline{x_2}).$$

Taking the limit,  $n \to +\infty$ , we get  $d(\overline{y}, F_2(\overline{x_2})) = 0$ . We obtain  $\overline{x_2}$  as the best proximity point in A which satisfies  $d(\overline{x_2}, F_2(\overline{x_2})) = d(A, B)$ . Then we get

$$d(x_0, \overline{x_2}) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\theta_2^n d(x_0, x_1) + n\theta_2^n \alpha)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{1 - \theta_2} d(x_0, x_1) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\theta_2^n \alpha)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{1 - \theta_2} (d(x_0, x_1) + \varepsilon)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{1 - \theta_2} (D(F_1(x_0), F_2(x_0)) + 2\varepsilon)$$
(6)

We obtain for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$d(x_{0,1}, \overline{x_2}) \le \frac{1}{1 - \theta_2} (D(F_1(x_{0,1}), F_2(x_{0,1})) + \varepsilon).$$

As previously, let  $x_{0,2} \in PF_2$ , there exists  $\overline{x_1} \in PF_1$  such that

$$d(x_{0,2}, \overline{x_1}) \le \frac{1}{1 - \theta_1} (D(F_1(x_{0,1}), F_2(x_{0,1})) + \varepsilon), \ \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

**Theorem 2.3.** Let (X,p) a complete partial metric space and A,B two closed nonempty subsets of X such that  $A_0 \neq \emptyset$  and the pair (A,B) satisfies the P-property. Let  $F_i: A \rightarrow 2^B, i = 1, 2$  two multifunctions with compact values. If there exist two constants  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in (0,1)$  such that

$$D_p(F_1(x), F_1(y)) \le \theta_1 p(x, y) \ \forall x \in A, \ \forall y \in A,$$
  
$$D_p(F_2(x), F_2(y)) \le \theta_2 p(x, y) \ \forall x \in A, \ \forall y \in A,$$

and  $F_i(x) \subseteq B_0, i = 1, 2$ , for each  $x \in A_0$ . Then

$$D_p(PF_1, PF_2) \le \frac{1}{1 - max\{\theta_1, \theta_2\}} [\sup_{x \in A} D_p(F_1(x), F_2(y))].$$

Proof. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we choose  $\beta > 0$  such that  $\beta \sum n\theta_2^n < 1$  and  $\alpha = \frac{\beta\varepsilon}{(1-\theta_2)}$ . Let  $x_{0,1} = x_0 \in PF_1$ , there exist  $y_{0,1} \in F_1(x_0) \subseteq B_0$  such that  $d(x_0, y_{0,1}) = d(A, B)$ . Otherwise, there exist  $y_0 \in F_2(x_0) \subseteq B_0$  and  $x_1 \in A_0$  such that  $p(x_1, y_0) = p(A, B)$ . By the P-property, we get  $p(x_0, x_1) = p(y_{0,1}, y_0)$ , which gives

$$p(x_0, x_1) \le D_p(F_1(x_0), F_2(x_0)) + \varepsilon.$$

We take  $y_1 \in F(x_1) \subseteq B_0$  such that

$$p(y_0, y_1) \leq D_p(F_2(x_0), F_2(x_1)) + \theta_2.$$

Else, there exists  $x_1 \in A_0$ , such that  $p(x_1, y_1) = p(A, B)$ , and there exists  $y_2 \in F_2(x_2) \subseteq B_0$ 

such that

$$p(y_1, y_2) \le D_p(F_2(x_1), F_2(x_2)) + \theta_2^2$$

We continue to find  $x_n \in A_0$ , such that  $p(x_n, y_{n-1}) = p(A, B)$ , and  $y_n \in F_2(x_n) \subseteq B_0$  such that

$$p(y_{n-1}, y_n) \leq D_p(F_2(x_{n-1}), F_2(x_n)) + \theta_2^n$$

Since  $p(x_{n+1}, y_n) = p(A, B)$  and  $p(x_n, y_{n-1}) = p(A, B)$ , by the P-property, we get  $p(x_n, x_{n+1}) = p(y_{n-1}, y_n)$ . Which gives

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_n,x_{n+1}) &= p(y_{n-1},y_n) &\leq & D_p(F_2(x_{n-1}),F_2(x_n)) + \theta_2^n \\ &\leq & \theta_2 p(x_{n-1},x_n) + \theta_2^n \\ &\leq & \theta_2(D_p(F_2(y_{n-2}),F_2(y_{n-1})) + \theta_2^{n-1}) \\ &\leq & \theta_2(D_p(F_2(x_{n-2}),F_2(x_{n-1})) + \theta_2^{n-1}) + \theta_2^n \\ &\leq & \theta_2^2 p(x_{n-2},x_{n-1}) + 2\theta_2^n \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq & \theta_2^n p(x_0,x_1) + n\theta_2^n \to 0, \ when \ n \to +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of  $p^s$ , we get  $p^s(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq 2p(x_n, x_{n+p}) \to 0$ , when  $n \to +\infty$ . On the other hand, we prove that  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy in  $(X, p^s)$ . One suppose that there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  and for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $m_k, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m_k > n_k > k$  and  $p(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) > \epsilon$ . We have

$$p(A,B) \leq p(y_{n_{k}-1}, x_{m_{k}})$$

$$\leq p(y_{n_{k}-1}, x_{n_{k}}) + p(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}) - p(x_{n_{k}}, x_{n_{k}})$$

$$\leq p(y_{n_{k}-1}, x_{n_{k}}) + p(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}})$$

$$\leq p(y_{n_{k}-1}, x_{n_{k}}) + p(x_{n_{k}}, x_{n_{k}+1}) + \dots + p(x_{m_{k}-1}, x_{m_{k}}).$$

Taking the limit we get

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} p(y_{n_k - 1}, x_{n_k}) + \lim_{k \to \infty} p(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) = p(A, B).$$

Which gives  $\lim_{k\to\infty} p(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) = 0$ . Since  $p^s(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) < 2p(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \to 0$ , we get  $(x_n)$  a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, p^s)$ , and since (X, p) is complete then by lemma 1.1,  $(X, p^s)$  is a complete metric space and the sequence  $(x_n)$  converges in X. Let  $\overline{x_2} = \lim_n x_n$ . Since A is closed we have  $\overline{x_2} \in A$ . Next, since  $p(x_n, x_{n+1}) = p(y_{n-1}, y_n)$ , the sequence  $(y_n)$  converges in X. Let  $\overline{y} = \lim_n y_n$ . Since B is closed we have  $\overline{y} \in B$ .

Otherwise, by lemma 1.1, we have

$$p(\overline{x_2}, \overline{x_2}) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} p(x_n, \overline{x_2}) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} p(x_n, x_m) = 0,$$

$$p(\overline{y}, \overline{y}) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} p(y_n, \overline{y}) = \lim_{n,m \to +\infty} p(y_n, y_m) = 0,$$

and  $p(\overline{x_2}, \overline{y}) = p(A, B)$ . As result.

$$0 \leq p(y_n, F_2(\overline{x_2}))$$
  
$$\leq D_p(F_2(x_n), F_2(\overline{x_2}))$$
  
$$\leq \theta_2 p(x_n, \overline{x_2}).$$

Taking the limit  $n \to +\infty$ , we get  $p(\overline{y}, F_2(\overline{x_2})) = 0$  which gives  $p(\overline{y}, F_2(\overline{x_2})) = p(\overline{y}, \overline{y})$ , using remark 1.1, to get  $\overline{y} \in \overline{F_2(\overline{x_2})} = F_2(\overline{x_2})$ . Then we obtain  $\overline{x_2}$  as a best proximity point in A which satisfies  $p(\overline{x_2}, F_2(\overline{x_2})) = p(A, B)$ . Then we get

$$p(x_0, \overline{x_2}) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(x_n, x_{n+1}) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p(x_n, x_n)$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\theta_2^n p(x_0, x_1) + n\theta_2^n \alpha)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{1 - \theta_2} p(x_0, x_1) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\theta_2^n \alpha)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{1 - \theta_2} (p(x_0, x_1) + \varepsilon)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{1 - \theta_2} (D_p(F_1(x_0), F_2(x_0)) + 2\varepsilon).$$

$$(7)$$

We obtain for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$p(x_{0,1}, \overline{x_2}) \le \frac{1}{1 - \theta_2} (D_p(F_1(x_{0,1}), F_2(x_{0,1})) + \varepsilon).$$

As previously, let  $x_{0,2} \in PF_2$ , there exists  $\overline{x_1} \in PF_1$  such that

$$p(x_{0,2}, \overline{x_1}) \le \frac{1}{1 - \theta_1} (D_p(F_1(x_{0,1}), F_2(x_{0,1})) + \varepsilon), \ \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

#### 3. Conclusions

In this paper, we have proved some results of best points of proximity for a multifunctional non-self-mapping in a partial metric space and some approximations on the sets of the best points of proximity.

**Acknowledgement.** The authors would like to express their sincere apreciation to the referees for their very helpful suggestions and many kind comments.

(7

#### References

- [1] T. Abdeljawad, Fixed points for generalized weakly contractive mappings in partial metric spaces. Math. Comput. Model. 54, 2923-2927 (2011)
- [2] T. Abdeljawad, K. Karapinar, K. Tas, A generalized contraction principle with control functions on partial metric spaces. Comput. Math. Appl. 63, 716-719 (2012)
- [3] A. Abkar, M. Gabeleh, The existence of best proximity points for multivalued non-self-mappings. RACSAM. 107, 319–325 (2013)
- [4] M. A. Al-Thagafi, N. Shahzad, Best proximity pairs and equilibrium pairs for Kakutani multimaps. Nonlinear Anal. 70, (30), 1209-1216 (2009)
- [5] I. Altun, A. Erduran, Fixed point theorems for monotone mappings on partial metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2011, Article ID 508730 (2011)
- [6] I. Altun, F. Sola, H. Simsek, Generalized contractions on partial metric spaces. Topol. Appl. 157, 2778-2785 (2010)
- [7] H. Aydi, M. Abbas, C. Vetro, Partial Hausdorff metric and Nadler's fixed point theorem on partial metric spaces. Topol. Appl. 159, 3234-3242 (2012)
- [8] H. Aydi, C. Vetro, W. Sintunavarat, P. Kumam, Coincidence and fixed points for contractions and cyclical contractions in partial metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 124, (2012)
- [9] D. W. Boyd and S. W. Wong, On nonlinear contractions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 20, 458-464 (1969)
- [10] H. Covitz, S. B. Nadler, Multi-valued contraction mappings in generalized metric spaces. Isz. J. Math. 8, 5-11 (1970)
- [11] P. N. Dutta and B. S. Choudhury, A generalization of contraction principle in metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., Artcile ID 406368, 8 pages, (2008)
- [12] A. A. Eldred, P. Veeramani, Existence and convergence of best proximity points, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 323, 1001-1006 (2006)
- [13] A. Fernández-León, Existence and uniqueness of best proximity points in geodesic metric spaces. Nonlinear Anal. 73, (4), 915-921 (2010)
- [14] E. Karapinar, A note on common fixed point theorems in partial metric spaces. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 5, 74-83 (2012)
- [15] E. Karapinar, U. Yuksel, Some common fixed point theorems in partial metric spaces. J. Appl. Math. Article ID 263621 (2011)
- [16] W. A. Kirk, P. S. Srinivasan, P. Veeramani, Fixed points for mappings satisfying cyclical contractive conditions. Fixed Point Theory 4, 79-89 (2003)
- [17] S. G. Matthews, Partial metric topology, in: Proc. 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications, in: Ann. New York Acad. Sci., vol. 728, 183–197 (1994)
- [18] S. B. Nadler, Multivalued contraction mappings, Pacific J. Math. 30, 475-488 (1969)
- [19] K. Neammance, A. kaewkhao, Fixed points and Best Proximity Points for multivalued mapping Satisfing Cyclical Condition, Int J.Math.Sciences and Applications Vol. 1, (2011)
- [20] S. Oltra and O. Valero, Banach's fixed point theorem for partial metric spaces, Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste 36, (1-2), 17-26 (2004)
- [21] S. Romaguera, Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions on partial metric spaces. Topol. Appl. 159, 194-199 (2012)
- [22] S. Sadiq Basha, Best Proximity Points: Optimal Solutions. J. Optim. Theory Appl. 151, (1), 210-216 (2011)



Mostefa Djedidi is currently working as an associate professor at the University of El-Oued, Algeria. His research interests are nonlinear analysis, theory methods and applications, fixed point theory and numerical methods. He has published research articles in different international reputed journals of mathematics.

**Abdelouahab Mansour** for the photography and short autobiography, see TWMS J. Appl. Eng. Maths., V.10, N.3, 2020.