

CERTAIN GENERATING FUNCTIONS INVOLVING THE INCOMPLETE I -FUNCTIONS

S. MEENA¹, S. BHATTER¹, K. JANGID², S. D. PUROHIT², K. S. NISAR³, §

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we have derived a set of generating functions for incomplete I -functions. Bilateral along with linear generating relations are derived for incomplete I -functions. The results obtained are of a general nature, as special cases, the generating relations obtained for the incomplete \bar{I} -functions.

Keywords: I -function, Generating functions, Incomplete Gamma functions, Incomplete I -functions, Mellin-Barnes type contour.

AMS Subject Classification: 33B15, 33B99, 33E12.

1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

Working with a continuous function is sometimes much easier than working with a sequence, so linear, bilinear, and bilateral generating functions play a significant role in investigating the useful properties of the sequences they generate. Generating functions have useful applications in combinatorial problems, recurrence equations, physics and many fields of study. The extensive application of generating functions involving special functions has attracted the attention of many researchers. There are several methods available in the literature for generating functions involving special functions (see [6, 8, 9, 14, 12, 11]). Motivated by the above work, this paper sets out certain generating functions in the form of linear and bilateral functions involving incomplete I -functions and incomplete \bar{I} -functions. First of all, we recall some of the basic definitions available in the literature in order to establish the main results.

¹ Department of Mathematics, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur, India.
e-mail: sapnabesar1996@gmail.com; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1911-8653>.
e-mail: sbhatter.maths@mnit.ac.in; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1717-2178>.

² Dept.of HEAS (Mathematics), Rajasthan Technical University, Kota, Rajasthan, India.
e-mail: kjangid@rtu.ac.in; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3138-3564>.
e-mail: sunil.a.purohit@yahoo.com; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1098-5961>.

³ Department of Mathematics, College of Arts and Science-Wadi Al dawaser, Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, Riyadh region 11991, Saudi Arabia.
e-mail: n.sooppy@psau.edu.sa; ksnisar1@gmail.com; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5769-4320>. Corresponding author.

§ Manuscript received: July 01, 2020; accepted: October 15, 2020.
TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.12, No.3 © İŞK University, Department of Mathematics, 2022; all rights reserved.

In 1997, Rathie [7] introduced a new function which is known as I -function. The I -function is represented by the following Mellin-Barnes type contour integral

$$I_{c,d}^{a,b}(z) = I_{c,d}^{a,b} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1), \dots, (e_c, \rho_c; E_c) \\ (f_1, \sigma_1; F_1), \dots, (f_d, \sigma_d; F_d) \end{array} \right. \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \Psi(\xi) z^{\xi} d\xi, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\Psi(\xi) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^a [\Gamma(f_j - \sigma_j \xi)]^{F_j} \prod_{j=1}^b [\Gamma(1 - e_j + \rho_j \xi)]^{E_j}}{\prod_{j=a+1}^d [\Gamma(1 - f_j + \sigma_j \xi)]^{F_j} \prod_{j=b+1}^c [\Gamma(e_j - \rho_j \xi)]^{E_j}}. \quad (2)$$

In the above definition, $z \neq 0$, a, b, c, d are positive integers satisfying $0 \leq b \leq c$, $0 \leq a \leq d$ with $\rho_j, E_j (j = 1, \dots, c)$ and $\sigma_j, F_j (j = 1, \dots, d) \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Also $e_j, f_j \in \mathbb{C}$ and an empty product is interpreted as unity.

We next recollect and define the lower and upper incomplete gamma functions $\gamma(\xi, z)$ and $\Gamma(\xi, z)$ as follow (see [1, 2, 10]):

$$\gamma(\xi, z) = \int_0^z y^{\xi-1} e^{-y} dy, \quad (\Re(\xi) > 0; z \geq 0), \quad (3)$$

and

$$\Gamma(\xi, z) = \int_z^\infty y^{\xi-1} e^{-y} dy, \quad (z \geq 0; \Re(\xi) > 0 \text{ if } z = 0). \quad (4)$$

These functions fulfill the following relation

$$\gamma(\xi, z) + \Gamma(\xi, z) = \Gamma(\xi), \quad (\Re(\xi) > 0). \quad (5)$$

Using the above defined incomplete gamma functions, Jangid et al. [3] (see also, [4]) discovered and defined the incomplete I -functions ${}^\gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n}(z)$ and ${}^\Gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n}(z)$ in the following manner:

$${}^\gamma I_{c,d}^{a,b} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : x), (e_2, \rho_2; E_2), \dots, (e_c, \rho_c; E_c) \\ (f_1, \sigma_1; F_1), (f_2, \sigma_2; F_2), \dots, (f_d, \sigma_d; F_d) \end{array} \right. \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \theta(\xi, x) z^{\xi} d\xi, \quad (6)$$

and

$${}^\Gamma I_{c,d}^{a,b} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : x), (e_2, \rho_2; E_2), \dots, (e_c, \rho_c; E_c) \\ (f_1, \sigma_1; F_1), (f_2, \sigma_2; F_2), \dots, (f_d, \sigma_d; F_d) \end{array} \right. \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \Theta(\xi, x) z^{\xi} d\xi, \quad (7)$$

for all $z \neq 0$, where

$$\theta(\xi, x) = \frac{[\gamma(1 - e_1 + \rho_1 \xi, x)]^{E_1} \prod_{j=1}^a [\Gamma(f_j - \sigma_j \xi)]^{F_j} \prod_{j=2}^b [\Gamma(1 - e_j + \rho_j \xi)]^{E_j}}{\prod_{j=a+1}^d [\Gamma(1 - f_j + \sigma_j \xi)]^{F_j} \prod_{j=b+1}^c [\Gamma(e_j - \rho_j \xi)]^{E_j}}, \quad (8)$$

and

$$\Theta(\xi, x) = \frac{[\Gamma(1 - e_1 + \rho_1 \xi, x)]^{E_1} \prod_{j=1}^a [\Gamma(f_j - \sigma_j \xi)]^{F_j} \prod_{j=2}^b [\Gamma(1 - e_j + \rho_j \xi)]^{E_j}}{\prod_{j=a+1}^d [\Gamma(1 - f_j + \sigma_j \xi)]^{F_j} \prod_{j=b+1}^c [\Gamma(e_j - \rho_j \xi)]^{E_j}}. \quad (9)$$

For $E_1 = 1$, the definitions (6) and (7) at once yield the following relation

$$\gamma I_{c,d}^{a,b}(z) + {}^\Gamma I_{c,d}^{a,b}(z) = I_{c,d}^{a,b}(z), \quad (E_1 = 1), \quad (10)$$

where $I_{c,d}^{a,b}(z)$ is defined in (1).

The incomplete I -functions defined in (6) and (7) exist for all $x \geq 0$, under the set of conditions given by Rathie [7], with

$$\Delta > 0, |\arg(z)| < \Delta \frac{\pi}{2},$$

where

$$\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^a F_j \sigma_j - \sum_{j=a+1}^d F_j \sigma_j + \sum_{j=1}^b E_j \rho_j - \sum_{j=b+1}^c E_j \rho_j.$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we established certain new generating functions for the incomplete I -functions.

Theorem 2.1. *If $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $0 \leq b \leq c$, $0 \leq a \leq d$, ρ_j, E_j ($j = 1, \dots, c$), σ_j, F_j ($1, \dots, d$) $\in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $e_j, f_j, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then the following linear generating relation holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda + l - 1}{l} {}^\Gamma I_{c,d}^{a,b} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : x), (e_j, \rho_j; E_j)_{2,c-1}, (\lambda + l, 0; 1) \\ (\lambda + l, 1; 1), (f_j, \sigma_j; F_j)_{2,d} \end{matrix} \right. \right] y^l \\ &= (1-y)^{-\lambda} {}^\Gamma I_{c,d}^{a,b} \left[z(1-y) \left| \begin{matrix} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : x), (e_j, \rho_j; E_j)_{2,c-1}, (\lambda, 0; 1) \\ (\lambda, 1; 1), (f_j, \sigma_j; F_j)_{2,d} \end{matrix} \right. \right]. \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. To prove the result, let us take the left-hand side of (11)

$$LHS = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda + l - 1}{l} {}^\Gamma I_{c,d}^{a,b} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : x), (e_j, \rho_j; E_j)_{2,c-1}, (\lambda + l, 0; 1) \\ (\lambda + l, 1; 1), (f_j, \sigma_j; F_j)_{2,d} \end{matrix} \right. \right] y^l.$$

Write incomplete I -function in terms of Mellin-Barnes type contour integral, we get

$$LHS = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda + l - 1}{l} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \Theta(\xi, x) z^\xi d\xi \right) y^l.$$

Now, change the order of the summation and integration, then after some calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{[\Gamma(1 - e_1 + \rho_1 \xi, x)]^{E_1} \prod_{j=1}^a [\Gamma(f_j - \sigma_j \xi)]^{F_j} \prod_{j=2}^b [\Gamma(1 - e_j + \rho_j \xi)]^{E_j}}{\prod_{j=a+1}^d [\Gamma(1 - f_j + \sigma_j \xi)]^{F_j} \prod_{j=b+1}^{c-1} [\Gamma(e_j - \rho_j \xi)]^{E_j}} z^\xi \\ &\quad \times \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda + l - \xi - 1}{l} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda - \xi)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} y^l d\xi \end{aligned}$$

Using the following generalized binomial expansion formula;

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{s+k-1}{k} x^k = (1-x)^s$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \frac{(1-y)^{-\lambda}}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{[\Gamma(1-e_1+\rho_1\xi, x)]^{E_1} \prod_{j=1}^a [\Gamma(f_j-\sigma_j\xi)]^{F_j} \prod_{j=2}^b [\Gamma(1-e_j+\rho_j\xi)]^{E_j}}{\prod_{j=a+1}^d [\Gamma(1-f_j+\sigma_j\xi)]^{F_j} \prod_{j=b+1}^{c-1} [\Gamma(e_j-\rho_j\xi)]^{E_j}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(\lambda-\xi)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} (z(1-y))^{\xi} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using (7) we obtain the right-hand side of the assertion (11) of Theorem 2.1. \square

Continuing to follow the related Theorem 2.1 process and then using the (6) definition, we get the following theorem concerning the lower incomplete I -functions:

Theorem 2.2. *If $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $0 \leq b \leq c$, $0 \leq a \leq d$, ρ_j, E_j ($j = 1, \dots, c$), σ_j, F_j ($1, \dots, d$) $\in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $e_j, f_j, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then the following linear generating relation holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda+l-1}{l} {}^{\gamma}I_{c,d}^{a,b} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : x), (e_j, \rho_j; E_j)_{2,c-1}, (\lambda+l, 0; 1) \\ (\lambda+l, 1; 1), (f_j, \sigma_j; F_j)_{2,d} \end{array} \right. \right] y^l \\ &= (1-y)^{-\lambda} {}^{\gamma}I_{c,d}^{a,b} \left[z(1-y) \left| \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : x), (e_j, \rho_j; E_j)_{2,c-1}, (\lambda, 0; 1) \\ (\lambda, 1; 1), (f_j, \sigma_j; F_j)_{2,d} \end{array} \right. \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Theorem 2.3. *If $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $0 \leq b \leq c$, $0 \leq a \leq d$, ρ_j, E_j ($j = 1, \dots, c$), σ_j, F_j ($1, \dots, d$) $\in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\alpha, \beta, e_j, f_j \in \mathbb{C}$, then the following bilateral generating relation holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{n,m}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{(1+\zeta)^{\alpha+1}}{1-\beta\zeta} \Phi[x(-\zeta)^m] \\ &\quad \times {}^{\Gamma}I_{c,d}^{a,b} \left[y(1+\zeta)^{\epsilon} \left| \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : z), (e_j, \rho_j; E_j)_{2,c} \\ (f_j, \sigma_j; F_j)_{1,d} \end{array} \right. \right], \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where, $\zeta = t(1+\zeta)^{\beta+1}$, $\Phi[z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Omega_n z^n$, $\{\Omega_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an arbitrary complex sequence and

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n,m}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y) &= \sum_{k=0}^{[n/m]} (-n)_{mk} \Omega_k x^k \\ &\quad \times {}^{\Gamma}I_{c+1,d+1}^{a,b+1} \left[y \left| \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : z), (-\alpha - (\beta+1)n, \epsilon; 1), (e_j, \rho_j; E_j)_{2,c} \\ (f_j, \sigma_j; F_j)_{1,d}, (-\alpha - \beta n - mk, \epsilon; 1) \end{array} \right. \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. To prove the result, we first use the Mellin-Barnes type contour integral form of the incomplete I -function defined in (7), we have

$$P_{n,m}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \Theta(\xi,z) y^{\xi} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{[n/m]} \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha+(\beta+1)n+\epsilon\xi)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha+\beta n+mk+\epsilon\xi)} (-n)_{mk} \Omega_k x^k \right\} d\xi, \quad (14)$$

where, $\Theta(\xi,z)$ is given in (9).

Putting (14) into the LHS of the bilateral generating relation (13), and after changing the order of the summation and integration, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{n,m}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,y) \frac{t^n}{n!} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \Theta(\xi,z) y^{\xi} \\ &\times \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha+\epsilon\xi+(\beta+1)n}{n} t^n \sum_{k=0}^{[n/m]} \frac{(-n)_{mk} \Omega_k x^k}{(1+\alpha+\epsilon\xi+\beta n)_{mk}} \right\} d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Now, use the following result given by Srivastava [8]

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha+(\beta+1)n}{n} t^n \sum_{k=0}^{[n/m]} \frac{(-n)_{mk} C_k}{(1+\alpha+\beta n)_{mk}} \frac{x^k}{k!} = \frac{(1+\zeta)^{\alpha+1}}{1-\beta\zeta} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k \frac{[x(-\zeta)^m]^k}{k!},$$

with $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha + \epsilon\xi$ and $C_k \rightarrow k! \Omega_k, k \geq 0$, using (15) we get

$$I = \frac{(1+\zeta)^{\alpha+1}}{1-\beta\zeta} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Omega_k x^k (-\zeta)^{mk} \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \Theta(\xi,z) y^{\xi} (1+\zeta)^{\epsilon\xi} d\xi, \quad (16)$$

where $\zeta = t(1+\zeta)^{\beta+1}$.

At last, use the definition (7) and $\Phi[z]$, we get the desired result (13). \square

Theorem 2.4. If $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $0 \leq b \leq c$, $0 \leq a \leq d$, ρ_j, E_j ($j = 1, \dots, c$), σ_j, F_j ($1, \dots, d$) $\in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\alpha, \beta, e_j, f_j, \in \mathbb{C}$, then the following bilateral generating relation holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{n,m}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,y) \frac{t^n}{n!} &= \frac{(1+\zeta)^{\alpha+1}}{1-\beta\zeta} \Phi[x(-\zeta)^m] \\ &\times {}^{\gamma}I_{c,d}^{a,b} \left[y(1+\zeta)^{\epsilon} \mid \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : z), (e_j, \rho_j; E_j)_{2,c} \\ (f_j, \sigma_j; F_j)_{1,d} \end{array} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where, $\zeta = t(1+\zeta)^{\beta+1}$, $\Phi[z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Omega_n z^n$, $\{\Omega_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an arbitrary complex sequence and

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n,m}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,y) &= \sum_{k=0}^{[n/m]} (-n)_{mk} \Omega_k x^k \\ &\times {}^{\gamma}I_{c+1,d+1}^{a,b+1} \left[y \mid \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : z), (-\alpha - (\beta+1)n, \epsilon; 1), (e_j, \rho_j; E_j)_{2,c} \\ (f_j, \sigma_j; F_j)_{1,d}, (-\alpha - \beta n - mk, \epsilon; 1) \end{array} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.5. If $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $0 \leq b \leq c$, $0 \leq a \leq d$, ρ_j, E_j ($j = 1, \dots, c$), σ_j, F_j ($1, \dots, d$) $\in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\alpha, \beta, e_j, f_j, \omega \in \mathbb{C}$, then the following bilateral generating relation holds:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_{n,m}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\omega; x, y) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{(1+\zeta)^{\alpha+1}}{1-\beta\zeta} \Phi[x(-\zeta)^m(1+\zeta)^\omega] \\ \times {}^{\Gamma}I_{c,d}^{a,b} \left[y(1+\zeta)^\epsilon \middle| \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : z), (e_j, \rho_j; E_j)_{2,c} \\ (f_j, \sigma_j; F_j)_{1,d} \end{array} \right], \quad (18)$$

where, $\zeta = t(1+\zeta)^{\beta+1}$, $\Phi[z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Omega_n z^n$, $\{\Omega_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an arbitrary complex sequence and

$$Q_{n,m}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\omega; x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{[n/m]} (-n)_{mk} \Omega_k x^k \\ \times {}^{\Gamma}I_{c+1,d+1}^{a,b+1} \left[y \middle| \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : z), (-\alpha - (\beta + 1)n - \omega k, \epsilon; 1), (e_j, \rho_j; E_j)_{2,c} \\ (f_j, \sigma_j; F_j)_{1,d}, (-\alpha - \beta n - (\omega + m)k, \epsilon; 1) \end{array} \right].$$

Proof. To prove the result, we first use the Mellin-Barnes type contour integral form of the incomplete I -function defined in (7), we have

$$Q_{n,m}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\omega; x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \Theta(\xi, z) y^\xi \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{[n/m]} \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha+(\beta+1)n+\omega k+\epsilon\xi)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha+\beta n+(\omega+m)k+\epsilon\xi)} (-n)_{mk} \Omega_k x^k \right\} d\xi, \quad (19)$$

where, $\Theta(\xi, z)$ is given in (9).

Putting (19) into the LHS of the bilateral generating relation (18), and after changing the order of the summation and integration, we obtain

$$I = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_{n,m}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\omega; x, y) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \Theta(\xi, z) y^\xi \\ \times \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha + \epsilon\xi + (\beta + 1)n}{n} t^n \sum_{k=0}^{[n/m]} \frac{(-n)_{mk}(1+\alpha+\epsilon\xi+(\beta+1)n)_{\omega k} \Omega_k x^k}{(1+\alpha+\epsilon\xi+\beta n)_{(\omega+m)k}} \right\} d\xi. \quad (20)$$

Now, use the following result given by Srivastava and Buschman [9],

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha + (\beta + 1)n}{n} t^n \sum_{k=0}^{[n/m]} \frac{(-n)_{mk}(1+\alpha+(\beta+1)n)_{\omega k} \Omega_k x^k}{(1+\alpha+\beta n)_{(\omega+m)k}} \\ = \frac{(1+\zeta)^{\alpha+1}}{1-\beta\zeta} \Phi[x(-\zeta)^m(1+\zeta)^\omega], \quad (21)$$

with $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha + \epsilon\xi$, we have from (20)

$$I = \frac{(1+\zeta)^{\alpha+1}}{1-\beta\zeta} \Phi[x(-\zeta)^m(1+\zeta)^\omega] \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \Theta(\xi, z) y^\xi (1+\zeta)^{\epsilon\xi} d\xi, \quad (22)$$

where, $\zeta = t(1+\zeta)^{\beta+1}$ and $\Theta(\xi, z)$ is defined in (9).

At last, use the definition (7) and $\Phi[z]$, we reached at the desired bilateral generating relation (18). \square

Theorem 2.6. If $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $0 \leq b \leq c$, $0 \leq a \leq d$, ρ_j, E_j ($j = 1, \dots, c$), σ_j, F_j ($1, \dots, d$) $\in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\alpha, \beta, e_j, f_j, \omega \in \mathbb{C}$, then the following bilateral generating relation holds:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_{n,m}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\omega; x, y) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{(1+\zeta)^{\alpha+1}}{1-\beta\zeta} \Phi[x(-\zeta)^m(1+\zeta)^\omega] \\ \times {}^{\gamma}I_{c,d}^{a,b} \left[y(1+\zeta)^\epsilon \left| \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : z), (e_j, \rho_j; E_j)_{2,c} \\ (f_j, \sigma_j; F_j)_{1,d} \end{array} \right. \right], \quad (23)$$

where, $\zeta = t(1+\zeta)^{\beta+1}$, $\Phi[z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Omega_n z^n$, $\{\Omega_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an arbitrary complex sequence and

$$Q_{n,m}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\omega; x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{[n/m]} (-n)_{mk} \Omega_k x^k \\ \times {}^{\gamma}I_{c+1,d+1}^{a,b+1} \left[y \left| \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : z), (-\alpha - (\beta + 1)n - \omega k, \epsilon; 1), (e_j, \rho_j; E_j)_{2,c} \\ (f_j, \sigma_j; F_j)_{1,d}, (-\alpha - \beta n - (\omega + m)k, \epsilon; 1) \end{array} \right. \right].$$

Remark 2.1. If we set $\omega = 0$ in (18) and (23) we get the relations (13) and (17), respectively.

Remark 2.2. If we set $\alpha = \lambda - 1, \beta = 0$ and $\zeta = t/(1-t)$ in the relations (13) and (17), respectively, we get the below Theorems 2.7 and 2.8.

Theorem 2.7. If $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $0 \leq b \leq c$, $0 \leq a \leq d$, ρ_j, E_j ($j = 1, \dots, c$), σ_j, F_j ($1, \dots, d$) $\in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\lambda, e_j, f_j \in \mathbb{C}$, then the following bilateral generating relation holds:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_n^m(x) {}^{\Gamma}I_{c+1,d}^{a,b+1} \left[y \left| \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : z), (1 - \lambda - n, \epsilon; 1), (e_j, \rho_j; E_j)_{2,c} \\ (f_j, \sigma_j; F_j)_{1,d} \end{array} \right. \right] \frac{t^n}{n!} \\ = (1-t)^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Omega_k}{(mk)!} \left[x \left(\frac{t}{1-t} \right)^m \right]^k \\ \times {}^{\Gamma}I_{c+1,d}^{a,b+1} \left[\frac{y}{(1-t)^\epsilon} \left| \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : z), (1 - \lambda - mk, \epsilon; 1), (e_j, \rho_j; E_j)_{2,c} \\ (f_j, \sigma_j; F_j)_{1,d} \end{array} \right. \right], \quad (24)$$

where, $\sigma_n^m(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{[n/m]} \binom{n}{mk} \Omega_k x^k$ and $\{\Omega_k\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an arbitrary complex sequence.

Theorem 2.8. If $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $0 \leq b \leq c$, $0 \leq a \leq d$, ρ_j, E_j ($j = 1, \dots, c$), σ_j, F_j ($1, \dots, d$) $\in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\lambda, e_j, f_j \in \mathbb{C}$, then the following bilateral generating relation holds:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_n^m(x) {}^{\gamma}I_{c+1,d}^{a,b+1} \left[y \left| \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : z), (1 - \lambda - n, \epsilon; 1), (e_j, \rho_j; E_j)_{2,c} \\ (f_j, \sigma_j; F_j)_{1,d} \end{array} \right. \right] \frac{t^n}{n!} \\ = (1-t)^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Omega_k}{(mk)!} \left[x \left(\frac{t}{1-t} \right)^m \right]^k \\ \times {}^{\gamma}I_{c+1,d}^{a,b+1} \left[\frac{y}{(1-t)^\epsilon} \left| \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : z), (1 - \lambda - mk, \epsilon; 1), (e_j, \rho_j; E_j)_{2,c} \\ (f_j, \sigma_j; F_j)_{1,d} \end{array} \right. \right], \quad (25)$$

where, $\sigma_n^m(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{[n/m]} \binom{n}{mk} \Omega_k x^k$ and $\{\Omega_k\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is an arbitrary complex sequence.

3. SPECIAL CASES

In this section, we derive the generating functions for the incomplete \bar{I} -functions.

If we set F_j ($j = 1, \dots, a$) = 1 in the definitions (6) and (7), respectively, we obtain the incomplete \bar{I} -functions ${}^\gamma \bar{I}_{c,d}^{a,b}(z)$ and ${}^\Gamma \bar{I}_{c,d}^{a,b}(z)$ in the following manner

$${}^\gamma \bar{I}_{c,d}^{a,b}(z) = {}^\gamma \bar{I}_{c,d}^{a,b} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : x), \dots, (e_b, \rho_b; E_b), \\ (f_1, \sigma_1; 1), \dots, (f_a, \sigma_a; 1), \\ (e_{b+1}, \rho_{b+1}; E_{b+1}), \dots, (e_c, \rho_c; E_c) \\ (f_{a+1}, \sigma_{a+1}; F_{a+1}), \dots, (f_d, \sigma_d; F_d) \end{array} \right. \right], \quad (26)$$

and

$${}^\Gamma \bar{I}_{c,d}^{a,b}(z) = {}^\Gamma \bar{I}_{c,d}^{a,b} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : x), \dots, (e_b, \rho_b; E_b), \\ (f_1, \sigma_1; 1), \dots, (f_a, \sigma_a; 1), \\ (e_{b+1}, \rho_{b+1}; E_{b+1}), \dots, (e_c, \rho_c; E_c) \\ (f_{a+1}, \sigma_{a+1}; F_{a+1}), \dots, (f_d, \sigma_d; F_d) \end{array} \right. \right]. \quad (27)$$

It is interesting to note that

$${}^\gamma \bar{I}_{c,d}^{a,b}(z) + {}^\Gamma \bar{I}_{c,d}^{a,b}(z) = \bar{I}_{c,d}^{a,b}(z), \quad (28)$$

where, $\bar{I}_{c,d}^{a,b}(z)$ denotes the familiar \bar{I} -function given by Rathie[7].

Now, if we set F_j ($j = 1, \dots, a$) = 1 in Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.3, Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.7 then we obtain the linear and bilateral generating relations involving incomplete ${}^\Gamma \bar{I}_{c,d}^{a,b}(z)$ function and given as corollaries:

Corollary 3.1. If $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $0 \leq b \leq c$, $0 \leq a \leq d$, ρ_j, E_j ($j = 1, \dots, c$), σ_j, F_j ($1, \dots, d$) $\in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $e_j, f_j, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then the following linear generating relation holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda + l - 1}{l} {}^\Gamma \bar{I}_{c,d}^{a,b} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : x), (e_2, \rho_2; E_2), \dots, (e_b, \rho_b; E_b), \\ (\lambda + l, 1; 1), (f_2, \sigma_2; 1), \dots, (f_a, \sigma_a; 1), \\ (e_{b+1}, \rho_{b+1}; E_{b+1}), \dots, (e_{c-1}, \rho_{c-1}; E_{c-1}), (\lambda + l, 0; 1) \\ (f_{a+1}, \sigma_{a+1}; F_{a+1}), \dots, (f_d, \sigma_d; F_d) \end{array} \right. \right] y^l \\ &= (1-y)^{-\lambda} {}^\Gamma \bar{I}_{c,d}^{a,b} \left[z(1-y) \left| \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : x), (e_2, \rho_2; E_2), \dots, (e_b, \rho_b; E_b), \\ (\lambda, 1; 1), (f_2, \sigma_2; 1), \dots, (f_a, \sigma_a; 1), \\ (e_{b+1}, \rho_{b+1}; E_{b+1}), \dots, (e_{c-1}, \rho_{c-1}; E_{c-1}), (\lambda, 0; 1) \\ (f_{a+1}, \sigma_{a+1}; F_{a+1}), \dots, (f_d, \sigma_d; F_d) \end{array} \right. \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Corollary 3.2. If $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $0 \leq b \leq c$, $0 \leq a \leq d$, ρ_j, E_j ($j = 1, \dots, c$), σ_j, F_j ($1, \dots, d$) $\in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\alpha, \beta, e_j, f_j \in \mathbb{C}$, then the following bilateral generating relation

holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{n,m}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,y) \frac{t^n}{n!} &= \frac{(1+\zeta)^{\alpha+1}}{1-\beta\zeta} \Phi[x(-\zeta)^m] \\ &\times {}^{\Gamma}\bar{I}_{c,d}^{a,b} \left[y(1+\zeta)^{\epsilon} \mid \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : x), (e_2, \rho_2; E_2), \dots, (e_b, \rho_b; E_b), \\ (f_1, \sigma_1; 1), \dots, (f_a, \sigma_a; 1), \\ (e_{b+1}, \rho_{b+1}; E_{b+1}), \dots, (e_c, \rho_c; E_c) \\ (f_{a+1}, \sigma_{a+1}; F_{a+1}), \dots, (f_d, \sigma_d; F_d) \end{array} \right], \quad (30) \end{aligned}$$

where, $\zeta = t(1+\zeta)^{\beta+1}$, $\Phi[z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Omega_n z^n$, $\{\Omega_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an arbitrary complex sequence and

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n,m}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,y) &= \sum_{k=0}^{[n/m]} (-n)_{mk} \Omega_k x^k \\ &\times {}^{\Gamma}\bar{I}_{c+1,d+1}^{a,b+1} \left[y \mid \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : x), (-\alpha - (\beta + 1)n, \epsilon; 1), (e_2, \rho_2; E_2), \dots, (e_b, \rho_b; E_b), \\ (f_1, \sigma_1; 1), \dots, (f_a, \sigma_a; 1), \\ (e_{b+1}, \rho_{b+1}; E_{b+1}), \dots, (e_c, \rho_c; E_c) \\ (f_{a+1}, \sigma_{a+1}; F_{a+1}), \dots, (f_d, \sigma_d; F_d), (-\alpha - \beta n - mk, \epsilon; 1) \end{array} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.3. If $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $0 \leq b \leq c$, $0 \leq a \leq d$, ρ_j, E_j ($j = 1, \dots, c$), σ_j, F_j ($1, \dots, d$) $\in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\alpha, \beta, e_j, f_j, \omega \in \mathbb{C}$, then the following bilateral generating relation holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_{n,m}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\omega; x, y) \frac{t^n}{n!} &= \frac{(1+\zeta)^{\alpha+1}}{1-\beta\zeta} \Phi[x(-\zeta)^m (1+\zeta)^{\omega}] \\ &\times {}^{\Gamma}\bar{I}_{c,d}^{a,b} \left[y(1+\zeta)^{\epsilon} \mid \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : z), (e_2, \rho_2; E_2), \dots, (e_b, \rho_b; E_b), \\ (f_1, \sigma_1; 1), \dots, (f_a, \sigma_a; 1), \\ (e_{b+1}, \rho_{b+1}; E_{b+1}), \dots, (e_c, \rho_c; E_c) \\ (f_{a+1}, \sigma_{a+1}; F_{a+1}), \dots, (f_d, \sigma_d; F_d) \end{array} \right], \quad (31) \end{aligned}$$

where, $\zeta = t(1+\zeta)^{\beta+1}$, $\Phi[z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Omega_n z^n$, $\{\Omega_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an arbitrary complex sequence and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n,m}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\omega; x, y) &= \sum_{k=0}^{[n/m]} (-n)_{mk} \Omega_k x^k \\ &\times {}^{\Gamma}\bar{I}_{c+1,d+1}^{a,b+1} \left[y(1+\zeta)^{\epsilon} \mid \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : z), (-\alpha - (\beta + 1)n - \omega k, \epsilon; 1), (e_2, \rho_2; E_2), \dots, (e_b, \rho_b; E_b), \\ (f_1, \sigma_1; 1), \dots, (f_a, \sigma_a; 1), \\ (e_{b+1}, \rho_{b+1}; E_{b+1}), \dots, (e_c, \rho_c; E_c) \\ (f_{a+1}, \sigma_{a+1}; F_{a+1}), \dots, (f_d, \sigma_d; F_d), (-\alpha - \beta n - (\omega + m)k, \epsilon; 1) \end{array} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.4. If $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $0 \leq b \leq c$, $0 \leq a \leq d$, ρ_j, E_j ($j = 1, \dots, c$), σ_j, F_j ($1, \dots, d$) $\in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\lambda, e_j, f_j \in \mathbb{C}$, then the following bilateral generating relation

holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_n^m(x) {}^{\Gamma}\bar{I}_{c+1, d}^{a, b+1} \left[y \mid \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : z), (1 - \lambda - n, \epsilon; 1), (e_2, \rho_2; E_2), \dots, (e_b, \rho_b; E_b), \\ (f_1, \sigma_1; 1), \dots, (f_a, \sigma_a; 1), \\ (e_{b+1}, \rho_{b+1}; E_{b+1}), \dots, (e_c, \rho_c; E_c) \\ (f_{a+1}, \sigma_{a+1}; F_{a+1}), \dots, (f_d, \sigma_d; F_d) \end{array} \right] \frac{t^n}{n!} \\
 & = (1-t)^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Omega_k}{(mk)!} \left[x \left(\frac{t}{1-t} \right)^m \right]^k \\
 & \quad \times {}^{\Gamma}\bar{I}_{c+1, d}^{a, b+1} \left[\frac{y}{(1-t)^\epsilon} \mid \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \rho_1; E_1 : z), (1 - \lambda - mk, \epsilon; 1), (e_2, \rho_2; E_2), \dots, (e_b, \rho_b; E_b), \\ (f_1, \sigma_1; 1), \dots, (f_a, \sigma_a; 1), \\ (e_{b+1}, \rho_{b+1}; E_{b+1}), \dots, (e_c, \rho_c; E_c) \\ (f_{a+1}, \sigma_{a+1}; F_{a+1}), \dots, (f_d, \sigma_d; F_d) \end{array} \right], \quad (32)
 \end{aligned}$$

where, $\sigma_n^m(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{[n/m]} \binom{n}{mk} \Omega_k x^k$ and $\{\Omega_k\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an arbitrary complex sequence.

Remark 3.1. If we set E_j ($j = b+1, \dots, c$) = 1 and F_j ($j = 1, \dots, a$) = 1 in above corollaries, then we may obtain the linear and bilateral generating relations involving incomplete \bar{H} -functions defined by Srivastava et al. [13].

Remark 3.2. Again, if we set E_j ($j = b+1, \dots, c$) = 1 and F_j ($j = 1, \dots, d$) = 1 in above theorems, then we obtain the linear and bilateral generating relations involving incomplete H -functions given by Jangid et al. [5].

4. CONCLUSION

We have derived linear and bilateral generating functions involving incomplete I -functions and incomplete \bar{I} -functions. The results obtained are of a general nature, as special cases may result in generating functions involving special functions such as H -function, G -function, Fox-Wright function and hypergeometric functions.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the referee for his/her careful reading and suggestions that helped to improve this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chaudhry, M. A. and Zubair, S. M., (2001), On a Class of Incomplete Gamma Functions with Applications, 17 Chapman and Hall, (CRC Press Company), Boca Raton, London, New York and Washington, D.C.
- [2] Chaudhry, M. A. and Zubair, S. M., (2002), Extended incomplete gamma functions with applications, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 274, pp. 725-745.
- [3] Jangid, K., Bhatter, S., Meena, S., Baleanu, D. and Purohit, S.D., (2020), Certain classes of the incomplete I -functions and their properties (communicated).
- [4] Jangid, K., Bhatter, S., Meena, S., Baleanu, D., Qurashi, M. A. and Purohit, S. D., (2020), Some fractional calculus findings associated with the incomplete I -functions, Adv. Diff. Eqs., 265.
- [5] Jangid, K., Purohit, S. D., Nisar, K. S. and Araci, S., (2020), Generating functions involving the incomplete H -functions (communicated).
- [6] Raina, R. K., (1976), A formal extension of certain generating functions, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India Sect. A., 46, pp. 300-304.
- [7] Rathie, A. K., (1997), A new generalization of generalized Hypergeometric functions, Le Math., LII, pp. 297-310.
- [8] Srivastava, H. M., (1971), A class of generating functions for generalized hypergeometric polynomials, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 35, pp. 230-235.

-
- [9] Srivastava, H. M. and Buschman, R. G., (1975), Some polynomials defined by generating relations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 205, pp. 360-370.
- [10] Srivastava, H. M., Chaudhary, M. A. and Agarwal, R. P., (2012), The incomplete pochhammer symbols and their applications to hypergeometric and related functions, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, 23, pp. 659-683.
- [11] Srivastava, H. M. and Manocha, H. L., (1984), *A treatise on generating functions*, Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisban and Toronto.
- [12] Srivastava, H. M. and Raina, R. K., (1981), New generating functions for certain polynomial systems associated with the H -functions, *Hokkaido Math. J.*, 10, pp. 34-45.
- [13] Srivastava, H. M., Saxena, R. K. and Parmar, R. K., (2018), Some families of the incomplete H -functions and the incomplete \bar{H} -functions and associated integral transforms and operators of fractional calculus with applications, *Russian J. Math. Phys.*, 25, pp. 116-138.
- [14] Srivastava, R. and Cho, N. E., (2012), Generating functions for a certain class of incomplete hypergeometric polynomials, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 219, pp. 3219-3225.
-

Sapna Meena for the photography and short autobiography, see TWMS J. App. and Eng. Math., V.12, N.2.

Sanjay Bhatter for the photography and short autobiography, see TWMS J. App. and Eng. Math., V.12, N.2.

Kamlesh Jangid for the photography and short autobiography, see TWMS J. App. and Eng. Math., V.12, N.2.

Sunil Dutt Purohit for the photography and short autobiography, see TWMS J. App. and Eng. Math., Vol 7, N.1, 2017.

K. S. Nisar for the photography and short autobiography, see TWMS J. App. and Eng. Math., Vol 8, N.1, 2018.
