ON PROPER HAMILTONIAN-CONNECTION NUMBER OF GRAPHS

R. SAMPATHKUMAR¹, S. ANANTHARAMAN¹, §

ABSTRACT. A graph G is Hamiltonian-connected if every two vertices of G are connected by a Hamilton path. A bipartite graph H is Hamiltonian-laceable if any two vertices from different partite sets of H are connected by a Hamilton path. An edge-coloring (adjacent edges may receive the same color) of a Hamiltonian-connected (respectively, Hamiltonian-laceable) graph G (resp. H) is a proper Hamilton path coloring if every two vertices u and v of G (resp. H) are connected by a Hamilton path P_{uv} such that no two adjacent edges of P_{uv} are colored the same. The minimum number of colors in a proper Hamilton path coloring of G (resp. H) is the proper Hamiltonian-connection number of G (resp. H). In this paper, proper Hamiltonian-connection numbers are determined for some classes of Hamiltonian-connected graphs and that of Hamiltonian-laceable graphs.

Keywords: Hamiltonian-connected graph, Hamiltonian-laceable graph, proper Hamilton path coloring, proper Hamiltonian-connection number.

AMS Subject Classification: 05C15, 05C45.

1. Hamiltonian-connected graphs

We refer the book [1] for graph theory notation and terminology not described here. A Hamilton path in a graph G is a path containing every vertex of G. A graph G is Hamiltonian-connected if for every pair u, v of distinct vertices of G, there is a Hamilton u-v path in G. Let G be an edge-colored connected graph, where adjacent edges may be colored the same. A path P in G is properly colored or P is a proper path in G if no two adjacent edges of P are colored the same.

For a Hamiltonian-connected graph G, an edge-coloring $c: E(G) \to \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ is a proper Hamilton path k-coloring if any two vertices of G are connected by a proper Hamilton path in G. An edge-coloring c is a proper Hamilton path coloring if c is a proper Hamilton path k-coloring for some positive integer k. The minimum number of colors in a proper Hamilton path coloring of G is the proper Hamiltonian-connection number of G, denoted by hpc(G).

Since every proper edge-coloring of a Hamiltonian-connected graph G is a proper Hamilton path coloring of G and there is no proper Hamilton path 1-coloring of G, we have $2 \le \operatorname{hpc}(G) \le \chi'(G)$, where G is of order at least 3 and $\chi'(G)$ is the chromatic index of G.

Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar - 608 002, India. e-mail: sampathmath@gmail.com; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4910-7074.

e-mail: ksskcomputer@gmail.com; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6671-3386.

Manuscript received: April 24, 2020; accepted: June 22, 2020.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.12, No.3 © Işık University, Department of Mathematics, 2022; all rights reserved.

In [2], Bi, Byers and Zhang introduced the concept of proper Hamiltonian-connection number for Hamiltonian-connected graphs and proved that: for every integer $n \geq 4$, $hpc(K_n) = 2$, where K_n is the complete graph on n vertices; for each odd integer $n \geq 3$, $hpc(C_n \square K_2) = 3$, where C_n is the cycle on n vertices and \square denotes the Cartesian product. Also, they conjectured that: if G is a Hamiltonian-connected graph, then $hpc(G) \leq 3$.

Let G be a Hamiltonian-connected graph of order $n \geq 4$. Then, G is 3-connected, and so $\delta(G) \geq 3$, where $\delta(G)$ is the minimum degree of G. This implies that the minimum possible size of G is $\left|\frac{3n+1}{2}\right|$. In [4], Moon proved that for each integer $n \geq 4$, there exists a Hamiltonian-connected graph of order n and size $\left|\frac{3n+1}{2}\right|$.

For each integer $k \geq 2$, consider $P_k \square K_2$. The two disjoint paths in $P_k \square K_2$ of order k with $x_i y_i \in E(P_k \square K_2)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ are $P_k = x_1 x_2 ... x_k$ and $P'_k = y_1 y_2 ... y_k$. Let H_k be the cubic graph of order 2k+2 obtained by adding two adjacent vertices uand v to $P_k \square K_2$ and joining the vertex u to x_1 and y_1 ; the vertex v to x_k and y_k . Graph H_k is Hamiltonian-connected and has the minimum size 3(k+1) among the Hamiltonianconnected graphs of even order 2k+2. For $k\geq 3$, the graph F_k of odd order 2k+1is constructed from $P_k \square K_2$ by adding a new vertex u and joining u to each vertex in $\{x_1, x_k, y_1, y_k\}$. Graph F_k has 2k vertices of degree 3 and one vertex of degree 4; it is a Hamiltonian-connected graph and has the minimum size 3k + 2 among the Hamiltonianconnected graphs of order 2k+1. In [2], Bi et al. proved that, for each integer $k\geq 2$, $hpc(H_k) = 3$ and for each integer $k \geq 3$, $hpc(F_k) = 3$.

A circulant graph, denoted by $Circ(n : \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\})$, where $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k$ $\leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, has vertices $v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n-1}$ and edge $v_i v_j$ if, and only if, $|j-i| \equiv a_t \pmod{n}$ for some $t, t \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$. If 'n is even and $a_k \neq \frac{n}{2}$ ' or 'n is odd', then it is 2k-regular; otherwise, it is (2k-1)-regular. In circulants, subscripts in v_i are reduced modulo n.

2. Graphs with hpc = 2

The only known graph with hpc = 2 is K_n , where $n \geq 4$. Let G be a Hamiltonianconnected graph of order at least 4. To show that hpc(G) = 2, we must show that G has a proper Hamilton path 2-coloring; that is, a 2-edge-coloring of G with the property that for every two vertices u and v of G, there is a proper Hamilton u-v path in G. In this section, we find more graphs in the class of graphs with hpc = 2.

Lemma 2.1. For every integer $n \ge 7$, $hpc(Circ(n : \{1, 2, 3\})) = 2$.

Proof. We consider two cases, according to whether n is even or odd. Case 1. n is even.

Let n = 2k, $k \ge 4$, $G = Circ(2k : \{1, 2, 3\})$ and $F = \{v_i v_{i+1} : i \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, 2k - 1\}\}$, where $v_{2k} = v_0$. Then, F is a 1-factor of G. Define an edge-coloring c of G by assigning color blue to each edge of F and color red to the remaining edges of G. We show that for every two vertices v_i and v_j of G, there is a proper Hamilton v_i - v_j path in G. As the edge-colored G is vertex-transitive, we verify for i=0.

(Observe that, in the following paths, the first and the last edges are colored blue.) v_0 - v_1 path: $v_0v_{2k-1}v_{2k-2}v_{2k-3} \dots v_4v_3v_2v_1$;

 v_0 - v_2 path: $v_0v_{2k-1}v_{2k-2}v_{2k-3} \dots v_4v_3v_1v_2$;

 v_0 - v_3 path: for $k \geq 5$, $v_0v_{2k-1}v_{2k-2}v_{2k-3} \dots v_5v_2v_1v_4v_3$; for k = 4, $v_0v_7v_6v_5v_2v_1v_4v_3$; v_0 - v_4 path: for $k \geq 5$, $v_0v_{2k-1}v_{2k-2}v_{2k-3} \dots v_5v_2v_1v_3v_4$; for k = 4, $v_0v_7v_6v_5v_2v_1v_3v_4$; $v_0 - v_{2i-1}$ path: $v_0 v_{2k-1} v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 \dots v_{2i-2} v_{2i+1} v_{2i+2} v_{2i+5} v_{2i+6} v_{2i+9} v_{2i+10} \dots$

 $v_{2k-13}v_{2k-12} \ v_{2k-9}v_{2k-8} \ v_{2k-5}v_{2k-4} \ v_{2k-2} \ v_{2k-3} \ v_{2k-6}v_{2k-7} \ v_{2k-10}v_{2k-11} \ v_{2k-14}v_{2k-15}$ $\dots v_{2i+12}v_{2i+11} v_{2i+8}v_{2i+7} v_{2i+4}v_{2i+3} v_{2i}v_{2i-1}$

```
\ldots, k-7, k-5, k-3;
         \mbox{for } k \ = \ 9, \ v_0 v_{17} v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 v_9 v_{10} v_{13} v_{14} v_{16} v_{15} v_{12} v_{11} v_8 v_7,
                                 v_0v_{17}v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6v_7v_8v_9v_{10}v_{13}v_{14}v_{16}v_{15}v_{12}v_{11};
         for k = 8, v_0v_{15}v_1v_2v_3v_4v_7v_8v_{11}v_{12}v_{14}v_{13}v_{10}v_9v_6v_5,
                                 v_0v_{15}v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6v_7v_8v_{11}v_{12}v_{14}v_{13}v_{10}v_9;
         for k = 7, v_0v_{13}v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6v_9v_{10}v_{12}v_{11}v_8v_7;
         for k = 6, v_0v_{11}v_1v_2v_3v_4v_7v_8v_{10}v_9v_6v_5; and
    v_0v_{2k-1}\ v_1v_2v_3v_4\dots v_{2i-2}\ v_{2i+1}v_{2i+2}\ v_{2i+5}v_{2i+6}\ v_{2i+9}v_{2i+10}\dots\ v_{2k-15}v_{2k-14}\ v_{2k-11}v_{2k-10}
         v_{2k-7}v_{2k-6} \ v_{2k-3} \ v_{2k-2} \ v_{2k-4}v_{2k-5} \ v_{2k-8}v_{2k-9} \ v_{2k-12}v_{2k-13} \dots v_{2i+12}v_{2i+11} \ v_{2i+8}v_{2i+7}
         v_{2i+4}v_{2i+3} v_{2i}v_{2i-1}
if 'k \ge 10 is even and i \in \{4, 6, 8, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 9 is odd and i \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 9 is odd and k \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 9 is odd and k \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 9 is odd and k \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 9 is odd and k \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 9 is odd and k \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 9 is odd and k \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 9 is odd and k \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 9 is odd and k \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 9 is odd and k \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 9 is odd and k \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 9 is odd and k \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 9 is odd and 'k \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 9 is odd and 'k \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 9 is odd and 'k \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 9 is odd and 'k \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 9 is odd and 'k \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 9 is odd and 'k \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 9 is odd and 'k \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 9 is odd and 'k \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 9 is odd and 'k \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 9 is odd and 'k \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 9 is odd and 'k \ge 9.
k-6, k-4, k-2}';
         for k = 8, v_0v_{15}v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6v_9v_{10}v_{13}v_{14}v_{12}v_{11}v_8v_7,
                                 v_0v_{15}v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6v_7v_8v_9v_{10}v_{13}v_{14}v_{12}v_{11};
         for k = 7, v_0v_{13}v_1v_2v_3v_4v_7v_8v_{11}v_{12}v_{10}v_9v_6v_5, v_0v_{13}v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6v_7v_8v_{11}v_{12}v_{10}v_9;
         for k = 6, v_0v_{11}v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6v_9v_{10}v_8v_7;
         for k = 5, v_0v_9v_1v_2v_3v_4v_7v_8v_6v_5; and
     v_0-v_{2i} path: v_0v_{2k-1}v_{2k-2}v_{2k-3}\dots v_{2i+1} v_{2i-2}v_{2i-3} v_{2i-6}v_{2i-7} v_{2i-10}v_{2i-11} ...
         v_{13} \ v_{10}v_9 \ v_6v_5 \ v_2v_1 \ v_3v_4 \ v_7v_8 \ v_{11}v_{12} \dots v_{2i-13}v_{2i-12} \ v_{2i-9}v_{2i-8} \ v_{2i-5}v_{2i-4} \ v_{2i-1}v_{2i}
if k \ge 10 is even and i \in \{4, 6, 8, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\} or k \ge 11 is odd and i \in \{4, 6, 8, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}
k-7, k-5, k-3;
         for k = 9, v_0v_{17}v_{16}v_{15}v_{14}v_{13}v_{12}v_{11}v_{10}v_9v_6v_5v_2v_1v_3v_4v_7v_8,
                                 v_0v_{17}v_{16}v_{15}v_{14}v_{13}v_{10}v_9v_6v_5v_2v_1v_3v_4v_7v_8v_{11}v_{12};
         for k = 8, v_0v_{15}v_{14}v_{13}v_{12}v_{11}v_{10}v_9v_6v_5v_2v_1v_3v_4v_7v_8,
                                 v_0v_{15}v_{14}v_{13}v_{10}v_9v_6v_5v_2v_1v_3v_4v_7v_8v_{11}v_{12};
         for k = 7, v_0v_{13}v_{12}v_{11}v_{10}v_9v_6v_5v_2v_1v_3v_4v_7v_8;
         for k = 6, v_0v_{11}v_{10}v_9v_6v_5v_2v_1v_3v_4v_7v_8; and
     v_0v_{2k-1}v_{2k-2}v_{2k-3}\dots v_{2i+1}\ v_{2i-2}v_{2i-3}\ v_{2i-6}v_{2i-7}\ v_{2i-10}v_{2i-11}\ \dots
         v_{15} v_{12} v_{11} v_{8} v_{7} v_{4} v_{3} v_{1} v_{2} v_{5} v_{6} v_{9} v_{10} v_{13} v_{14} ... v_{2i-13} v_{2i-12} v_{2i-9} v_{2i-8} v_{2i-5} v_{2i-4} v_{2i-1} v_{2i}
k-6, k-4, k-2;
         for k = 8, v_0 v_{15} v_{14} v_{13} v_{12} v_{11} v_{10} v_9 v_8 v_7 v_4 v_3 v_1 v_2 v_5 v_6,
                                 v_0v_{15}v_{14}v_{13}v_{12}v_{11}v_8v_7v_4v_3v_1v_2v_5v_6v_9v_{10};
         for k = 7, v_0v_{13}v_{12}v_{11}v_{10}v_9v_8v_7v_4v_3v_1v_2v_5v_6, v_0v_{13}v_{12}v_{11}v_8v_7v_4v_3v_1v_2v_5v_6v_9v_{10};
         for k = 6, v_0v_{11}v_{10}v_9v_8v_7v_4v_3v_1v_2v_5v_6;
         for k = 5, v_0v_9v_8v_7v_4v_3v_1v_2v_5v_6;
     v_0-v_{2k-3} path: for k \geq 5, v_0v_{2k-1}v_1v_2v_3 \dots v_{2k-5}v_{2k-4}v_{2k-2}v_{2k-3};
                                 for k = 4, v_0v_7v_1v_2v_3v_4v_6v_5;
     v_0-v_{2k-2} path: for k \geq 5, v_0v_{2k-1}v_1v_2v_3 \dots v_{2k-5}v_{2k-4}v_{2k-3}v_{2k-2};
                                 for k = 4, v_0v_7v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6;
     (Observe that, in the following path, the first and the last edges are colored red.)
     v_0-v_{2k-1} path: v_0v_1v_2v_3 ... v_{2k-4}v_{2k-3}v_{2k-2}v_{2k-1}.
Case 2. n is odd.
     Let n = 2k - 1, k \ge 4, G = Circ(2k - 1 : \{1, 2, 3\}) and C = v_0v_1v_2 \dots v_{2k-2}v_0. Then, C
is a Hamilton cycle of G. Define an edge-coloring c of G by assigning color red to each edge
of C and color blue to the remaining edges of G. As the edge-colored G is vertex-transitive,
we show that for every vertex v_i, j \neq 0, of G, there is a proper Hamilton v_0-v_i path in G.
     v_0-v_1 path: for k \geq 7, v_0 v_{2k-3}v_{2k-2} v_{2k-5}v_{2k-4} v_{2k-7}v_{2k-6} \dots v_5v_6 v_3v_4 v_2v_1;
                            for k = 6, v_0v_9v_{10}v_7v_8v_5v_6v_3v_4v_2v_1;
```

```
for k = 5, v_0v_7v_8v_5v_6v_3v_4v_2v_1;
                                 for k = 4, v_0v_5v_6v_3v_4v_2v_1;
     v_0-v_2 path: for k \ge 7, v_0 \ v_{2k-3}v_{2k-2} \ v_{2k-5}v_{2k-4} \ v_{2k-7}v_{2k-6} \ \dots \ v_5v_6 \ v_3v_4 \ v_1v_2;
                                 for k = 6, v_0v_9v_{10}v_7v_8v_5v_6v_3v_4v_1v_2;
                                 for k = 5, v_0v_7v_8v_5v_6v_3v_4v_1v_2;
                                 for k = 4, v_0v_5v_6v_3v_4v_1v_2;
     v_0 \text{-} v_3 \text{ path: for } k \ \geq \ 8, \ v_0 \ v_2 v_1 \ v_{2k-3} v_{2k-2} \ v_{2k-5} v_{2k-4} \ v_{2k-7} v_{2k-6} \ \dots \ v_7 v_8 \ v_5 v_6 \ v_4 v_3;
                                 for k = 7, v_0v_2v_1v_{11}v_{12}v_9v_{10}v_7v_8v_5v_6v_4v_3;
                                 for k = 6, v_0v_2v_1v_9v_{10}v_7v_8v_5v_6v_4v_3;
                                 for k = 5, v_0v_2v_1v_7v_8v_5v_6v_4v_3;
                                 for k = 4, v_0v_2v_1v_5v_6v_4v_3;
     v_0 \hbox{-} v_4 \text{ path: for } k \ \ge \ 8, \ v_0 \ v_2 v_1 \ v_{2k-3} v_{2k-2} \ v_{2k-5} v_{2k-4} \ v_{2k-7} v_{2k-6} \ \dots \ v_7 v_8 \ v_5 v_6 \ v_3 v_4;
                                 for k = 7, v_0v_2v_1v_{11}v_{12}v_9v_{10}v_7v_8v_5v_6v_3v_4;
                                 for k = 6, v_0v_2v_1v_9v_{10}v_7v_8v_5v_6v_3v_4;
                                 for k = 5, v_0v_2v_1v_7v_8v_5v_6v_3v_4;
                                 for k = 4, v_0v_2v_1v_5v_6v_3v_4;
     v_0 - v_{2i-1} path: v_0 v_{2k-2} v_2 v_1 v_4 v_3 v_6 v_5 ... v_{2i-6} v_{2i-7} v_{2i-4} v_{2i-5} v_{2i-2} v_{2i-3} v_{2i} v_{2i+1}
           v_{2i+4}v_{2i+5} v_{2i+8}v_{2i+9} ... v_{2k-12}v_{2k-11} v_{2k-8}v_{2k-7} v_{2k-4}v_{2k-3} v_{2k-5}v_{2k-6}
           v_{2k-9}v_{2k-10} v_{2k-13}v_{2k-14} ... v_{2i+11}v_{2i+10} v_{2i+7}v_{2i+6} v_{2i+3}v_{2i+2} v_{2i-1}
if 'k \ge 10 is even and i \in \{4, 6, 8, \dots, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11 is odd and i \in \{3, 5, 7, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11 is odd and k \in \{3, 5, 7, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11 is odd and k \in \{3, 5, 7, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11 is odd and k \in \{3, 5, 7, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11 is odd and k \in \{3, 5, 7, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11 is odd and k \in \{3, 5, 7, k-6, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11 is odd and k \in \{3, 5, 7, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11 is odd and k \in \{3, 5, 7, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11 is odd and k \in \{3, 5, 7, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11 is odd and k \in \{3, 5, 7, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11 is odd and k \in \{3, 5, 7, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11 is odd and k \in \{3, 5, 7, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11 is odd and k \in \{3, 5, 7, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11 is odd and 'k \in \{3, 5, 7, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11' is odd and 'k \in \{3, 5, 7, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11' is odd and 'k \in \{3, 5, 7, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11' is odd and 'k \in \{4, 6, 8, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11' is odd and 'k \in \{4, 6, 8, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11' is odd and 'k \in \{4, 6, 8, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11' is odd and 'k \in \{4, 6, 8, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11' is odd and 'k \in \{4, 6, 8, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11' is odd and 'k \in \{4, 6, 8, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11' is odd and 'k \in \{4, 6, 8, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11' is odd and 'k \in \{4, 6, 8, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11' is odd and 'k \in \{4, 6, 8, k-4, k-2\}' or 'k \ge 11' or 'k \ge 11'
\ldots, k-6, k-4, k-2;
           for k = 9, v_0v_{16}v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_7v_{10}v_{11}v_{14}v_{15}v_{13}v_{12}v_9v_8v_5,
                                      v_0v_{16}v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_8v_7v_{10}v_{11}v_{14}v_{15}v_{13}v_{12}v_9,
                                      v_0v_{16}v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_8v_7v_{10}v_9v_{12}v_{11}v_{14}v_{15}v_{13};
           for k = 8, v_0v_{14}v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_8v_9v_{12}v_{13}v_{11}v_{10}v_7, v_0v_{14}v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_8v_7v_{10}v_9v_{12}v_{13}v_{11};
           for k = 7, v_0v_{12}v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_7v_{10}v_{11}v_9v_8v_5, v_0v_{12}v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_8v_7v_{10}v_{11}v_9;
           for k = 6, v_0v_{10}v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_8v_9v_7; and
     v_0v_{2k-2} v_2v_1 v_4v_3 v_6v_5\dots v_{2i-6}v_{2i-7} v_{2i-4}v_{2i-5} v_{2i-2}v_{2i-3} v_{2i}v_{2i+1}
           v_{2i+4}v_{2i+5} v_{2i+8}v_{2i+9} ... v_{2k-14}v_{2k-13} v_{2k-10}v_{2k-9} v_{2k-6}v_{2k-5} v_{2k-3}v_{2k-4}
           v_{2k-7}v_{2k-8} v_{2k-11}v_{2k-12}\dots v_{2i+11}v_{2i+10} v_{2i+7}v_{2i+6} v_{2i+3}v_{2i+2} v_{2i-1}
if 'k > 10 is even and i \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-7, k-5, k-3\}' or 'k > 11 is odd and i \in \{4, 6, 8, 6\}
\dots, k-7, k-5, k-3;
           \mbox{for } k \ = \ 9, \, v_0 v_{16} v_2 v_1 v_4 v_3 v_6 v_5 v_8 v_9 v_{12} v_{13} v_{15} v_{14} v_{11} v_{10} v_7, \\
                                      v_0v_{16}v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_8v_7v_{10}v_9v_{12}v_{13}v_{15}v_{14}v_{11};
           \text{for } k \ = \ 8, \, v_0 v_{14} v_2 v_1 v_4 v_3 v_6 v_7 v_{10} v_{11} v_{13} v_{12} v_9 v_8 v_5, \, v_0 v_{14} v_2 v_1 v_4 v_3 v_6 v_5 v_8 v_7 v_{10} v_{11} v_{13} v_{12} v_9;
           for k = 7, v_0v_{12}v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_8v_9v_{11}v_{10}v_7;
           for k = 6, v_0v_{10}v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_7v_9v_8v_5;
     v_0-v_{2i} path: v_0v_1 v_3v_2 v_5v_4 v_7v_6 ... v_{2i-7}v_{2i-8} v_{2i-5}v_{2i-6} v_{2i-3}v_{2i-4} v_{2i-1}v_{2i-2}
           v_{2i+1}v_{2i+2} v_{2i+5}v_{2i+6} v_{2i+9}v_{2i+10} ... v_{2k-11}v_{2k-10} v_{2k-7}v_{2k-6} v_{2k-3}v_{2k-2}
           v_{2k-4}v_{2k-5} v_{2k-8}v_{2k-9} v_{2k-12}v_{2k-13} ... v_{2i+12}v_{2i+11} v_{2i+8}v_{2i+7} v_{2i+4}v_{2i+3} v_{2i}
k-6, k-4, k-2;
           for k = 9, v_0v_1v_3v_2v_5v_4v_7v_8v_{11}v_{12}v_{15}v_{16}v_{14}v_{13}v_{10}v_9v_6,
                                      v_0v_1v_3v_2v_5v_4v_7v_6v_9v_8v_{11}v_{12}v_{15}v_{16}v_{14}v_{13}v_{10},
                                       v_0v_1v_3v_2v_5v_4v_7v_6v_9v_8v_{11}v_{10}v_{13}v_{12}v_{15}v_{16}v_{14};
           for k = 8, v_0v_1v_3v_2v_5v_4v_7v_6v_9v_{10}v_{13}v_{14}v_{12}v_{11}v_8, v_0v_1v_3v_2v_5v_4v_7v_6v_9v_8v_{11}v_{10}v_{13}v_{14}v_{12};
           for k = 7, v_0v_1v_3v_2v_5v_4v_7v_8v_{11}v_{12}v_{10}v_9v_6, v_0v_1v_3v_2v_5v_4v_7v_6v_9v_8v_{11}v_{12}v_{10};
           for k = 6, v_0v_1v_3v_2v_5v_4v_7v_6v_9v_{10}v_8; and
     v_0v_1 v_3v_2 v_5v_4 v_7v_6... v_{2i-5}v_{2i-6} v_{2i-3}v_{2i-4} v_{2i-1}v_{2i-2} v_{2i+1}v_{2i+2}
```

```
v_{2i+5}v_{2i+6} v_{2i+9}v_{2i+10} ... v_{2k-13}v_{2k-12} v_{2k-9}v_{2k-8} v_{2k-5}v_{2k-4} v_{2k-2}v_{2k-3}
          v_{2k-6}v_{2k-7} v_{2k-10}v_{2k-11} ... v_{2i+12}v_{2i+11} v_{2i+8}v_{2i+7} v_{2i+4}v_{2i+3} v_{2i}
if 'k \ge 10 is even and i \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, k-7, k-5, k-3\}' or 'k \ge 11 is odd and i \in \{4, 6, 8, 6\}
\ldots, k-7, k-5, k-3;
       for k = 9, v_0v_1v_3v_2v_5v_4v_7v_6v_9v_{10}v_{13}v_{14}v_{16}v_{15}v_{12}v_{11}v_8,
                        v_0v_1v_3v_2v_5v_4v_7v_6v_9v_8v_{11}v_{10}v_{13}v_{14}v_{16}v_{15}v_{12};
       for k = 8, v_0v_1v_3v_2v_5v_4v_7v_8v_{11}v_{12}v_{14}v_{13}v_{10}v_9v_6, v_0v_1v_3v_2v_5v_4v_7v_6v_9v_8v_{11}v_{12}v_{14}v_{13}v_{10};
       for k = 7, v_0v_1v_3v_2v_5v_4v_7v_6v_9v_{10}v_{12}v_{11}v_8;
      for k = 6, v_0v_1v_3v_2v_5v_4v_7v_8v_{10}v_9v_6;
   v_0 - v_{2k-3} path: for k \geq 7, v_0 v_2 v_1 v_4 v_3 v_6 v_5 \dots v_{2k-6} v_{2k-7} v_{2k-4} v_{2k-5} v_{2k-2} v_{2k-3};
       for k = 6, v_0v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_8v_7v_{10}v_9v_{12}v_{11};
      for k = 5, v_0v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_8v_7;
       for k = 4, v_0v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5;
   v_0 - v_{2k-2} path: for k \geq 7, v_0 v_2 v_1 v_4 v_3 v_6 v_5 \dots v_{2k-6} v_{2k-7} v_{2k-4} v_{2k-5} v_{2k-3} v_{2k-2};
       for k = 6, v_0v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_8v_7v_9v_{10};
       for k = 5, v_0v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_7v_8;
      for k = 4, v_0v_2v_1v_4v_3v_5v_6. This completes the proof.
                                                                                                                         It follows from Lemma 2.1 that
Theorem 2.1. If G is a graph with n vertices, n \geq 7, such that Circ(n : \{1, 2, 3\}) \subseteq G,
then hpc(G) = 2.
Corollary 2.1. (Bi, Byers and Zhang [2]) For n \geq 7, hpc(K_n) = 2.
Lemma 2.2. For any odd integer k \geq 5, hpc(Circ(2k : \{1,2,k\})) = 2.
Proof. Let G = Circ(2k : \{1, 2, k\}) and F = \{v_i v_{i+1} : i \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, 2k-1\}\}, where
v_{2k} = v_0. Then F is a 1-factor of G. Define an edge-coloring c of G by assigning color blue
to each edge of F and color red to the remaining edges of G. As the edge-colored G is
vertex-transitive, we show that for every vertex v_i, j \neq 0, of G, there is a proper Hamilton
v_0-v_i path in G.
   (Observe that, in the following paths, the first and the last edges are colored blue.)
   v_0-v_1 path: v_0v_{2k-1} v_{2k-2}v_{2k-3} v_{2k-4}v_{2k-5} ... v_6v_5 v_4v_3 v_2v_1;
   v_0-v_2 path: v_0v_{2k-1} v_{2k-2}v_{2k-3} v_{2k-4}v_{2k-5} ... v_6v_5 v_4v_3 v_1v_2;
   v_0-v_{2i-1} path, i \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots, \frac{k-3}{2}\}: for k \ge 13,
       v_0v_{2k-1} v_{k-1}v_{k-2} v_{2k-2}v_{2k-3} v_{k-3}v_{k-4} v_{2k-4}v_{2k-5} v_{k-5}v_{k-6} v_{2k-6}v_{2k-7}
       \dots \ v_{2i+6}v_{2i+5} \ v_{k+2i+5}v_{k+2i+4} \ v_{2i+4}v_{2i+3} \ v_{k+2i+3}v_{k+2i+2} \ v_{2i+2}v_{2i+1} \ v_{k+2i+1}v_{k+2i}
      v_{k+2i-1}v_{k+2i-2} v_{k+2i-3}v_{k+2i-4} ... v_{k+7}v_{k+6} v_{k+5}v_{k+4} v_{k+3}v_{k+2} v_{k}v_{k+1}
       v_1v_2 v_3v_4 v_5v_6 ... v_{2i-7}v_{2i-6} v_{2i-5}v_{2i-4} v_{2i-3}v_{2i-2} v_{2i}v_{2i-1};
       for k = 11, v_0 v_{21} v_{10} v_9 v_{20} v_{19} v_8 v_7 v_{18} v_{17} v_6 v_5 v_{16} v_{15} v_{14} v_{13} v_{11} v_{12} v_1 v_2 v_4 v_3,
                        v_0v_{21}v_{10}v_9v_{20}v_{19}v_8v_7v_{18}v_{17}v_{16}v_{15}v_{14}v_{13}v_{11}v_{12}v_1v_2v_3v_4v_6v_5,
                        v_0v_{21}v_{10}v_9v_{20}v_{19}v_{18}v_{17}v_{16}v_{15}v_{14}v_{13}v_{11}v_{12}v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6v_8v_7;
      for k = 9, v_0v_{17}v_8v_7v_{16}v_{15}v_6v_5v_{14}v_{13}v_{12}v_{11}v_9v_{10}v_1v_2v_4v_3,
                        v_0v_{17}v_8v_7v_{16}v_{15}v_{14}v_{13}v_{12}v_{11}v_9v_{10}v_1v_2v_3v_4v_6v_5;
       for k = 7, v_0v_{13}v_6v_5v_{12}v_{11}v_{10}v_9v_7v_8v_1v_2v_4v_3;
   v_0-v_{2i} path, i \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots, \frac{k-3}{2}\}: for k \ge 13,
       v_0v_{2k-1}\ v_{k-1}v_{k-2}\ v_{2k-2}v_{2k-3}\ v_{k-3}v_{k-4}\ v_{2k-4}v_{2k-5}\ v_{k-5}v_{k-6}\ v_{2k-6}v_{2k-7}
       \dots \ v_{2i+6}v_{2i+5} \ v_{k+2i+5}v_{k+2i+4} \ v_{2i+4}v_{2i+3} \ v_{k+2i+3}v_{k+2i+2} \ v_{2i+2}v_{2i+1} \ v_{k+2i+1}v_{k+2i}
       v_{k+2i-1}v_{k+2i-2} v_{k+2i-3}v_{k+2i-4} ... v_{k+7}v_{k+6} v_{k+5}v_{k+4} v_{k+3}v_{k+2} v_{k}v_{k+1}
       v_1v_2 v_3v_4 v_5v_6 ... v_{2i-7}v_{2i-6} v_{2i-5}v_{2i-4} v_{2i-3}v_{2i-2} v_{2i-1}v_{2i};
       for k = 11, v_0 v_{21} v_{10} v_9 v_{20} v_{19} v_8 v_7 v_{18} v_{17} v_6 v_5 v_{16} v_{15} v_{14} v_{13} v_{11} v_{12} v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4,
```

```
v_0v_{21}v_{10}v_9v_{20}v_{19}v_8v_7v_{18}v_{17}v_{16}v_{15}v_{14}v_{13}v_{11}v_{12}v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6,
                        v_0v_{21}v_{10}v_9v_{20}v_{19}v_{18}v_{17}v_{16}v_{15}v_{14}v_{13}v_{11}v_{12}v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6v_7v_8;
    for k = 9, v_0v_{17}v_8v_7v_{16}v_{15}v_6v_5v_{14}v_{13}v_{12}v_{11}v_9v_{10}v_1v_2v_3v_4,
                        v_0v_{17}v_8v_7v_{16}v_{15}v_{14}v_{13}v_{12}v_{11}v_9v_{10}v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6;
   for k = 7, v_0v_{13}v_6v_5v_{12}v_{11}v_{10}v_9v_7v_8v_1v_2v_3v_4;
v_0-v_{k-2} path: for k \geq 13, v_0v_{2k-1} v_{2k-2}v_{2k-3} v_{2k-4}v_{2k-5} ... v_{k+5}v_{k+4} v_{k+3}v_{k+2}
    v_k v_{k+1} v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 \dots v_{k-6} v_{k-5} v_{k-4} v_{k-3} v_{k-1} v_{k-2};
    for k = 11, v_0v_{21}v_{20}v_{19}v_{18}v_{17}v_{16}v_{15}v_{14}v_{13}v_{11}v_{12}v_{1}v_{2}v_{3}v_{4}v_{5}v_{6}v_{7}v_{8}v_{10}v_{9};
   for k = 9, v_0v_{17}v_{16}v_{15}v_{14}v_{13}v_{12}v_{11}v_9v_{10}v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6v_8v_7;
    for k = 7, v_0v_{13}v_{12}v_{11}v_{10}v_9v_7v_8v_1v_2v_3v_4v_6v_5;
v_0-v_{k-1} path: for k \geq 13, v_0v_{2k-1} v_{2k-2}v_{2k-3} v_{2k-4}v_{2k-5} ... v_{k+5}v_{k+4} v_{k+3}v_{k+2}
    v_k v_{k+1} \ v_1 v_2 \ v_3 v_4 \ v_5 v_6 \ \dots \ v_{k-6} v_{k-5} \ v_{k-4} v_{k-3} \ v_{k-2} v_{k-1};
    for k = 11, v_0v_{21}v_{20}v_{19}v_{18}v_{17}v_{16}v_{15}v_{14}v_{13}v_{11}v_{12}v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6v_7v_8v_9v_{10};
    for k = 9, v_0v_{17}v_{16}v_{15}v_{14}v_{13}v_{12}v_{11}v_9v_{10}v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6v_7v_8;
    for k = 7, v_0v_{13}v_{12}v_{11}v_{10}v_9v_7v_8v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6;
v_0-v_k path: for k \ge 13, v_0v_{2k-1} v_{2k-2}v_{2k-3} v_{2k-4}v_{2k-5} ... v_{k+5}v_{k+4} v_{k+3}v_{k+2}
    v_2v_1 v_3v_4 v_5v_6 v_7v_8 ... v_{k-4}v_{k-3} v_{k-2}v_{k-1} v_{k+1}v_k;
    for k = 11, v_0 v_{21} v_{20} v_{19} v_{18} v_{17} v_{16} v_{15} v_{14} v_{13} v_2 v_1 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 v_7 v_8 v_9 v_{10} v_{12} v_{11};
    for k = 9, v_0v_{17}v_{16}v_{15}v_{14}v_{13}v_{12}v_{11}v_2v_1v_3v_4v_5v_6v_7v_8v_{10}v_9;
    for k = 7, v_0v_{13}v_{12}v_{11}v_{10}v_9v_2v_1v_3v_4v_5v_6v_8v_7;
v_0 - v_{k+1} path: for k \ge 13, v_0 v_{2k-1} v_{2k-2} v_{2k-3} v_{2k-4} v_{2k-5} \dots v_{k+5} v_{k+4} v_{k+3} v_{k+2}
    v_2v_1 \ v_3v_4 \ v_5v_6 \ v_7v_8 \ \dots \ v_{k-4}v_{k-3} \ v_{k-2}v_{k-1} \ v_kv_{k+1};
    for k = 11, v_0v_{21}v_{20}v_{19}v_{18}v_{17}v_{16}v_{15}v_{14}v_{13}v_2v_1v_3v_4v_5v_6v_7v_8v_9v_{10}v_{11}v_{12};
    for k = 9, v_0v_{17}v_{16}v_{15}v_{14}v_{13}v_{12}v_{11}v_2v_1v_3v_4v_5v_6v_7v_8v_9v_{10};
   for k = 7, v_0v_{13}v_{12}v_{11}v_{10}v_9v_2v_1v_3v_4v_5v_6v_7v_8;
v_0 - v_{k+2} path: for k \ge 13, v_0 v_{2k-1} v_{k-1} v_{k-2} v_k v_{k+1} v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 \dots v_{k-6} v_{k-5} v_{k-4} v_{k-3}
    v_{2k-3}v_{2k-2} v_{2k-4}v_{2k-5} v_{2k-6}v_{2k-7} v_{2k-8}v_{2k-9} ... v_{k+5}v_{k+4} v_{k+3}v_{k+2};
    for k = 11, v_0 v_{21} v_{10} v_9 v_{11} v_{12} v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 v_7 v_8 v_{19} v_{20} v_{18} v_{17} v_{16} v_{15} v_{14} v_{13};
   for k = 9, v_0v_{17}v_8v_7v_9v_{10}v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6v_{15}v_{16}v_{14}v_{13}v_{12}v_{11};
    for k = 7, v_0v_{13}v_6v_5v_7v_8v_1v_2v_3v_4v_{11}v_{12}v_{10}v_9;
v_0-v_{2i-1} path, i \in \{\frac{k+5}{2}, \frac{k+7}{2}, \frac{k+9}{2}, \dots, k-2\}: for k \geq 15,
    v_0v_{2k-1} v_{k-1}v_{k-2} v_{2k-2}v_{2k-3} v_{k-3}v_{k-4} v_{2k-4}v_{2k-5} v_{k-5}v_{k-6} v_{2k-6}v_{2k-7}
    \dots v_{2i+6}v_{2i+5} v_{2i+5-k}v_{2i+4-k} v_{2i+4}v_{2i+3} v_{2i+3-k}v_{2i+2-k} v_{2i+2}v_{2i+1}
   v_{2i+1-k}v_{2i-k}v_{2i-k-1}v_{2i-k-2} v_{2i-k-3}v_{2i-k-4} ... v_6v_5 v_4v_3 v_2v_1 v_{k+1}v_k
    v_{k+2}v_{k+3} v_{k+4}v_{k+5} v_{k+6}v_{k+7} ... v_{2i-7}v_{2i-6} v_{2i-5}v_{2i-4} v_{2i-3}v_{2i-2} v_{2i}v_{2i-1};
    for k = 13, v_0 v_{25} v_{12} v_{11} v_{24} v_{23} v_{10} v_9 v_{22} v_{21} v_8 v_7 v_{20} v_{19} v_6 v_5 v_4 v_3 v_2 v_1 v_{14} v_{13} v_{15} v_{16} v_{18} v_{17},
                         v_0v_{25}v_{12}v_{11}v_{24}v_{23}v_{10}v_9v_{22}v_{21}v_8v_7v_6v_5v_4v_3v_2v_1v_{14}v_{13}v_{15}v_{16}v_{17}v_{18}v_{20}v_{19},
                         v_0v_{25}v_{12}v_{11}v_{24}v_{23}v_{10}v_9v_8v_7v_6v_5v_4v_3v_2v_1v_{14}v_{13}v_{15}v_{16}v_{17}v_{18}v_{19}v_{20}v_{22}v_{21};
   for k = 11, v_0v_{21}v_{10}v_9v_{20}v_{19}v_8v_7v_{18}v_{17}v_6v_5v_4v_3v_2v_1v_{12}v_{11}v_{13}v_{14}v_{16}v_{15},
                        v_0v_{21}v_{10}v_9v_{20}v_{19}v_8v_7v_6v_5v_4v_3v_2v_1v_{12}v_{11}v_{13}v_{14}v_{15}v_{16}v_{18}v_{17};
    for k = 9, v_0v_{17}v_8v_7v_{16}v_{15}v_6v_5v_4v_3v_2v_1v_{10}v_9v_{11}v_{12}v_{14}v_{13};
v_0-v_{2i} path, i \in \{\frac{k+3}{2}, \frac{k+5}{2}, \frac{k+7}{2}, \dots, k-2\}: for k \ge 15,
    v_0v_{2k-1}\ v_{k-1}v_{k-2}\ v_{2k-2}v_{2k-3}\ v_{k-3}v_{k-4}\ v_{2k-4}v_{2k-5}\ v_{k-5}v_{k-6}\ v_{2k-6}v_{2k-7}
    \dots v_{2i+6}v_{2i+5} v_{2i+5-k}v_{2i+4-k} v_{2i+4}v_{2i+3} v_{2i+3-k}v_{2i+2-k} v_{2i+2}v_{2i+1}
    v_{2i+1-k}v_{2i-k} v_{2i-k-1}v_{2i-k-2} v_{2i-k-3}v_{2i-k-4} ... v_6v_5 v_4v_3 v_2v_1 v_{k+1}v_k
    v_{k+2}v_{k+3} v_{k+4}v_{k+5} v_{k+6}v_{k+7} ... v_{2i-7}v_{2i-6} v_{2i-5}v_{2i-4} v_{2i-3}v_{2i-2} v_{2i-1}v_{2i};
    for k = 13, v_0v_{25}v_{12}v_{11}v_{24}v_{23}v_{10}v_9v_{22}v_{21}v_8v_7v_{20}v_{19}v_6v_5v_4v_3v_2v_1v_{14}v_{13}v_{15}v_{16}v_{17}v_{18},
                         v_0v_{25}v_{12}v_{11}v_{24}v_{23}v_{10}v_9v_{22}v_{21}v_8v_7v_6v_5v_4v_3v_2v_1v_{14}v_{13}v_{15}v_{16}v_{17}v_{18}v_{19}v_{20},
                         v_0v_{25}v_{12}v_{11}v_{24}v_{23}v_{10}v_9v_8v_7v_6v_5v_4v_3v_2v_1v_{14}v_{13}v_{15}v_{16}v_{17}v_{18}v_{19}v_{20}v_{21}v_{22};
```

```
for k=11, v_0v_{21}v_{10}v_9v_{20}v_{19}v_8v_7v_{18}v_{17}v_6v_5v_4v_3v_2v_1v_{12}v_{11}v_{13}v_{14}v_{15}v_{16}, v_0v_{21}v_{10}v_9v_{20}v_{19}v_8v_7v_6v_5v_4v_3v_2v_1v_{12}v_{11}v_{13}v_{14}v_{15}v_{16}v_{17}v_{18}; for k=9, v_0v_{17}v_8v_7v_{16}v_{15}v_6v_5v_4v_3v_2v_1v_{10}v_9v_{11}v_{12}v_{13}v_{14}; v_0-v_{2k-3} path: v_0v_{2k-1} v_1v_2 v_3v_4 v_5v_6 ... v_{2k-7}v_{2k-6} v_{2k-5}v_{2k-4} v_{2k-2}v_{2k-3}; v_0-v_{2k-2} path: v_0v_{2k-1} v_1v_2 v_3v_4 v_5v_6 ... v_{2k-7}v_{2k-6} v_{2k-5}v_{2k-4} v_{2k-3}v_{2k-2}; (Observe that, in the following path, the first and the last edges are colored red.) v_0-v_{2k-1} path: v_0 v_1v_2 v_3v_4 v_5v_6 ... v_{2k-7}v_{2k-6} v_{2k-5}v_{2k-4} v_{2k-3}v_{2k-2} v_{2k-1}. This completes the proof.
```

From Lemma 2.2, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.2. If G is a graph with n vertices, $n \ge 10$, $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, such that $Circ(n : \{1, 2, \frac{n}{2}\}) \subseteq G$, then hpc(G) = 2.

```
Theorem 2.2 is open for n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. We show that it is true for n = 8, i.e., hpc(Circ(8 : \{1, 2, 4\})) = 2.
```

Let $G = Circ(8:\{1,2,4\})$ and $F = \{v_iv_{i+1}: i \in \{1,3,5,7\}\}$, where $v_8 = v_0$. Then F is a 1-factor of G. Define an edge-coloring c of G by assigning color red to each edge of F and color blue to the remaining edges of G. We show that, for every vertex v_j , $j \neq 0$, of G, there is a proper Hamilton v_0 - v_j path in G. v_0 - v_1 path: v_0v_7 v_6v_5 v_4v_3 v_2v_1 ;

```
v_0-v_2 path: v_0v_7 v_6v_5 v_4v_3 v_1v_2; v_0-v_3 path: v_0v_7 v_1v_2 v_6v_5 v_4v_3; v_0-v_4 path: v_0v_7 v_1v_2 v_6v_5 v_3v_4; v_0-v_5 path: v_0v_7 v_1v_2 v_3v_4 v_6v_5; v_0-v_6 path: v_0v_7 v_1v_2 v_3v_4 v_5v_6; v_0-v_7 path: v_0v_1 v_2v_3 v_4v_5 v_6v_7. \Box Suppose that G_0 = (V_0, E_0) and G_1 = (V_1, E_1) are two disjoint graphs with |V_0| = |V_1|. A 1-1 connection between G_0 and G_1 is defined as an edge set E_c = \{(v, \overline{v}) | v \in V_0, \overline{v} = \phi(v) \in V_1 and \phi: V_0 \to V_1 is a bijection \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7, v_7, v_8\} denotes the graph G_1 = (V_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6). Thus, \phi induces a 1-factor in G_0 \oplus G_1.
```

Theorem 2.3. (See Theorem 9.15 of [3]) $G_0 \oplus G_1$ is Hamiltonian-connected if both G_0 and G_1 are Hamiltonian-connected and $|V(G_0)| = |V(G_1)| \ge 3$.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose that $G_0 = (V_0, E_0)$ and $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ are two disjoint Hamiltonian-connected graphs with an even number $|V_0| = |V_1| \ge 4$ of vertices. If, for each $i \in \{0,1\}$, there is a proper Hamilton path 2-coloring c_i of G_i with colors blue and red such that for any two vertices u and v of G_i , there is a proper Hamilton u-v path in G_i with the first and the last edges colored blue, then there is a proper Hamilton path 2-coloring c of $G_0 \oplus G_1$ with colors blue and red such that for any two vertices x and y of $G_0 \oplus G_1$, there is a proper Hamilton x-y path in $G_0 \oplus G_1$ with the first and the last edges colored blue. So, $hpc(G_0 \oplus G_1) = 2$.

Proof. Define c so that c restricted to E_0 is c_0 , c restricted to E_1 is c_1 , and the edges of E_c are colored red. Without loss of generality, we have the following two cases: (1) both x and y are in G_0 ; (2) x is in G_0 and y is in G_1 .

First, assume that both x and y are in G_0 . By hypothesis, there exists a proper Hamilton path P of G_0 joining x and y with the first and the last edges colored blue. The path P can be written as (x, P_1, w, z, P_2, y) with $c_0(wz) = \text{red}$. Obviously, $\overline{w} \neq \overline{z}$ and, by hypothesis, there exists a proper Hamilton path Q of G_1 joining \overline{w} and \overline{z} with the first and the last edges colored blue. Thus, $(x, P_1, w, \overline{w}, Q, \overline{z}, z, P_2, y)$ forms a proper Hamilton path of $G_0 \oplus G_1$ joining x and y with the first and the last edges colored blue.

Next, assume that x is in G_0 and y is in G_1 . Since $|V(G_0)| = |V(G_1)| \ge 4$, there exists a vertex z in G_0 such that $x \ne z$ and $\overline{z} \ne y$. Thus, there exists a proper Hamilton path P of G_0 joining x and z with the first and the last edges colored blue and there exists a

proper Hamilton path Q of G_1 joining \overline{z} and y with the first and the last edges colored blue. Obviously, $(x, P, z, \overline{z}, Q, y)$ forms a proper Hamilton path of $G_0 \oplus G_1$ joining x and y with the first and the last edges colored blue. This completes the proof.

Next, we observe that, for any integer $k \geq 5$, $G_0 = Circ(2k : \{1,2,3,4\})$ satisfies the hypothesis of the previous theorem. By the proof of Case 1 of Lemma 2.1, it is enough if we define c to the edges of length 4 and to find a proper Hamilton v_0 - v_{2k-1} path. Color the edges of length 4 by blue and the required path is: v_0v_{2k-4} $v_{2k-3}v_{2k-2}v_1v_2v_3$... $v_{2k-7}v_{2k-6}$ $v_{2k-5}v_{2k-1}$. Also, we observe that, for any odd integer $k \geq 5$, $Circ(2k:\{1,2,3,4\})$ (k-1,k) satisfies the hypothesis of the previous theorem. By the proof of Lemma 2.2, it is enough if we define c to the edges of lengths 3 and k-1 so that we have a proper Hamilton v_0 - v_{2k-1} path. Color the edges of length 3 by red and length k-1 by blue and the required path is: $v_0v_{k+1}v_{k+2}v_{k+3}v_{k+4}v_{k+5}\dots v_{2k-5}v_{2k-4}v_{2k-3}v_{2k-2}v_1v_2v_3v_4\dots v_{k-2}v_{k-1}v_kv_{2k-1}$.

3. Graphs with hpc = 3

- I. Known graphs G with $hpc(G) = 3 = \chi'(G)$ are: $C_{2n+1} \square K_2$ and H_k . Let G be a Hamiltonian-connected graph with $\chi'(G) = 3$. To show that hpc(G) = 3, we must show that G has no proper Hamilton path 2-coloring.
 - II. Known graph G with $\chi'(G) \geq 4$ and hpc(G) = 3 is: F_k .

Theorem 3.1. For $k \geq 2$, $hpc(Circ(4k : \{1, 2k\})) = 3$.

Proof. Let $G = Circ(4k : \{1, 2k\})$. Consider the proper 3-edge-coloring $(\{v_i v_{i+1} : i \in \{0, 1\}\})$ $2, 4, \dots, 4k-2\}$, $\{v_i v_{i+1} : i \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, 4k-1\}\}$, $\{v_i v_{i+2k} : i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 2k-1\}\}$) of the 3-regular graph G. Thus $\chi'(G) = 3$. It remains to show that G has no proper Hamilton path 2-coloring. Assume, to the contrary, that there is a proper Hamilton path 2-coloring $c ext{ of } G$.

Claim 1. The Hamilton paths from v_0 to v_{2k} are

 $P_1 := v_0 v_1 v_2 v_3 \dots v_{2k-2} v_{2k-1} - v_{4k-1} v_{4k-2} v_{4k-3} \dots v_{2k+1} v_{2k}$ and

 $P_2 := v_0 v_{4k-1} v_{4k-2} v_{4k-3} \dots v_{2k+2} v_{2k+1} - v_1 v_2 v_3 \dots v_{2k-1} v_{2k}.$

Assume, by symmetry, the edge v_0v_1 is in P, a Hamilton path from v_0 to v_{2k} . Then, $v_0v_{4k-1} \notin E(P)$ and so $v_{4k-1}v_{4k-2} \in E(P)$ and $v_{4k-1}v_{2k-1} \in E(P)$. Suppose $v_{2k-1}v_{2k} \in E(P)$ E(P), then $P:=v_0v_1 \ldots v_{4k-2}v_{4k-1}v_{2k-1}v_{2k}$; it follows that $P^{-1}:=v_{2k}v_{2k-1}v_{4k-1}v_{4k-2}$ $v_{2k-2}v_{2k-3}v_{4k-3}v_{4k-4}v_{2k-4}v_{2k-5}v_{4k-5}v_{4k-6}\dots$; now the vertex $v_{2k+1} \notin P$, a contradiction. Hence, $v_{2k-1}v_{2k} \notin E(P)$. So, $v_{2k}v_{2k+1} \in E(P)$. Thus $P := v_0v_1 \dots - \dots v_{2k+1}v_{2k}$. Consequently, $P := v_0 v_1 v_2 \dots - \dots v_{2k+2} v_{2k+1} v_{2k}$ and therefore, $P = P_1$.

Claim 2. The Hamilton paths from v_0 to v_2 are

```
Q_1 := v_0 v_1 v_{2k+1} - v_{2k} v_{2k-1} - v_{4k-1} v_{4k-2} - v_{2k-2} v_{2k-3} - v_{4k-3} v_{4k-4} - v_{2k-4} v_{2k-5}
   -v_{4k-5}v_{4k-6} - \cdots - v_6v_5 - v_{2k+5}v_{2k+4} - v_4v_3 - v_{2k+3}v_{2k+2} - v_2 and
Q_2 := v_0 - v_{2k}v_{2k-1} - v_{4k-1}v_{4k-2} - v_{2k-2}v_{2k-3} - v_{4k-3}v_{4k-4} - v_{2k-4}v_{2k-5}
   -v_{4k-5}v_{4k-6}-\cdots-v_6v_5-v_{2k+5}v_{2k+4}-v_4v_3-v_{2k+3}v_{2k+2}-v_{2k+1}v_1v_2.
```

Since $N(v_1) = \{v_0, v_2, v_{2k+1}\}$, any Hamilton path Q from v_0 to v_2 contains $v_0v_1v_{2k+1}$ or $v_{2k+1}v_1v_2$ but not both. Assume, by symmetry, $Q := v_0v_1v_{2k+1}\dots v_2$. Edge $v_0v_{4k-1} \notin$ E(Q) implies $v_{4k-2}v_{4k-1}v_{2k-1}$ is in Q and $v_0v_{2k} \notin E(Q)$ implies $v_{2k-1}v_{2k}v_{2k+1}$ is in Q. Hence, $Q := v_0 v_1 v_{2k+1} - v_{2k} v_{2k-1} - v_{4k-1} v_{4k-2} - \cdots - v_2$. Now, $v_{2k-1} v_{2k-2} \notin E(Q)$ implies $v_{2k-3}v_{2k-2}v_{4k-2}$ is in Q. Proceeding in this way, we get $Q = Q_1$.

We have four possibilities. If the paths required for c are P_1 and Q_1 , then we have a contradiction, since $c(v_0v_1) \neq c(v_{2k-1}v_{4k-1})$ in P_1 and $c(v_0v_1) = c(v_{2k-1}v_{4k-1})$ in Q_1 . If the paths required for c are P_1 and Q_2 , then also we have a contradiction, since $c(v_{2k-3}v_{2k-2}) =$ $c(v_{2k-1}v_{4k-1})$ in P_1 and $c(v_{2k-3}v_{2k-2}) \neq c(v_{2k-1}v_{4k-1})$ in Q_2 . Similarly, the reason for P_2 and Q_1 is $c(v_1v_{2k+1}) \neq c(v_{2k-1}v_{2k})$ in P_2 and $c(v_1v_{2k+1}) = c(v_{2k-1}v_{2k})$ in Q_1 ; and the same for P_2 and Q_2 is $c(v_{2k+2}v_{2k+3}) \neq c(v_3v_4)$ in P_2 and $c(v_{2k+2}v_{2k+3}) = c(v_3v_4)$ in Q_2 . This completes the proof.

Conclusion The conjecture 'if G is a Hamiltonian-connected graph, then $hpc(G) \leq 3$ ' of Bi, Byers and Zhang [2] is verified for some classes of graphs (see Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and 3.1). Also, Theorem 2.4 generates more graphs that serve as a support to the conjecture. We pose the following problems.

```
Problem 3.1. Find a_1 < a_2 < a_3 such that for every integer n \ge 2a_3 + 1, hpc(Circ(n : \{a_1, a_2, a_3\})) = 2. If (a_1, a_2, a_3) = (1, 2, 3), then we have Lemma 2.1.
```

```
Problem 3.2. Find a_1 < a_2 such that for every odd integer k \ge 2a_2 + 1, hpc(Circ(2k : \{a_1, a_2, k\})) = 2. If (a_1, a_2) = (1, 2), then we have Lemma 2.2.
```

In the next two sections, we consider Hamiltonian-laceable graphs and apply the hpc-Conjecture.

4. Hamiltonian-laceable graphs

A bipartite graph with bipartition (X,Y) is Hamiltonian-laceable if there exists a Hamilton path joining any two vertices from different partite sets; that is, one in X and one in Y. For a Hamiltonian-laceable graph G, an edge-coloring $c: E(G) \to \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ is a proper $Hamilton\ path\ k$ -coloring if every two vertices from different partite sets of G are connected by a proper $Hamilton\ path\ in\ G$. The minimum number k of colors in a proper $Hamilton\ path\ k$ -coloring of G is also called the proper Hamiltonian-connection number of G, but it is denoted by $hpc_b(G)$.

5. Graphs with $hpc_b = 2$

Let G be a Hamiltonian-laceable graph with bipartition (X, Y). To show that $hpc_b(G) = 2$, we must show that G has a 2-edge-coloring with the property that for every two vertices $u \in X$ and $v \in Y$ of G, there is a proper Hamilton u-v path in G.

Lemma 5.1. For every integer $n \ge 5$, $hpc_b(Circ(2n : \{1,3,5\})) = 2$.

Proof. Let $G = Circ(2n : \{1,3,5\})$ and $F = \{v_iv_{i+1} : i \in \{1,3,5,\ldots,2n-1\}\}$, where $v_{2n} = v_0$. Then, F is a 1-factor of G. Let $X = \{v_i : i \in \{0,2,4,\ldots,2n-2\}\}$ and $Y = \{v_i : i \in \{1,3,5,\ldots,2n-1\}\}$. Define an edge-coloring c of G by assigning color blue to each edge of F and color red to the remaining edges of G. As the edge-colored G is vertex-transitive, we show that for every vertex $v_j \in Y$ of G, there is a proper Hamilton v_0 - v_j path in G.

(Observe that, in the following paths, the first and the last edges are colored blue.)

```
v_0 - v_1 \text{ path: } v_0 v_{2n-1} v_{2n-2} v_{2n-3} \dots v_4 v_3 v_2 v_1;
v_0 - v_3 \text{ path: } \text{ for } n \geq 6, \ v_0 v_{2n-1} v_{2n-2} v_{2n-3} \dots v_8 v_7 v_6 v_5 v_2 v_1 v_4 v_3;
v_0 - v_5 \text{ path: } \text{ for } n \geq 6, \ v_0 v_{2n-1} v_{2n-2} v_{2n-3} \dots v_8 v_7 v_2 v_1 v_4 v_3 v_6 v_5;
\text{ for } n = 5, \ v_0 v_9 v_8 v_7 v_2 v_1 v_4 v_3 v_6 v_5;
v_0 - v_7 \text{ path: } \text{ for } n \geq 7, \ v_0 v_{2n-1} v_{2n-2} v_{2n-3} \dots v_{10} v_9 v_6 v_5 v_2 v_1 v_4 v_3 v_8 v_7;
\text{ for } n = 6, \ v_0 v_{11} v_{10} v_9 v_6 v_5 v_2 v_1 v_4 v_3 v_8 v_7;
\text{ for } n = 6, \ v_0 v_{11} v_{10} v_9 v_6 v_5 v_2 v_1 v_4 v_3 v_8 v_7;
\text{ for } n = 5, \ v_0 v_9 v_6 v_5 v_2 v_1 v_4 v_3 v_8 v_7;
\text{ for } n = 5, \ v_0 v_9 v_6 v_5 v_2 v_1 v_4 v_3 v_8 v_7;
\text{ for } n = 5, \ v_0 v_9 v_6 v_5 v_2 v_1 v_4 v_3 v_8 v_7;
\text{ Assume } n \geq 6 \text{ and } j \in \{5, 6, 7, \dots, n-1\}:
v_0 - v_{2j-1} \text{ path, } \text{ if } j \equiv 0 \pmod{2}: \text{ for } n \geq 10,
v_0 v_{2n-1} v_{2n-2} v_{2n-3} \dots v_{2j+2} v_{2j+1} \ v_{2j-2} v_{2j-3} \ v_{2j-6} v_{2j-7} \ v_{2j-10} v_{2j-11} \dots v_{10} v_9
v_6 v_5 \ v_2 v_1 \ v_4 v_3 \ v_8 v_7 \ v_{12} v_{11} \dots v_{2j-8} v_{2j-9} \ v_{2j-4} v_{2j-5} \ v_{2j} v_{2j-1};
```

```
for n=9, v_0v_{17}v_{16}v_{15}v_{14}v_{13}v_8v_7v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_{10}v_9v_{12}v_{11}, v_0v_{17}v_{14}v_{13}v_{10}v_9v_6v_5v_2v_1v_4v_3v_8v_7v_{12}v_{11}v_{16}v_{15}; for n=8, v_0v_{15}v_{14}v_{13}v_8v_7v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_{10}v_9v_{12}v_{11}; for n=7, v_0v_{13}v_8v_7v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_{10}v_9v_{12}v_{11}; v_0-v_{2j-1} path, if j\equiv 1\ (\text{mod }2\ ): for n\geq 10, v_0v_{2n-1}v_{2n-2}v_{2n-3} ... v_{2j+2}v_{2j+1} v_{2j-2}v_{2j-3} v_{2j-6}v_{2j-7} v_{2j-10}v_{2j-11} ... v_{12}v_{11} v_8v_7 v_2v_1 v_4v_3 v_6v_5 v_{10}v_9v_{14}v_{13} ... v_{2j-8}v_{2j-9} v_{2j-4}v_{2j-5} v_{2j}v_{2j-1}; for n=9, v_0v_{17}v_{16}v_{15}v_{14}v_{13}v_{12}v_{11}v_8v_7v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_{10}v_9, v_0v_{17}v_{16}v_{15}v_{12}v_{11}v_8v_7v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_{10}v_9, v_0v_{15}v_{12}v_{11}v_8v_7v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_{10}v_9, v_0v_{15}v_{12}v_{11}v_8v_7v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_{10}v_9, v_0v_{15}v_{12}v_{11}v_8v_7v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_{10}v_9, v_0v_{15}v_{12}v_{11}v_8v_7v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_{10}v_9, v_0v_{15}v_{12}v_{11}v_8v_7v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_{10}v_9; for n=6, v_0v_{11}v_8v_7v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_{10}v_9; for n=6, v_0v_{11}v_8v_7v_2v_1v_4v_3v_6v_5v_{10}v_9; (Observe that, in the following path, the first and the last edges are colored red.) v_0-v_{2n-1} path: v_0v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6\dots v_{2n-4}v_{2n-3}v_{2n-2}v_{2n-1}. This completes the proof.
```

Theorem 5.1. Let G be a bipartite graph with $n \geq 5$ vertices in each partite set. If $Circ(2n : \{1,3,5\}) \subseteq G$, then $hpc_b(G) = 2$.

Corollary 5.1. For $n \geq 5$, $hpc_b(K_{n,n}) = 2$.

Theorem 5.2. (See Theorem 9.17 of [3]) Assume that G_0 , G_1 , and $G_0 \oplus G_1$ are bipartite graphs such that $|V(G_0)| = |V(G_1)| \ge 2$. Then $G_0 \oplus G_1$ is Hamiltonian-laceable if both G_0 and G_1 are Hamiltonian-laceable.

Theorem 5.3. Suppose that $G_0 = (V_0^0 \cup V_0^1, E_0)$ and $G_1 = (V_1^0 \cup V_1^1, E_1)$ are two disjoint Hamiltonian-laceable graphs with $|V_0^0| = |V_0^1| = |V_1^0| = |V_1^0| \ge 2$, where (V_i^0, V_i^1) is a bipartition of G_i , $i \in \{0,1\}$. If, for each $i \in \{0,1\}$, there is a proper Hamilton path 2-coloring c_i of G_i with colors blue and red such that for every two vertices $u \in V_i^0$ and $v \in V_i^1$ of G_i , there is a proper Hamilton u-v path in G_i with the first and the last edges colored blue, then there is a proper Hamilton path 2-coloring c of $G_0 \oplus G_1$ with colors blue and red such that for every two vertices v and v of v and v path in v path in v and v path in v path

Proof. Define c so that c restricted to E_0 is c_0 , c restricted to E_1 is c_1 , and the edges of E_c are colored red. By the symmetric property of $G_0 \oplus G_1$, without loss of generality we can assume the following two cases:

Case 1. $x \in V_0^0$ and $y \in V_0^1$. By hypothesis, there exists a proper Hamilton path P of G_0 joining x and y with the first and the last edges colored blue. The path P can be written as (x, P_1, w, z, P_2, y) with $c_0(wz) = \text{red}$, $w \in V_0^1$ and $z \in V_0^0$. Obviously, $\overline{w} \in V_1^1$ and $\overline{z} \in V_1^0$. Thus, there exists a proper Hamilton path Q of G_1 joining \overline{w} and \overline{z} with the first and the last edges colored blue. Thus, $(x, P_1, w, \overline{w}, Q, \overline{z}, z, P_2, y)$ forms a proper Hamilton path of $G_0 \oplus G_1$ with the first and the last edges colored blue.

Case 2. $x \in V_0^0$ and $y \in V_1^1$. Then, there exists a vertex z in V_0^1 . Obviously, $\overline{z} \in V_1^0$. Thus, there exists a proper Hamilton path P of G_0 joining x to z with the first and the last edges colored blue and there exists a proper Hamilton path Q of G_1 joining \overline{z} to y with the first and the last edges colored blue. Obviously, $(x, P, z, \overline{z}, Q, y)$ forms a proper Hamilton path of $G_0 \oplus G_1$ with the first and the last edges colored blue. This completes the proof.

Next, we observe that, for any even integer $n \ge 10$, $Circ(2n : \{1,3,5,7,9\})$ satisfies the hypothesis of the previous theorem. By the proof of Lemma 5.1, it is enough if we define c

to the edges of lengths 7 and 9 so that we have a proper Hamilton v_0 - v_{2k-1} path. Color the edges of lengths 7 and 9 by blue, the required path is v_0 - v_{2n-1} path: v_0v_{2n-9} $v_{2n-10}v_{2n-11}$ $v_{2n-12}v_{2n-13}\dots v_4v_3$ v_2v_1 $v_{2n-2}v_{2n-3}v_{2n-4}v_{2n-5}$ $v_{2n-6}v_{2n-7}$ $v_{2n-8}v_{2n-1}$.

6. Graphs with $hpc_b = 3$

Let G be a Hamiltonian-laceable graph with $\chi'(G) = 3$. To show that $hpc_b(G) = 3$, we must show that G has no proper Hamilton path 2-coloring.

Theorem 6.1. For each integer $n \geq 2$, $hpc_b(C_{2n} \square K_2) = 3$.

Proof. Construct $G = C_{2n} \square K_2$ from the two 2n-cycles $u_1 u_2 u_3 \ldots u_{2n-1} u_{2n} u_1$ and $v_1 v_2 v_3 \ldots v_{2n-1} v_{2n} v_1$ by adding the 2n edges $u_i v_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n\}$. Let $X = \{u_1, u_3, u_5, \ldots, u_{2n-3}, u_{2n-1}\} \cup \{v_2, v_4, v_6, \ldots, v_{2n-2}, v_{2n}\}$ and $Y = \{u_2, u_4, u_6, \ldots, u_{2n-2}, u_{2n}\} \cup \{v_1, v_3, v_5, \ldots, v_{2n-3}, v_{2n-1}\}$. Then (X, Y) is a bipartition of G. Note that $\chi'(G) = 3$. Assume, to the contrary, that there is a proper Hamilton path 2-coloring c of G.

First, consider a Hamilton u_1 - v_1 path P in G. P begins with u_1u_2 or u_1u_{2n} and ends with v_2v_1 or $v_{2n}v_1$. Assume, by symmetry, P begins with u_1u_2 .

If P ends with v_2v_1 , then, as $u_1u_{2n} \notin E(P)$ and $v_1v_{2n} \notin E(P)$, we have the subpath $u_{2n-1}u_{2n}v_{2n}v_{2n-1}$ in P. Again, as $u_{2n-1}v_{2n-1} \notin E(P)$, we have $u_{2n-2}u_{2n-1}, v_{2n-2}v_{2n-1} \in E(P)$. Proceeding in this way, we get $P = u_1u_2u_3 \dots u_{2n-2}u_{2n-1}u_{2n}v_{2n}v_{2n-1}v_{2n-2}\dots v_3v_2v_1 = P_1$.

If P ends with $v_{2n}v_1$, then, as $v_1v_2 \notin E(P)$, we have the subpath $u_2v_2v_3$ in P. Since $u_2u_3 \notin E(P)$, the subpath $v_3u_3u_4$ in P. As $v_3v_4 \notin E(P)$, the subpath $u_4v_4v_5$ in P. Proceeding in this way, we get $P = u_1u_2v_2v_3u_3u_4v_4v_5 \dots v_{2n-1}u_{2n-1}u_{2n}v_2v_1 = P_2$. Next, consider Hamilton u_3 - v_3 paths in G. By the above argument, the paths are:

 $Q_1 = u_3 u_4 u_5 \dots u_{2n-2} u_{2n-1} u_{2n} u_1 u_2 v_2 v_1 v_{2n} v_{2n-1} v_{2n-2} \dots v_5 v_4 v_3,$

 $Q_2 = u_3 u_4 v_4 v_5 u_5 u_6 v_6 v_7 \dots v_{2n-1} u_{2n-1} u_{2n} v_2 v_1 u_1 u_2 v_2 v_3,$

 $Q_3 = u_3 u_2 u_1 u_{2n} u_{2n-1} u_{2n-2} \dots u_5 u_4 v_4 v_5 v_6 \dots v_{2n-1} v_{2n} v_1 v_2 v_3$, and

 $Q_4 = u_3 u_2 v_2 v_1 u_1 u_{2n} v_{2n} v_{2n-1} u_{2n-1} u_{2n-2} v_{2n-2} v_{2n-3} \dots v_5 u_5 u_4 v_4 v_3.$

If the paths required in c are P_1 and Q_2 , then, we have a contradiction, since $c(u_{2n}v_{2n}) = c(v_{2n-1}v_{2n-2})$ in P_1 and $c(u_{2n}v_{2n}) \neq c(v_{2n-1}v_{2n-2})$ in Q_2 .

If the paths required in c are P_1 and Q_4 , then, we have a contradiction, since $c(u_{2n}v_{2n}) = c(u_{2n-2}u_{2n-1})$ in P_1 and $c(u_{2n}v_{2n}) \neq c(u_{2n-2}u_{2n-1})$ in Q_4 .

If the paths required in c are P_2 and Q_1 , then, we have a contradiction, since $c(u_{2n-1}u_{2n}) = c(v_{2n-2}v_{2n-1})$ in P_2 and $c(u_{2n-1}u_{2n}) \neq c(v_{2n-2}v_{2n-1})$ in Q_1 .

If the paths required in c are P_2 and Q_3 , then, we have a contradiction, since $c(u_{2n-1}u_{2n}) = c(v_{2n-2}v_{2n-1})$ in P_2 and $c(u_{2n-1}u_{2n}) \neq c(v_{2n-2}v_{2n-1})$ in Q_3 .

If the paths required in c are P_1 and Q_1 , then, there is no proper Hamilton u_1 - v_3 path in G. To see this, consider the first edge of this path. If it is either u_1v_1 or u_1u_{2n} , then the edges v_2u_2 and u_2u_3 with same color are in the path. Otherwise, it is u_1u_2 , and the edges $u_{2n}v_{2n}$ and $v_{2n}v_1$ with same color are in the path. A contradiction.

If the paths required in c are P_1 and Q_3 , then, there is no proper Hamilton u_1 - v_3 path in G. To see this, consider the first edge of this path. If it is u_1u_2 , then the edges u_2nv_2n and v_2nv_1 with same color are in the path. If it is u_1u_2n , then we have the subpath $v_1v_2u_2u_3$ in the path; now the edge v_2u_2 has no color. If it is u_1v_1 , then we have the subpath $v_2u_2u_3$, with color 1, 2 in order, in the path; now there is no second edge for this path. A contradiction.

If the paths required in c are P_2 and Q_4 , then, each of the edges in the two 2n-cycles $u_1u_2u_3 \ldots u_{2n-1}u_{2n}u_1$ and $v_1v_2v_3 \ldots v_{2n-1}v_{2n}v_1$ are of one color, say 1, and each of

the 2n edges $u_i v_i$, $i \in \{1, 2, ..., 2n\}$, are of another color, say 2. Now, there is no proper Hamilton u_1 - v_3 path in G, a contradiction.

If the paths required in c are P_2 and Q_2 , then, there is no proper Hamilton u_1 - u_4 path R in G. To see this, consider the first edge of R. If it is u_1u_{2n} , then we have the subpath $u_2v_2v_1v_{2n}$; as the edges u_2v_2 and v_1v_{2n} are of different colors, there is no color for the edge v_2v_1 . So it is either u_1u_2 or u_1v_1 . First, assume that it is u_1u_2 . If $R = u_1u_2u_3...$, then $R = u_1u_2u_3u_4$. So, $R = u_1u_2v_2...$ and therefore $R = u_1u_2v_2...v_3u_3u_4$. As $R \neq u_1u_2v_2v_3u_3u_4$, $R = u_1u_2v_2v_1...v_3u_3u_4$. Thus $R = u_1u_2v_2v_1u_1$. Next, assume that it is u_1v_1 . By symmetry, assume that the last edge of R is v_4u_4 . As u_1u_2 and u_3u_4 are not in R, $R = u_1v_1...v_2u_2u_3v_3...v_4u_4$. Since v_2v_3 is not in R, $R = u_1v_1v_2u_2u_3v_3v_4u_4$. A contradiction. This completes the proof.

Using the following two facts, we have:

If $n \ge 2$, then, for any edge e in $C_{2n} \square K_2$, $\chi'((C_{2n} \square K_2) - e) = 3$, and it is known that (see Lemma 9.3 of [3]), $(C_{2n} \square K_2) - e$ is Hamiltonian-laceable.

If H is a Hamiltonian-laceable spanning subgraph of a Hamiltonian-laceable graph G, then $hpc_b(G) \leq hpc_b(H)$.

Corollary 6.1. For $n \geq 2$ and for any edge e in $C_{2n} \square K_2$, $hpc_b((C_{2n} \square K_2) - e) = 3$.

We pose the following problem.

Problem 6.1. Find odd integers $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$ such that for every integer $n \ge a_3$, $hpc_b(Circ(2n : \{a_1, a_2, a_3\})) = 2$.

If $(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (1, 3, 5)$, then we have Lemma 5.1.

References

- [1] Balakrishnan, R. and Ranganathan, K., (2012), A textbook of graph theory, Springer, New York.
- [2] Bi, Z., Byers, A. and Zhang, P., (2017), Proper Hamiltonian-Connected Graphs, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl., 79, pp. 48-65.
- [3] Hsu, L. H. and Lin, C. K., (2009), Graph Theory and Interconnection Networks, CRC Press, Taylor Francis Group, New York.
- [4] Moon, J. W., (1965), On a problem of Ore, Math. Gaz., 49, pp. 40-41.



R. Sampathkumar is a professor in the Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar. His areas of interests are Graph Decomposition, Graph Labelling, Graph Coloring, Orientations of Graphs, and Design Theory.



S. Anantharaman is a research scholar in the Department of Mathematics, Annamalai Unversity, Annamalainagar. His area of interest is Graph Coloring.