TWMS J. App. and Eng. Math. V.14, N.3, 2024, pp. 1026-1037

# GROWTH OF SOLUTIONS OF SECOND ORDER COMPLEX LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

#### GARIMA PANT<sup>1</sup>, §

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study about order and hyper-order of growth of nontrivial solutions of f'' + A(z)f' + B(z)f = 0, where A(z) and B(z) are entire functions having some restrictions. These restrictions involve notions of Yang's inequality, Borel exceptional value, deficient value and accumulation ray.

Keywords: Entire function, order of growth, hyper-order of growth, exponent of convergence of zeros, complex differential equation

AMS Subject Classification: 34M10, 30D35.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the second order linear differential equation

$$f'' + A(z)f' + B(z)f = 0, (1)$$

where A(z) and  $B(z) \neq 0$  are entire functions. It is a well known result by Herold that every solution of (1) is an entire function [6]. For an entire function f(z), the order of growth, the hyper-order of growth and the exponent of convergence of zeros of f(z) are defined by

$$\rho(f) = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log^+ T(r, f)}{\log r} = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log^+ \log^+ M(r, f)}{\log r},$$

$$\rho_2(f) = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log^+ \log^+ T(r, f)}{\log r}$$

$$\lambda(f) = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log n(r, \frac{1}{f})}{\log r}$$

and

respectively, where 
$$M(r, f) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$$
 is the maximum modulus of  $f(z)$  on the circle of radius  $r, T(r, f)$  is the characteristic function of  $f(z)$  and  $n(r, 1/f)$  is the number of zeros of  $f(z)$  in  $|z| \leq r$ . By applying Wiman-Valiron theory it has been proved that if  $A(z)$  and  $B(z)$  are polynomials then, all solutions of (1) are of finite order and vice versa.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Delhi, Delhi, 110007, India.

e-mail: garimapant.m@gmail.com; ORCID: https:// orcid.org/0000-0003-3936-8219.

<sup>§</sup> Manuscript received: July 08, 2022; accepted: December 03, 2022.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.14, No.3 © Işık University, Department of Mathematics, 2024; all rights reserved.

The research work of the author is supported by research fellowship from University Grants Commission (UGC), New Delhi, India.

Thus, if at least one of the A(z) and B(z) is a transcendental entire function, then almost all solutions of (1) are of infinite order. So it is quite interesting to ask what conditions on A(z) and B(z) will guarantee that all non-trivial solutions of (1) have infinite order? There are many results concerning this problem. Some of them are as follows:

In 1988, Gundersen [4] proved that if A(z) and B(z) are entire functions satisfying one of the following conditions:

- (1)  $\rho(A) < \rho(B)$
- (2) A(z) is a polynomial and B(z) is a transcendental entire function
- (3)  $\rho(B) < \rho(A) < 1/2$
- (4) A(z) is a transcendental entire function with  $\rho(A) = 0$  and B(z) is a polynomial, then every non-trivial solution of (1) is of infinite order.

In this sequel, Hellerstein, Miles and Rossi [7] proved that if  $\rho(B) < \rho(A) = 1/2$ , then every non-trivial solutions of (1) is of infinite order.

For the case  $\rho(B) \leq \rho(A)$  and  $\rho(A) > 1/2$ , it is seen that the above conclusion does not hold in general. Here, we illustrate these conditions by some examples:

**Example 1.1.** The differential equation

$$f'' - e^{-z}f' - (e^{-z} + 1)f = 0$$

has a non-trivial solution  $f(z) = e^{-z}$  of order one, where  $\rho(A) = \rho(B)$  and  $\rho(A) > 1/2$ .

Example 1.2. The differential equation

$$f'' + e^{-z}f' - f = 0$$

has  $f(z) = e^z + 1$  as its non-trivial finite order solution. Here  $\rho(B) < \rho(A)$  and  $\rho(A) > 1/2$ .

But under some conditions on A(z) and B(z) satisfying together with  $\rho(B) < \rho(A)$ ,  $\rho(A) > 1/2$  or  $\rho(A) = \rho(B)$ , it was observed that every non-trivial solution of (1) is of infinite order. For this one may refer to [10, 11, 12, 17].

In this order, some authors have used a condition that the coefficient A(z) in (1) is a non-trivial solution of the following equation

$$w'' + P(z)w = 0, (2)$$

where  $P(z) = a_m z^m + \dots + a_0$  is a polynomial of degree  $m \ge 1$ , see [12, 19, 21].

In 2019, a problem was studied by J. Long, T. Wu and X. Wu which is as follows:

**Theorem A.** [15] Let A(z) and B(z) be two linearly independent solutions of (2). Suppose the number of accumulation rays of the zero sequence of A(z) is less than m + 2. Then, all non-trivial solutions f of (1) are of infinite order.

Motivated by above theorem, we consider a problem: What if the number of accumulation rays of zero sequence of a solution of (2) are exactly m + 2? Our results are based on that problem. To state and prove our main results, we first recall some definitions.

**Definition 1.1.** [14] Suppose that f(z) is a meromorphic function in a finite complex plane. Let  $\gamma = re^{i\theta}$  be a ray from origin. For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , the exponent of convergence of zero sequence of f(z) at the ray  $\gamma = re^{i\theta}$  is denoted by  $\lambda_{\theta}(f) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \lambda_{\theta,\epsilon}(f)$ ,

where

$$\lambda_{\theta,\epsilon}(f) = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log n(S(\theta - \epsilon, \theta + \epsilon), f = 0)}{\log r},$$

here  $n(S(\theta - \epsilon, \theta + \epsilon), f = 0)$  counts the number of zeros of f(z) with multiplicities in the angular sector  $S(\theta - \epsilon, \theta + \epsilon) = \{z : \theta - \epsilon \le \arg z \le \theta + \epsilon, |z| > 0\}.$ 

**Definition 1.2.** A ray  $\gamma = re^{i\theta}$  is called an accumulation ray of zero sequence of f(z) if  $\lambda_{\theta}(f) = \rho(f)$ .

The following remark is obtained immediately, one can see [15] also.

- **Remark 1.1.** (i) The number of accumulation rays of the zero sequence of every nontrivial solution of (2) is less than or equal to m + 2.
- (ii) The set of accumulation rays of the zero sequence of every non-trivial solution of (2) is a subset of  $\{\theta_j : 0 \le j \le m+1\}$ , where  $\theta_j = \frac{2j\pi \arg(a_m)}{m+2}$ ;  $0 \le j \le m+1$ .

**Definition 1.3.** [20] Suppose that f(z) is a meromorphic function of order  $\rho(f) \in (0, \infty)$ . A ray arg  $z = \theta$  from the origin is called a Borel direction of order  $\rho(f)$  of f(z), if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and for any complex value  $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  with at most two exceptions, we have

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log n(S(\theta - \epsilon, \theta + \epsilon, r), a, f)}{\log r} = \rho(f),$$

where  $n(S(\theta - \epsilon, \theta + \epsilon, r), a, f))$  denotes the number of zeros, counting multiplicities of f - a in the region  $S(\theta - \epsilon, \theta + \epsilon, r) = \{z : \theta - \epsilon < argz < \theta + \epsilon, |z| < r\}.$ 

**Example 1.3.** [20] Consider the entire function  $f(z) = e^{-z^n}$  of order *n*. It has 2n Borel directions given by

$$\arg z = \frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2n}, \qquad k = 1, 2, ..., 2n.$$

**Definition 1.4.** [16] Let f(z) be an entire function of finite order  $\rho(f) \in (0, \infty)$ . If f(z) has p number of Borel directions and q number of finite deficient values, then f(z) is called extremal for Yang's inequality if q = p/2.

**Example 1.4.** The entire function  $e^z$  has only one finite deficient value at z = 0. It has two Borel directions at  $\theta = \pi/2$  and  $\theta = -\pi/2$ . Thus,  $e^z$  is an entire function extremal for Yang's inequality.

**Definition 1.5.** [20] Suppose that f(z) is a meromorphic function of finite order  $\rho(f) \in (0, \infty)$  in the finite plane. A complex number *a* is called an exceptional value of f(z) in the sense of Borel if

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log n(r, f = a)}{\log r} < \rho(f).$$

Now we are prepared to state our first main result in which we study about order of growth as well as hyper-order of growth of non-trivial solutions of (1).

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose that B(z) is a non-trivial solution of (2) such that the number of accumulation rays of zero sequence of B(z) are exactly m + 2 and A(z) satisfies any one of the following conditions:

- (1) A(z) is an entire function extremal for Yang's inequality.
- (2) A(z) is an entire function having a finite Borel exceptional value.

Then, every non-trivial solution f of (1) is of infinite order. Moreover,

$$\min\{\rho(A), \rho(B)\} \le \rho_2(f) \le \max\{\rho(A), \rho(B)\}$$

whenever A(z) and B(z) are of finite order.

We illustrate the above theorem by an example:

Example 1.5. All the non-trivial solutions of the differential equation

$$f'' + A(z)f' + B(z)f = 0,$$

have infinite order of growth, where B(z) is any non-trivial solution of f'' - zf = 0, which is not a constant multiple of  $\phi_j(z) = Ai(\alpha_j z)$  for some j = 1, 2, 3. Here  $\alpha_j$  is the cube root of unity and Ai(z) is a special contour integral solution of f'' - zf = 0, called the Airy integral and represented by  $Ai(z) = 1/2\pi i \int_C \exp\{(1/3)w^3 - zw\} dw$ , where the contour Cruns from  $\infty$  to 0 along arg  $w = -\pi/3$  and then 0 to  $\infty$  along arg  $w = \pi/3$ . This B(z) is obtained from [5]. It has exactly 1 + 2 = 3 accumulation rays of zero sequence and A(z)satisfies any one of the followings.

- (1)  $A(z) = \int_0^z e^{-t^2} dt$  is an entire function extremal for Yang's inequality and  $\rho(B) < \rho(A)$ , see [20].
- (2)  $A(z) = e^{z^2} + 1$  has '1' as a finite Borel exceptional value and  $\rho(B) < \rho(A)$ .

In the final result, we consider A(z) to be a transcendental entire function with a finite deficient value and B(z) to be same as in Theorem 1.1, then we study the growth of non-trivial solutions of (1).

**Theorem 1.2.** Suppose that A(z) is a transcendental entire function with a finite deficient value and B(z) is same as in Theorem 1.1. Then all non-trivial solutions of equation (1) are of infinite order.

The following example illustrates the above theorem:

**Example 1.6.** The differential equation

$$f'' + (e^{z^3} - 1)f' + B(z)f = 0$$

has every non-trivial solution of infinite order, where B(z) is the same as in Example 1.5 and '-1' is the finite deficient value of  $A(z) = e^{z^3} - 1$ .

# 2. Preliminary Results

In this section, we state some lemmas which are used in the proofs of the main theorems. Before stating these lemmas, first we recall some elementary notions.

The linear measure of a set  $E \subset [0, \infty)$  is defined by  $m(E) = \int_E dt$ .

The logarithmic measure, lower logarithmic density and upper logarithmic density of a set  $G \subset [1, \infty)$  are defined by

$$m_l(G) = \int_G \frac{1}{t} dt,$$
  
$$\underline{\log dens}(G) = \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{m_l(G \cap [1, r))}{\log r}$$

and

$$\overline{\log dens}(G) = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{m_l(G \cap [1, r))}{\log r},$$

respectively. Lower logarithmic density and upper logarithmic density vary between 0 and 1.

The following lemma is due to Gundersen[3] which played a pivotal role to prove many results of complex differential equations.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let f(z) be a transcendental meromorphic function and let, k and j be integers such that  $k > j \ge 0$ . Suppose that  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\alpha > 1$  are given real constants. Then the following holds:

(1) there exists a set  $F \subset [0, 2\pi)$  with m(F) = 0 and there exists a constant c > 0 that depends only on  $\alpha$  and integers j, k such that if  $\phi_0 \in [0, 2\pi) \setminus F$ , then there is a constant  $R_0 = R_0(\phi_0) > 1$  such that for all z satisfying  $\arg z = \phi_0$  and  $|z| \ge R_0$ , we have

$$\left|\frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f^{(j)}(z)}\right| \le c \left(\frac{T(\alpha r, f)}{r} \log^{\alpha} r \log T(\alpha r, f)\right)^{(k-j)}$$

If f(z) is of finite order, then f(z) satisfies

$$\left|\frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f^{(j)}(z)}\right| \le |z|^{(k-j)(\rho(f)-1+\epsilon)},$$

for all z satisfying  $\arg z = \phi_0$  and  $|z| \ge R_0$ .

(2) there exists a set  $F \subset (1, \infty)$  with finite logarithmic measure and there exists a constant c > 0 that depends only on  $\alpha$  and integers j, k such that

$$\left|\frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f^{(j)}(z)}\right| \le c \left(\frac{T(\alpha r, f)}{r} \log^{\alpha} r \log T(\alpha r, f)\right)^{(k-j)},$$

holds for all z satisfying  $|z| = r \notin F \cup [0, 1]$ . If f(z) is of finite order, then f(z) satisfies

$$\left| \frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f^{(j)}(z)} \right| \le |z|^{(k-j)(\rho(f)-1+\epsilon)}.$$

for all z satisfying  $|z| \notin F \cup [0, 1]$ .

To state next lemma, first we need to recall notion of critical ray, which can be seen in [13].

**Definition 2.1.** Suppose that  $P(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + ... + a_0$ ;  $a_n \neq 0$  and  $\delta(P, \theta) = \Re(a_n e^{in\theta})$ . A ray arg  $z = \theta$  is called a critical ray of  $e^{P(z)}$  if  $\delta(P, \theta) = 0$ .

We fix some notations.

$$E^+ = \{ \theta \in [0, 2\pi] : \delta(P, \theta) \ge 0 \};$$
$$E^- = \{ \theta \in [0, 2\pi] : \delta(P, \theta) \le 0 \}.$$

If  $\phi < \psi$  such that  $\psi - \phi < 2\pi$ , then

$$S(\phi, \psi) = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \phi < \arg z < \psi \};$$
$$S(\phi, \psi, r) = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \phi < \arg z < \psi, |z| < r \}.$$

Critical rays of  $e^{P(z)}$  divide the whole complex plane into 2n sectors of equal length  $\pi/n$ . Suppose that  $\phi_i$  and  $\psi_i$  ( $1 \le i \le n$ ) are critical rays of  $e^{P(z)}$  such that  $0 \le \phi_1 < \psi_1 < \phi_2 < \psi_2 < \ldots < \phi_n < \psi_n$  and  $\phi_{n+1} = 2\pi + \phi_1$ . These critical rays form 2n disjoint sectors  $S(\phi_i, \psi_i)$  and  $S(\psi_i, \phi_{i+1})$ ;  $1 \le i \le n$  in which  $e^{P(z)}$  satisfies  $\delta(P, \theta) > 0$  and  $\delta(P, \theta) < 0$ , respectively.

**Example 2.1.** The function  $e^{-z}$  has two critical rays at  $\theta = \pi/2$  and  $\theta = -\pi/2$ . Also  $E^+ = [\pi/2, 3\pi/2]$  and  $E^- = [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$ .

Now we are prepared to state next lemma which gives estimate for an entire function with integral order of growth.

**Lemma 2.2.** [1] Let  $A(z) = d(z)e^{Q(z)}$  be an entire function, where Q(z) is a polynomial of degree  $n \ge 1$ , and d(z) is an analytic function such that  $\rho(d) < \rho(A) = \deg Q(z)$ . Then for given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a set  $E \subset [0, 2\pi)$  with linear measure zero, such that

(1) if  $\theta \in E^+ \setminus E$ , there exists a  $R(\theta) > 1$  such that

$$|A(re^{i\theta})| \ge \exp((1-\epsilon)\delta(Q,\theta)r^n),$$

holds for all  $r > R(\theta)$ .

(2) if  $\theta \in E^- \setminus E$ , there exists a  $R(\theta) > 1$  such that

$$|A(re^{i\theta})| \le \exp((1-\epsilon)\delta(Q,\theta)r^n),$$

holds for all  $r > R(\theta)$ .

**Remark 2.1.** From the above lemma, we mention a clear notion of  $E^+$  and  $E^-$  which will be used in the proof of main theorems.

$$E^+ = \bigcup_{i=1}^{i=n} (\phi_i, \psi_i), \qquad E^- = \bigcup_{i=1}^{i=n} (\psi_i, \phi_{i+1}).$$

To state next lemma, we first fix some notations.

Let  $0 \leq \alpha < \beta < 2\pi$  and  $S(\alpha, \beta) = \{z : \alpha < \arg z < \beta\}$  be a sector.  $\overline{S}$  denotes closure of S. Suppose that f(z) is an entire function of finite order  $\rho(f) \in (0, \infty)$ . We say that f(z) blows up exponentially in  $\overline{S}$  if

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \log |f(re^{i\theta})|}{\log r} = \rho(f)$$

holds for any  $\theta \in (\alpha, \beta)$ . We also say that f(z) decays to zero exponentially in  $\overline{S}$  if

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \log |f(re^{i\theta})|^{-1}}{\log r} = \rho(f)$$

holds for any  $\theta \in (\alpha, \beta)$ .

Now we state next lemma which was originally given by Hille [2], one can also find in [18, 12]. This lemma plays an important role to prove our results.

**Lemma 2.3.** Suppose that w is a non-trivial solution of (2). Set  $S_j = S(\theta_j, \theta_{j+1})$ , where  $\theta_j = \frac{2\pi j - \arg(a_m)}{m+2}$ ;  $0 \le j \le m+1$ . Then w satisfies the following properties:

- (1) In each sector  $S_i$ , w either blows up or decays to zero exponentially.
- (2) If w decays to zero in  $S_j$ , for some j, then it must blow up in  $S_{j-1}$  and  $S_{j+1}$ . However, it is possible for w to blow up in many adjacent sectors.
- (3) If w decays to zero in  $S_j$ , then w has at most finitely many zeros in any closed sub-sector within  $S_{j-1} \cup \overline{S_j} \cup S_{j+1}$ .
- (4) If w blows up in  $S_{j-1}$  and  $S_j$ , then for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , w has infinitely many zeros in each sector  $\overline{S}(\theta_j \epsilon, \theta_j + \epsilon)$ .

The following lemma gives estimate for a meromorphic function.

**Lemma 2.4.** [9] Suppose that f(z) is a meromorphic function of finite order  $\rho$ . Then for the given  $\delta > 0$  and 0 < l < 1/2, there exists a constant  $\kappa(\rho, \delta)$  and a set  $E_{\delta} \subset [0, \infty)$  of lower logarithmic density greater than  $1 - \delta$  such that for all  $r \in E_{\delta}$  and for every interval I of length l, we have

$$r \int_{I} \left| \frac{f'(re^{\iota\theta})}{f(re^{\iota\theta})} \right| \, d\theta < \kappa(\rho,\delta)(l\log\frac{1}{l})T(r,f).$$

Next lemma gives an upper bound for hyper-order of growth of every solution f of (1).

**Lemma 2.5.** [22] Suppose that A(z) and B(z) are entire functions of finite order. Then each solution f of (1) satisfies

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \log T(r, f)}{\log r} \le \max\{\rho(A), \rho(B)\}.$$

The following lemma provides lower bound for hyper-order of growth of non-trivial solution f of (1).

**Lemma 2.6.** [8] Suppose that A(z) and B(z) are entire functions with  $\rho(A) < \rho(B)$  or  $\rho(B) < \rho(A) < 1/2$ . Then every non-trivial solution f of (1) satisfies

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \log T(r, f)}{\log r} \ge \max\{\rho(A), \rho(B)\}.$$

Next we state and prove an auxiliary result which would be required to get foothold in the next section.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let w be a non-trivial solution of (2) such that the number of accumulation rays of zeros sequence of w(z) are exactly m + 2. Then, w(z) blows up exponentially in each sector  $S_j = S(\theta_j, \theta_{j+1})$ , where  $\theta_j = \frac{2\pi j - \arg(a_m)}{m+2}$ ;  $0 \le j \le m+1$ .

Proof. Suppose that there exist a sector  $S_j$  in which w(z) decays to zero exponentially. Then using Lemma 2.3, w(z) has at most finitely many zeros in any closed sub-sector within  $S_{j-1} \cup \overline{S_j} \cup S_{j+1}$ . But for the ray  $\arg z = \theta_j$  we have,  $\lambda_{\theta_j}(f) = \rho(f)$ . This implies that there are infinite number of zeros clustering around the ray  $\arg z = \theta_j$ . Which is a contradiction. Hence, w(z) blows up exponentially in each sector  $S_j$ .

### 3. Proofs of Theorems

<u>Proof of Theorem 1.1</u>. Let f be a non-trivial solution of finite order which is contrary to the assertion. We target to prove theorem by contradiction.

(1) Suppose that A(z) is an entire function extremal for Yang's inequality and let, A(z) has q finite deficient values say,  $b_1, b_2, ..., b_q$ . Then, A(z) has 2q Borel directions say,  $\phi_1, \phi_2, ..., \phi_{2q}$  which divides whole complex plane into 2q sectors say,  $\Omega_j(\phi_j, \phi_{j+1})$  where  $1 \leq j \leq 2q$  and  $\phi_{2q+1} = \phi_1 + 2\pi$ .

As A(z) is an extremal for Yang's inequality, so for the alternative sectors say,  $\Omega_1, \Omega_3, ..., \Omega_{2q-1}$ , there exists  $\phi \in (\phi_j, \phi_{j+1})$ ; j = 1, 3, ..., 2q - 1, such that A(z) satisfies

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \log |A(re^{i\phi})|}{\log r} = \rho(A),$$

and for the remaining sectors  $\Omega_j$ , for every deficient value  $b_j$ , where j = 1, 2, ..., q, there exists a corresponding sector domain  $\Omega_j$ ; j = 2, 4, ..., 2q such that

$$\log \frac{1}{|A(z) - b_j|} > C(\phi_j, \phi_{j+1}, \epsilon, \delta(b_j, A))T(r, A)$$

holds for  $z \in \Omega(\phi_j + \epsilon, \phi_{j+1} - \epsilon, r_0, \infty)$ , where  $C(\phi_j, \phi_{j+1}, \epsilon, \delta(b_j, A))$  is a constant depending on  $\phi_j, \phi_{j+1}, \epsilon$  and  $\delta(b_j, A)$ . For simplicity we can denote it by C.

Without loss of generality, corresponding to a finite deficient value  $b_{j_0}$  we can take a sector  $\Omega_{2i}$ ;  $1 \leq i \leq q$  such that

$$\log \frac{1}{|A(z) - b_{j_0}|} > CT(r, A), \tag{3}$$

holds for  $z \in \Omega(\phi_{2i} + \epsilon, \phi_{2i+1} - \epsilon, r_0, \infty)$ .

Using Lemma 2.7, B(z) blows up exponentially in each sector  $S_j$ ; j = 0, 1, ..., m+1. Therefore, there exist a sector  $S_k(\theta_k, \theta_{k+1})$  such that B(z) blows up exponentially for any  $\theta \in (\theta_k, \theta_{k+1}) \cap (\phi_{2i} + \epsilon, \phi_{2i+1} - \epsilon)$  for some  $1 \le i \le q$  and we have

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \log |B(re^{i\theta})|}{\log r} = \rho(B),\tag{4}$$

for all sufficiently large r.

From Lemma 2.1, there exists a set  $F \subset [0, 2\pi)$  with m(F) = 0 such that if  $\theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi) \setminus F$ , then there is a constant  $R_0 = R_0(\theta_0) > 1$  such that for all z satisfying  $\arg z = \theta_0$  and  $|z| \geq R_0$ , we have

$$\left|\frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f(z)}\right| \le |z|^{2\rho(f)}, \qquad k = 1, 2.$$
(5)

Combining (1), (3), (4) and (5), there exists a sequence  $z = re^{i\theta}$  such that  $\theta \in (\theta_k, \theta_{k+1}) \cap (\phi_{2i} + \epsilon, \phi_{2i+1} - \epsilon) \setminus F$ , for some  $1 \le i \le q$  and  $r > \max\{r_0, R_0\}$ , we have

$$|B(z)| \le \left| \frac{f''(z)}{f(z)} \right| + |A(z)| \left| \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right|$$
  

$$\exp(r^{\rho(B) - \epsilon'}) \le \left| \frac{f''(re^{i\theta})}{f(re^{i\theta})} \right| + |(A(re^{i\theta}) - b_{j_0}) + b_{j_0}| \left| \frac{f'(re^{i\theta})}{f(re^{i\theta})} \right|$$
  

$$< r^{2\rho(f)}(1 + \exp(-CT(r, A)) + |b_{j_0}|),$$

which is a contradiction for sufficiently large r. Therefore, every non-trivial solution f of (1) is of infinite order. Now to show that

Now to show that

$$\min\{\rho(A), \rho(B)\} \le \rho_2(f) \le \max\{\rho(A), \rho(B)\},\$$

we need to investigate the following cases:

- (i) If  $\rho(A) < \rho(B)$ , Then using Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6, we obtain  $\rho_2(f) = \rho(B)$ .
- (ii) If  $\rho(B) \leq \rho(A)$ , by applying Lemma 2.1, there exists a set  $F \subset [0, 2\pi)$  with m(F) = 0 and a constant c > 0 such that if  $\theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi) \setminus F$ , then there is a constant  $R_0(\theta_0) > 1$  such that

$$\left| \frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f^{(j)}(z)} \right| \le c \left[ T(2r, f) \right]^{2(k-j)},\tag{6}$$

holds for all z satisfying  $|z| \ge R_0$  and  $\arg z = \theta_0$ .

Combining (1), (3), (4) and (6), there exists a sequence of points  $z_l = r_l e^{i\theta}$ such that for  $\theta \in (\theta_k, \theta_{k+1}) \cap (\phi_{2i} + \epsilon, \phi_{2i+1} - \epsilon) \setminus F$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq q$  and  $r_l \to \infty$  as  $l \to \infty$ , we have

$$\exp(r_l^{\rho(B)-\epsilon'}) < |B(r_l e^{\iota\theta})| \le \left| \frac{f''(r_l e^{\iota\theta})}{f(r_l e^{\iota\theta})} \right| + |(A(r_l e^{\iota\theta}) - b_{j_0}) + b_{j_0}| \left| \frac{f'(r_l e^{\iota\theta})}{f(r_l e^{\iota\theta})} \right|$$
  
$$\le cT(2r_l, f)^4 + (\exp(-CT(r_l, A)) + |b_{j_0}|)cT(2r_l, f)^2$$
  
$$\le cT(2r_l, f)^4(1 + o(1)).$$

Hence, we get

$$\rho(B) - \epsilon' \le \limsup_{r_l \to \infty} \frac{\log \log T(r_l, f)}{\log r_l},\tag{7}$$

where  $\epsilon' > 0$  is arbitrary.

From (7) and Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$\rho(B) \le \rho_2(f) \le \rho(A).$$

(2) Suppose that a is a Borel exceptional value of A(z). Then, A(z) - a has zero as a Borel exceptional value. Applying Weierstrass factorisation theorem, we have

$$A(z) - a = g(z) = d(z)e^{Q(z)},$$

where  $Q(z) = b_n z^n + ... + b_0$ ;  $b_n \neq 0$  and  $\rho(d) < \rho(A) = \deg Q(z)$ . This implies

$$|A(z) - a| = |d(z)e^{Q(z)}| = |d(z)|e^{Re\{Q(z)\}}$$

Applying Lemma 2.2, for  $\theta \in E^- \setminus E$ , there exist a  $R(\theta) > 1$  such that

$$|A(re^{i\theta}) - a| < \exp((1 - \epsilon)\delta(Q, \theta)r^n),$$
(8)

holds for all  $r > R(\theta)$ . For simplicity, we can say that (8) holds for  $\theta \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (\psi_i, \phi_{i+1}) \setminus E$  and  $r > R(\theta)$ .

 $E \text{ and } r > R(\theta).$ 

Using Lemma 2.7, there exists a sector  $S_k(\theta_k, \theta_{k+1})$  such that B(z) blows up exponentially for any  $\theta \in (\psi_i, \phi_{i+1}) \cap (\theta_k, \theta_{k+1}) \setminus E$ , for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and we have

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \log |B(re^{i\theta})|}{\log r} = \rho(B), \tag{9}$$

for all sufficiently large r.

Using Lemma 2.1, there exists a set  $F \subset (1, \infty)$  with finite logarithmic measure such that

$$\left|\frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f(z)}\right| \le |z|^{2\rho(f)}, \qquad k = 1,2$$
(10)

holds for all z satisfying  $|z| \notin F \cup [0, 1]$ .

All together with (1), (8), (9), and (10), there exists a sequence of points  $z_l = r_l e^{i\theta}$ such that for  $\theta \in (\psi_i, \phi_{i+1}) \cap (\theta_k, \theta_{k+1}) \setminus E$ , for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $r_l \to \infty$  as  $l \to \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \exp(r_l^{\rho(B)-\epsilon}) &\leq \left| \frac{f''(r_l e^{i\theta})}{f(r_l e^{i\theta})} \right| + |(A(r_l e^{i\theta}) - a) + a| \left| \frac{f'(r_l e^{i\theta})}{f(r_l e^{i\theta})} \right| \\ &\leq r_l^{2\rho(f)} + (\exp((1-\epsilon)\delta(Q,\theta)r_l^n) + |a|)r_l^{2\rho(f)} \\ &< r_l^{2\rho(f)}(1+o(1)). \end{split}$$

This is a contradiction for sufficiently large  $r_l$ . Thus, every non-trivial solution f of (1) is of infinite order.

Proceeding on similar lines as in (1), we obtain the range of hyper-order of non-trivial solutions f of (1). We omit the details. This completes the proof.

1035

<u>Proof of Theorem 1.2</u>. Let f be a finite order non-trivial solution of equation (1). we aim to prove theorem by contradiction. Suppose  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  is a finite deficient value of A(z). Then it follows from the definition that

$$\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{m(r, \frac{1}{A(z)-c})}{T(r, A)} = 2\alpha > 0.$$

This gives

$$m\left(r, \frac{1}{A(z) - c}\right) \ge \alpha T(r, A),$$

for all sufficiently large r.

Applying definition of proximity function, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left| \frac{1}{A(re^{\iota\theta}) - c} \right| \, d\theta \ge \alpha T(r, A)$$

or

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |A(re^{\iota\theta}) - c| \, d\theta \le -\alpha T(r, A),$$

holds for all sufficiently large r. Thus, for any sufficiently large r, there exists  $z_r = re^{\iota\theta_r}$  such that

$$\log |A(z_r) - c| \le -\alpha T(r, A), \qquad (\text{see } [9, \text{Proof of Theorem 2}]).$$

From Lemma 2.4, we choose  $\delta > 0$  and 0 < l < 1/2 in such a way that  $\kappa(\rho(A), \delta)(l \log(1/l))$  is sufficiently small. We can also choose  $\phi > 0$ ,  $|\theta_r - \phi| \le l$  such that

$$\begin{split} \log |A(re^{\iota\theta}) - c| &= \log |A(re^{\iota\theta_r}) - c| + \int_{\theta_r}^{\theta} \frac{d}{dt} \log |A(re^{it}) - c| \, dt \\ &\leq -\alpha T(r, A) + r \int_{\theta_r}^{\theta} \left| \frac{(A - c)'(re^{\iota t})}{(A - c)(re^{\iota t})} \right| \, dt \\ &\leq -\alpha T(r, A) + \kappa(\rho(A), \delta)(l \log(1/l))T(r, A) \\ &\leq 0, \end{split}$$

holds for all  $\theta \in [\theta_r - \phi, \theta_r + \phi]$  and for all sufficiently large  $r \in E_{\delta}$ , where  $\underline{\log dens}(E_{\delta}) > 1 - \delta$ . Thus we have

$$A(re^{\iota\theta}) \le 1 + c,\tag{11}$$

for all sufficiently large  $r \in E_{\delta}$  and for all  $\theta \in [\theta_r - \phi, \theta_r + \phi]$ . Using Lemma 2.7, there exists a sector  $S_k(\theta_k, \theta_{k+1})$  such that B(z) blows up exponentially for any  $\theta \in [\theta_r - \phi, \theta_r + \phi] \cap (\theta_k, \theta_{k+1})$  and we have

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \log |B(re^{i\theta})|}{\log r} = \rho(B), \tag{12}$$

for all sufficiently large r.

Combining equations (1), (10), (11) and (12), there exists a sequence of points  $z_l = r_l e^{i\theta}$ such that for all  $\theta \in [\theta_r - \phi, \theta_r + \phi] \cap (\theta_k, \theta_{k+1})$  and  $r_l \to \infty$  as  $l \to \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |B(r_l e^{\iota \theta})| &\leq \left| \frac{f''(r_l e^{\iota \theta})}{f(r_l e^{\iota \theta})} \right| + |A(r_l e^{\iota \theta})| \left| \frac{f'(r_l e^{\iota \theta})}{f(r_l e^{\iota \theta})} \right| \\ \exp(r_l^{\rho(B) - \epsilon'}) &< \left| \frac{f''(r_l e^{\iota \theta})}{f(r_l e^{\iota \theta})} \right| + |(A(r_l e^{\iota \theta}))| \left| \frac{f'(r_l e^{\iota \theta})}{f(r_l e^{\iota \theta})} \right| \\ &\leq r_l^{2\rho(f)}(2+c), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction for sufficiently large  $r_l$ . Hence, all non-trivial solutions of (1) have infinite order.

# 4. Conclusion

We provide the conditions on the coefficients of second order linear differential equation (1) so that all non-trivial solutions have infinite order of growth. The hyper order of growth of these solutions is proved to be of finite order.

Acknowledgement. The author is highly thankful to the anonymous referee(s) for their kind suggestions and comments.

#### References

- Bank, S., Laine, I. and Langley, J., (1986), On the frequency of zeros of solutions of second order linear differential equation, Results Math., 10(1), pp. 8–24.
- [2] Hille, E., (1969), Lectures on Ordinary Differential Equations, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [3] Gundersen, G. G., (1988), Estimates for the logarithmic derivative of a meromorphic function, plus similar estimates, J. London Math. Soc., 37(1), pp. 88–104.
- [4] Gundersen, G. G., (1988), Finite order solution of second order linear differential equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 305(1), pp. 415–429.
- [5] Gundersen, G. G. and Steinbart, E. M., (1993), A generalization of Airy integral  $f'' z^n f = 0$ , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 337(2), pp. 737–755.
- [6] Herold, H., (1975), Differentialgleichungen im Komplexen, Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht.
- [7] Hellerstein, S., Miles, J. and Rossi, J., (1991), On the growth of solutions of f'' + gf' + hf = 0, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 324, pp. 693–706.
- [8] Kwon, K. H., (1996), On the growth of entire functions satisfying second order linear differential equations, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 33, pp. 487–496.
- [9] Kwon, K. H. and Kim, J. H., (2001), Maximum modulus, characteristic, deficiency and growth of solutions of second order linear differential equations, Kodai Math. J., 24, pp. 344–351.
- [10] Kumar, S. and Saini, M., (2020), On zeros and growth of solutions of second order linear differential equation, Commun. Korean Math. Soc., 35(1), pp. 229–241.
- [11] Kumar, S. and Saini, M., (2020), Order and hyper-order of solutions of second order linear differential equations, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., https://doi.org/10.1007/s40840-020-00993-w.
- [12] Long, J. R., (2018), Growth of solutions of second order complex linear differential equations with entire coefficients, Filomat, 32(1), pp. 275–284.
- [13] Long, J., Shi, L., Wu, X., Zhang, S., (2018), On a question of Gundersen concerning the growth of solutions of linear differential equations, Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae Mathematica, 43, pp. 337–348.
- [14] Long, J. R., Wu, P., Wu, X., (2012), On the zero distribution of solutions of second order linear differential equations in the complex domain, New zealand J. Math., 42, pp. 9–16.
- [15] Long, J., Wu, T., Wu, X., (2019), Growth of solutions of complex differential equations with solutions of another equation as coefficients, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory, 19(1), pp. 3–16.
- [16] Long, J., Wu, P., Zhang, Z., (2013), On the growth of solutions of second order linear differential equations with extremal coefficients, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, 29(2), pp. 365–372.

- [17] Ozawa, M., (1980), On a solution of  $w'' + e^{-z}w' + (az+b)w = 0$ , Kodai Math. J., 3(2), pp. 295–309.
- [18] Shin, K. C., (2002), New polynomial P for which f'' + P(z)f = 0 has a solution with almost all real zeros, Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae, 27, pp. 491–498.
- [19] Wu, X. B., Long, J. R., Heittokangas, J. and Qiu, K. E., (2015), Second order complex linear differential equations with special functions or extremal functions as coefficients, Electron. J. Differential Equations, 2015(143), pp. 1–15.
- [20] Lo, Y., (1993), Value Distribution Theory, Translated and revised from the 1982 Chinese Original, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [21] Zhang, G., Yang, L., (2021), Infinite order of solutions of second order complex differential equations with entire coefficient having dynamical property, Applied Mathematics Letters 112, pp. 1–8.
- [22] Chen, Z., (2002), The growth of solutions of  $f'' + e^{-z}f' + Q(z)f = 0$  where the order (Q)=1, Sci. China Math., 45(3), pp. 290–300.



**Garima Pant** is doing her Ph.D. under the supervision of Prof. Sanjay Kumar from the Department of Mathematics, University of Delhi. She has completed her graduation and post graduation at S. S. J. Campus Almora, Kumaun University Nainital, Uttarakhand.