TWMS J. App. and Eng. Math. V.12, N.3, 2022, pp. 846-850

A MINIMAX INEQUALITY AND ITS APPLICATIONS

A. FARAJZADEH¹, §

ABSTRACT. A minimax inequality of Ky Fan for mapping with non-compact domain is given. Moreover, some minimax inequalities are obtained.

Keywords: KKM property, transfer upper semicontinuous, transfer lower semicontinuous, minimax theorem.

AMS Subject Classification: 83-02, 99A00.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In 1998, Tarafdar and Watson [7] gave a minimax inequality for mapping with noncompact domain by using the coincidence theorem. Then Zhang [9] obtained a minimax inequality for mapping with noncompact domain under different assumptions. Our goal in this paper is to obtain a minimax inequality for mapping with noncompact domain by using the KKM-type mapping. This result improves the results in [5, 6, 7, 9].

Let D be a nonempty set, we shall denote by 2^D the family of all subsets of D, by $\mathcal{F}(D)$ the family of all nonempty finite subsets of X. Let X and Y be topological spaces. A multi-valued map $F: X \to 2^Y$ is called:

(i) closed if its graph, that is $\{(x, y) : y \in F(x)\}$ is a closed subset of $X \times Y$,

(ii) compact if $\overline{F(X)}$ is a compact subset of Y,

(iii) transfer closed valued if for any $x \in X$ and $y \notin F(x)$ there exists $x' \in X$ such that $y \notin \overline{F(x')}$.

Suppose that f is a real-valued bifunction on $X \times Y$ and γ is a real number, f, is called γ -transfer upper semicontinuous (u.s.c.) in the second variable if for each $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ with $f(x, y) > \gamma$ there exists $x' \in X$ and a neighborhood U(y) of y in Y such that $f(x', z) > \gamma$ for all $z \in U(y)$. The bifunction f is said to be γ -transfer lower semicontinuous (l.s.c.) in the second variable, if -f is γ -transfer u.s.c. in the second variable.

A convex space X is a nonempty convex set in a vector space with any topology that induces the Euclidean topology on the convex hulls of its finite subsets. Let X be a convex space and Y a topological space. If $G: X \to 2^Y$ and $T: X \to 2^Y$ are two multi-valued mappings such that for any $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, $T(coA) \subseteq G(A)$, then G is said to be generalized

 $^{^{1}}$ Department of Mathematics- Razi University- Kermanshah- 67149- Iran.

e-mail: farajzadehali@gmail.com, A.Farajzadeh@razi.ac.ir;

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5221-6741.

[§] Manuscript received: February 25, 2020; accepted: July 12, 2020.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.12, No.3 © Işık University, Department of Mathematics, 2022; all rights reserved.

KKM mapping with respect to T. Let $T : X \to 2^Y$ be a multi-valued mapping such that if $G : X \to 2^Y$ is a generalized KKM mapping with respect to T, then the family $\{\overline{G(x)} : x \in X\}$ has the finite intersection property, in this case we say that T has the KKM property. Denote

$$\mathrm{KKM}(X,Y) := \{T : X \to 2^Y : T \text{ has the KKM property}\}.$$

The class KKM(X, Y) was introduced and studied by Chang and Yen [1]. Also, they have shown that KKM(X, Y) contains admissible mappings, Kakutani mappings, and etc; see [1]. The following theorem plays a key role in the next section.

Theorem 1.1 ([4], Theorem 2.1). Let X be a convex space, Y a topological space, and $T \in KKM(X,Y)$ be compact. Suppose that $F : X \to 2^Y$ is transfer closed valued and generalized KKM mapping with respect to T. Then $(\bigcap_{x \in X} F(x)) \cap \overline{T(X)} \neq \emptyset$.

2. Main results

Fusce mauris. Vestibulum luctus nibh at lectus. Sed bibendum, nulla a faucibus semper, leo velit ultricies tellus, ac venenatis arcu wisi vel nisl. Vestibulum diam. Aliquam pellentesque, augue quis sagittis posuere, turpis lacus congue quam, in hendrerit risus eros eget felis. Maecenas eget erat in sapien mattis porttitor. Vestibulum porttitor. Nulla facilisi. Sed a turpis eu lacus commodo facilisis. Morbi fringilla, wisi in dignissim interdum, justo lectus sagittis dui, et vehicula libero dui cursus dui. Mauris tempor ligula sed lacus. Duis cursus enim ut augue. Cras ac magna. Cras nulla. Nulla egestas. Curabitur a leo. Quisque egestas wisi eget nunc. Nam feugiat lacus vel est. Curabitur consectetuer. In this section, we give some new minimax inequalities for bifunctions with noncompact domain.

Theorem 2.1. Let X be a convex space and Y a topological space and $T \in KKM(X,Y)$ be compact. Suppose that $f, g: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ are two real valued bifunctions, such that:

(i) $f(x,y) \leq g(x,y)$, for all $(x,y) \in X \times Y$,

(ii) f(x,y) is quasiconvex on X, for all $y \in Y$,

(iii) g is γ -transfer u.s.c. in the second variable for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\inf_{y \in T(x)} f(x, y) \le \sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} g(x, y).$$
(1)

Proof. First, we can assume that the left-hand side of (1) is not $-\infty$, choose a real number γ , such that

$$\inf_{y \in T(x)} f(x, y) > \gamma.$$
⁽²⁾

Suppose that $F(x) = \{y \in Y : f(x, y) \ge \gamma\}$ and $G(x) = \{y \in Y : g(x, y) \ge \gamma\}$ for each $x \in X$. We show that F is a generalized KKM mapping with respect to T and G is transfer closed valued. If $A = \{x_1, ..., x_n\} \in F(X)$ and (T(coA)) is not a subset of F(A), then there is a point $\bar{x} \in coA$ and $\bar{y} \in T(\bar{x})$ such that $\bar{y} \notin F(A)$. Hence, $f(x_i, \bar{y}) < \gamma$ for all i = 1, ..., n. From condition (ii) we have $f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) < \gamma$ which is contracted by (2). Now, assume that $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ with $y \notin G(x)$, then $g(x, y) < \gamma$. By assumption (iii) there exist $x' \in X$ and a neighborhood U(y) of y such that $g(x', z) < \gamma$ for all $z \in U(y)$. Thus $U(y) \cap G(x') = \emptyset$ and so $y \notin \overline{G(x')}$. Finally, using condition (i), for each $x \in X$, $F(x) \subseteq G(x)$. Therefore, G and T satisfy in all of the conditions Theorem 1.1, thus

$$(\bigcap_{x \in X} G(x)) \cap T(X) \neq \emptyset.$$

 $\gamma,$

That is, there exists $y' \in \overline{T(X)}$ such that $g(x, y') \ge \gamma$ for any $x \in X$. This shows that

$$\sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} g(x, y) \ge$$

and so, (1) holds.

Remark 2.1. (a) The above theorem was obtained by Zhang et al. [[10], Theorem 8] in the case where Y is a compact convex subset of a topological vector space and T is an upper semicontinuous set-valued mapping such that T(x) is a compact convex subset of Y, for each $x \in X$.

As a consequence of Theorem 2.1 we obtain the following result which improves Theorem 2.1 of [9].

Corollary 2.1. Let E_1 , E_2 be two topological vector spaces and $X \subset E_1$ and $Y \subset E_2$ be nonempty convex subsets. Let $f, g: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying in conditions (i-iii) of Theotem 2.1. Suppose that $T: X \to 2^Y$ is an u.s.c. multi-valued mapping such that

(1) T(x) is a compact convex subset of Y, for all $x \in X$,

(2) for each $x \in X$, there exists a subset $V_x \subset Y$, such that $V_x \cap T(x) \neq \emptyset$ and $K = \bigcup_{x \in X} V_x \subset Y$ is compact convex subset. Then

$$\inf_{y \in T(x)} f(x, y) \le \sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} g(x, y).$$

Proof. Let $\overline{T}: X \to 2^Y$ be defined as

$$T(x) = T(x) \cap K$$
, for all $x \in X$.

Then \overline{T} is compact and compact convex valued and so $\overline{T} \in \text{KKM}(X, Y)$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\inf_{y \in \bar{T}(x)} f(x, y) \le \sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} g(x, y).$$

Since $\overline{T}(x) \subset T(x)$ for each $x \in X$, then

$$\inf_{y \in \bar{T}(x)} f(x, y) \ge \inf_{x \in T(X)} f(x, y).$$

This completes the proof of Corollary 2.1.

If in Theorem 2.1, f = g, then we obtain the following minimax inequality which improves Theorem 1 of [3].

Theorem 2.2. Let X, Y and T be as in Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $f, g: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies in condition (ii) and γ -transfer u.s.c. in the second variable for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\inf_{y \in T(x)} f(x, y) \le \sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} f(x, y).$$

According to Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 we prove the following results, which are refinement of Fan[,] minimax inequality [[2], Theorem 5].

Theorem 2.3. Let E be a topological vector space and X and Y be nonempty convex subsets of E. Suppose that K is a nonempty compact subset of E such that $X \subset K$, $K \subset Y$. Let $f, g: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ be two real valued bifunctions satisfying in conditions (*i*-iii) of Theorem 2.1. Then

$$\inf_{x \in X} f(x, x) \le \sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} g(x, y).$$

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1 by taking $T(x) = \{x\}$ for all $x \in X$.

848

Theorem 2.4. Let X, Y and K be as in Theorem 2.3. Let $f, g: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying in hypothesis of Theorem 2.2. Then

$$\inf_{x \in X} f(x, x) \le \sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} f(x, y)$$

Remark 2.2. Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 improve Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 of [9], Theorems 10 and 11 of [10] and Theorem 5 of [8].

As an application of Theorem 2.1, we present the following result.

Theorem 2.5. Let X, Y and T as given in Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $f, g: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ are two real valued bifunctions such that:

(i) $f(x,y) \leq g(x,y)$, for all $(x,y) \in X \times Y$, (ii) g(x,y) is quasiconcave on X, for all $y \in Y$, (iii) f is γ -transfer l.s.c. in the second variable for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Then inf $\sup f(x,y) \leq \sup g(x,y)$.

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) \le \sup_{y \in T(x)} g(x, y)$$

Proof. If $f_1 = -g$ and $g_1 = f$, then f_1, g_1 are satisfy in all of the condition Theorem 2.1. Therefore,

$$\inf_{y \in T(x)} f_1(x, y) \le \sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} g_1(x, y),$$

that is,

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) \le \sup_{y \in T(x)} g(x, y).$$

Remark 2.3. From the above theorem we can establish the analogous results to Corollary 2.1, Theorem 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4.

References

- Chang, T. H., Yen, C. L., (1996), KKM property and fixed point theorems, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 203, pp. 224-235.
- Fan, K., (1984), Some properties of convex sets related to fixed point theorems, Math. Ann., 266(1), pp. 519-537.
- [3] Ha, C. W., (1980), Minimax and fixed point theorems, Math. Ann., 248, pp. 73-77.
- [4] Lin, L. J., Ansari, Q. H., We, J. Y., (2003), Geometric properties and coincidence theorems, J. Optim. Theory Appl., 117(1), pp. 121-137.
- [5] Rahimi, R., Farajzadeh, A. P., Vaezpour, S. M., (2017), A new extension of Fan-KKM theory and equilibrium theory on Hadamard manifolds, Azerbaijan. J. Math., 7(2), pp. 89-115.
- [6] Taheri, A., Farajzadeh, A. P., Suanthai, S., Some fixed point theorems in K-metric type spaces, Thai. J. Math. (Accepted).
- [7] Tarafdar, E., Watson, P. J., (1998), Acoincidence point theorem and related results, Appl. Math. Lett., 11(1), pp. 37-40.
- [8] Tarafdar, E., Yuan, X. Z., (1994), A remark on coincidence theorems, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 122, pp. 957-959.
- [9] Zhang, J. H., (2003), Some minimax inequalities for mappings with non-compact domain, Appl. Math. Lett., 17, pp. 717-720.
- [10] Zhang, J. H., Ma, R., (1998), Minimax inequalities of Ky Fan, Appl. Math. Lett., 11(6), pp. 37-41.



Ali Farajzadeh completed his PhD at Isfahan university in Iran. He published more than 100 papers in international journals and he was invited as a speaker in many international conferences.