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#### Abstract

This article is dedicated to studying a class of frictional contact problems involving the $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x})$-Laplacian-like operator, on a bounded domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Using an abstract Lagrange multiplier technique and the Schauder fixed point theorem we establish the existence of a weak solution. Furthermore, we also obtain the uniqueness of the solution assuming that the datum $f_{1}$ satisfies a suitable monotonicity condition. The results here extend earlier theorems due to Cojocaru- Matei to the quasilinear case, with semilinearity $f_{1}$.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we discuss the existence of weak solutions for the following nonlinear elliptic problem for the $p(x)$-Laplacian-like operator originated from a capillary phenomena.
Problem 1. Find $u: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& -M(L(u))\left[\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u+\frac{|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)-2} \nabla u}{\left.\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}\right)}\right]=f_{1}(x, u) \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega,\right.  \tag{1}\\
& u=0 \\
& \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \text {, }  \tag{2}\\
& M(L(u))\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}+\frac{|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)-2}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=f_{2}(x) \quad \text { on } \quad \Gamma_{2},  \tag{3}\\
& \left|M(L(u))\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}+\frac{|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)-2}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right| \leq g(x),  \tag{4}\\
& M\left((L(u))\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}+\frac{|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)-2}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=-g \frac{u}{|u|}, \quad \text { if } \quad u \neq 0 \quad \text { on } \quad \Gamma_{3}\right. \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a bounded domain with smooth enough boundary $\Gamma$, partitioned in three parts $\bar{\Gamma}_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}$ such that meas $\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)>0,(i=1,2,3) ; f_{1}: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f_{2}: \Gamma_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g: \Gamma_{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $M:\left[0,+\infty\left[\rightarrow\left[m_{0},+\infty[\right.\right.\right.$ are given functions, $p \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $L(u)=$ $\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}+\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}{p(x)} d x$.

The study of the $p(x)$ - Kirchhoff type equations with nonlinear boundary conditions of different class have been a very interesting topic in the recent years. Let us just quote[2, $5,6,12,20,28]$ and references therein. One reason of such interest is due to their frequent appearance in applications such as the modeling of electrorheological fluids [25], image restoration [13], elastic mechanics [29] and continuum mechanics [4]. The other reason is that the nonlocal problems with variable exponent, in addition to their contributions to the modelization of many physical and biological phenomena, are very interesting from a purely mathematical point of view as well; we refer the reader to [1, 3, 11, 22, 23, 27]. Cojocaru-Matei [9] studied the unique solvability of problem (4) in the case $M(s)=$ $1, f_{1}(x, u) \equiv f_{1}(x)$, without the term $\frac{|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)-2} \nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}, p=$ constant $\geq 2$, which models the antiplane shear deformation of a nonlinearly elastic cylindrical body in frictional contact on $\Gamma_{3}$ with a rigid foundation; see, e.g. [26]. They used a technique involving dual Lagrange multipliers, which allows to write efficient algorithms to approximate the weak solutions; see [21]. For this situation, the behavior of the material is described by the Hencky-type constitutive law:

$$
\sigma(x)=k \operatorname{tr} \varepsilon(u(x)) I_{3}+\mu(x)\left\|\varepsilon^{D}(u(x))\right\|^{\frac{p(x)-2}{2}} \varepsilon^{D}(u(x))
$$

where $\sigma$ is the Cauchy stress tensor, $t r$ is the trace of a Cartesian tensor of second order, $\varepsilon$ is the infinitesimal strain tensor, $u$ is the displacement vector, $I_{3}$ is the identity tensor, $k, \mu$ are material parameters, $p$ is a given function; $\varepsilon^{D}$ is the deviator of the tensor $\varepsilon$ defined by $\varepsilon^{D}=\varepsilon-\frac{1}{3}(\operatorname{tr} \varepsilon) I_{3}$ where $\operatorname{tr} \varepsilon=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \varepsilon_{i i}$; see for instance [19].

Inspired by the above works, we study the existence of weak solutions for Problem 1, under appropriate assumptions on $M$ and $f_{1}$, via Lagrange multipliers and the Schauder fixed point theorem. In this sense, we extend and generalize the result the main result in [9]. Also, we state a simple uniqueness result under suitable monotonicity condition on $f_{1}$.

The paper is designed as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the mathematical preliminaries and give several important properties of $p(x)$-Laplacian-like operator. We deliver a weak variational formulation with Lagrange multipliers in a dual space. Section 3, is devoted to the proofs of main results.

## 2. Preliminaries

For the reader's convenience, we point out some basic results on the theory of LebesgueSobolev spaces with variable exponent. In this context we refer the reader to [15, 25] for details. Firstly we state some basic properties of spaces $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ which will be used later. Denote by $\mathbf{S}(\Omega)$ the set of all measurable real functions defined on $\Omega$. Two functions in $\mathbf{S}(\Omega)$ are considered as the same element of $\mathbf{S}(\Omega)$ when they are equal almost everywhere. Write

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})=\{h: h \in C(\bar{\Omega}), h(x)>1 \text { for any } x \in \bar{\Omega}\}, \\
h^{-}:=\min _{\bar{\Omega}} h(x), \quad h^{+}:=\max _{\bar{\Omega}} h(x) \quad \text { for every } h \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega}) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Define

$$
L^{p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in \mathbf{S}(\Omega): \int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} d x<+\infty \text { for } p \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})\right\}
$$

with the norm

$$
|u|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}=|u|_{p(x)}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{u(x)}{\lambda}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq 1\right\}
$$

and

$$
W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega):|\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{1, p(x)}=|u|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}+|\nabla u|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} .
$$

Proposition 2.1 ([18], Theorem 1.3). The spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ are separable reflexive Banach spaces.
Proposition 2.2 ([18], Theorem 1.4). Set $\rho(u)=\int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} d x$. For any $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, then
(1) for $u \neq 0,|u|_{p(x)}=\lambda$ if and only if $\rho\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right)=1$;
(2) $|u|_{p(x)}<1(=1 ;>1)$ if and only if $\rho(u)<1(=1 ;>1)$;
(3) if $|u|_{p(x)}>1$, then $|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}} \leq \rho(u) \leq|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}$;
(4) if $|u|_{p(x)}<1$, then $|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}} \leq \rho(u) \leq|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}}$;
(5) $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left|u_{k}\right|_{p(x)}=0$ if and only if $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \rho\left(u_{k}\right)=0$;
(6) $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left|u_{k}\right|_{p(x)}=+\infty$ if and only if $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \rho\left(u_{k}\right)=+\infty$.

Proposition 2.3 ([16, 18], Theorem 1.2, Theorem 2.3). If $q \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $q(x) \leq p^{*}(x)$ $\left(q(x)<p^{*}(x)\right)$ for $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then there is a continuous (compact) embedding $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow$ $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, where

$$
p^{*}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{N p(x)}{N-p(x)} & \text { if } p(x)<N \\ +\infty & \text { if } p(x) \geq N\end{cases}
$$

Proposition 2.4 ([18], Theorem 1.15). The conjugate space of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, where $\frac{1}{q(x)}+\frac{1}{p(x)}=1$ holds a.e. in $\Omega$. For any $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, we have the following Hölder-type inequality

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} u v d x\right| \leq\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}+\frac{1}{q^{-}}\right)|u|_{p(x)}|v|_{q(x)}
$$

We introduce the following closed space of $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\left\{v \in W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega): \gamma u=0 \quad \text { a. e. on } \quad \Gamma_{1}\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ denotes the Sobolev trace operator and $\Gamma_{1} \subseteq \Gamma$, meas $\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)>0$, therefore $X$ is a separable reflexive Banach space. Now, we denote

$$
\|u\|_{X}=|\nabla u|_{p(x)}, \quad u \in X
$$

This functional represents a norm on $X$.
Proposition 2.5 ([7], Theorem 2.5). There exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{1, p(x)} \leq C\|u\|_{X} \quad \text { for all } u \in X
$$

Then, the norms $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1, p(x)}$ are equivalent on $X$.
The derivative operator of $L$ in weak sense $L^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle L^{\prime} u, v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u+\frac{|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)-2} \nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}\right) \cdot \nabla v d x, \forall u, v \in X . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.6. The functional $L: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex. The mapping $L^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is a strictly monotone, bounded homeomorphism, and is of $\left(S_{+}\right)$type, namely

$$
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \text { and } \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} L^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right) \leq 0 \text { implies } u_{n} \rightarrow u
$$

where $X^{\prime}$ is the dual space of $X$.
Proof. This result is obtained in a similar manner as the one given in [24], Proposition 3.1. For the reader's convenience we sketch briefly the proof that $L^{\prime}$ is of ( $S_{+}$) type. Let $\left(u_{\nu}\right)$ be a sequence of $X$ such that $u_{\nu} \rightharpoonup u$ in $X$. By the strict monotonicity of $L^{\prime}$ we get

$$
0=\limsup _{\nu \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle L^{\prime} u_{\nu}-L^{\prime} u, u_{\nu}-u\right\rangle=\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle L^{\prime} u_{\nu}-L^{\prime} u, u_{\nu}-u\right\rangle,
$$

thus $\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle L^{\prime} u_{\nu}, u_{\nu}-u\right\rangle=0$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\nu}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{\nu}+\frac{\left|\nabla u_{\nu}\right|^{2 p(x)-2} \nabla u_{\nu}}{\sqrt{1+\left|\nabla u_{\nu}\right|^{2 p(x)}}}\right)\left(\nabla u_{\nu}-\nabla u\right) d x=0 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, using estimation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\nu}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{\nu}+\frac{\left|\nabla u_{\nu}\right|^{2 p(x)-2} \nabla u_{\nu}}{\sqrt{1+\left|\nabla u_{\nu}\right|^{2 p(x)}}}\right)\left(\nabla u_{\nu}-\nabla u\right) d x \geq \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{\nu}\right|^{p(x)} d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x+C\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} \sqrt{1+\left|\nabla u_{\nu}\right|^{2 p(x)}} d x-\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} \sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}} d x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain, by (8), that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{\nu}\right|^{p(x)}+C \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} \sqrt{1+\left|\nabla u_{\nu}\right|{ }^{2 p(x)}} d x\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)}+C \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} \sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}} d x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the integrals of the family

$$
\left\{\frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{\nu}-\nabla u\right|^{p(x)}+C \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\sqrt{1+\left|\nabla u_{\nu}\right|}-\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|}\right|^{2 p(x)}\right\}
$$

are absolutely equicontinuous on $\Omega$. Consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} & \left(\frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{\nu}-\nabla u\right|^{p(x)}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+C \frac{1}{p(x)} \right\rvert\, \sqrt{1+\left|\nabla u_{\nu}\right|}-\sqrt{1+\left.|\nabla u|\right|^{2 p(x)}}\right) d x=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{\nu}-\nabla u\right|^{p(x)} d x=0
$$

and

$$
\left.\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} \right\rvert\, \sqrt{1+\left|\nabla u_{\nu}\right|}-\sqrt{1+\left.|\nabla u|\right|^{2 p(x)}} d x=0
$$

Then, we conclude, from the last two equalities and Proposition 2.5, that $u_{\nu} \rightarrow u$ in $X$ as $\nu \rightarrow+\infty$.

Now, we define the spaces

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\left\{u \in W^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}(x)}, p(x)}(\Gamma): \exists v \in X \quad \text { such that } \quad u=\gamma v \quad \text { a.e on } \quad \Gamma\right\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a real reflexive Banach space, $\frac{1}{p(x)}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}(x)}=1$ for all $x \in \Omega$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=S^{\prime} \text {, the dual of the space } \mathrm{S} \text {. } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us introduce a bilinear form

$$
\begin{equation*}
b: X \times Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad: b(v, \mu)=\langle\mu, \gamma v\rangle_{Y \times S}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

a Lagrange multiplier $\lambda \in Y$,

$$
\langle\lambda, z\rangle=-\int_{\Gamma_{3}} M(L(u))\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}+\frac{|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)-2}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} z d \Gamma \quad, \quad \forall z \in S
$$

and the set of Lagrange multipliers

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=\left\{u \in Y:\langle\mu, z\rangle \leqslant \int_{\Gamma_{3}} g(x)|z(x)| \quad, \quad \forall z \in S\right\} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4) we deduce that $\lambda \in \Lambda$.
Let $u$ be a regular enough function satisfying Problem 1. After some computations we get (by using density results)

$$
\begin{gather*}
M(L(u)) \int_{\Omega}\left(\left(1+\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}\right)|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right) \cdot \nabla v d x=\int_{\Omega} f_{1}(x, u) v d x \\
\quad+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} f_{2}(x) \gamma v d \Gamma+M(L(u)) \int_{\Gamma_{3}}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}+\frac{|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)-2}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \gamma v d \Gamma \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $v \in X$, where $u$ satisfies (5) on $\Gamma_{3}$.
Now, we write problem (13) as an abstract mixed variational problem (by means a Lagrange multipliers technique)

We define the following operators:
i) $A: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A u, v\rangle=M(L(u)) \int_{\Omega}\left(\left(1+\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}\right)|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right) \cdot \nabla v d x, u, v \in X . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

ii) $F: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$, given by

$$
\langle F(u), v\rangle=\int_{\Omega} f_{1}(x, u) v d x+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} f_{2}(x) \gamma v d x \quad, \quad u, v \in X .
$$

So, we are led to the following variational formulation of Problem 1.
Problem 1'. Find $u \in X$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle A u, v\rangle+b(v, \lambda) & =\langle F(u), v\rangle \quad, \quad \forall v \in X  \tag{15}\\
b(u, \mu-\lambda) & \leq 0 \quad \forall \mu \in \Lambda \subseteq Y .
\end{align*}
$$

To solve this problem, we will apply the Schauder fixed point theorem.
Firstly, we "freeze" the state variable $u$ on the function $F$, that is we fix $w \in X$ such that $f=F(w) \in X^{\prime}$.

Hence, we arrive at the following abstract mixed variational problem.

Problem 2. Given $f \in X^{\prime}$ find $u \in X$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle A u, v\rangle+b(v, \lambda) & =\langle f, v\rangle \quad, \quad \forall v \in X \\
b(u, \mu-\lambda) & \leq 0 \quad \forall \mu \in \Lambda \subseteq Y \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

The unique solvability of Problem 2 is given under the following generalized assumptions.
Let $\left(X,\| \|_{X}\right)$ and $\left(Y,\| \|_{Y}\right)$ be two real reflexive Banach space.
$\left(B_{1}\right): A: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is hemicontinuous;
$\left(B_{2}\right): \exists h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that
(a) $h(t w)=t^{\gamma} h(w)$ with $\gamma>1, \forall t>0, w \in X$;
(b) $\langle A u-A v, u-v\rangle_{X \times X} \geq h(v-u), \forall u, v \in X$;
(c) $\forall\left(x_{\nu}\right) \subseteq X: x_{\nu} \rightharpoonup x$ in $X \Longrightarrow h(x) \leq \lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \sup h\left(x_{\nu}\right)$.
$\left(B_{3}\right): A$ is coercive.
$\left(B_{4}\right):$ The form $b: X \times Y$ is bilinear, and
(i) $\forall\left(u_{\nu}\right) \subseteq X: u_{\nu} \rightharpoonup u$ in $X \Longrightarrow b\left(u_{\nu}, \mu\right) \rightarrow b(u, \mu)$, for all $\mu \in \Lambda$.
(ii) $\forall\left(\lambda_{\nu}\right) \subseteq Y: \lambda_{\nu} \rightharpoonup y$ in $Y \Longrightarrow b\left(v, \lambda_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow b(v, \lambda)$, for all $v \in X$.
(iii) $\exists \widehat{\alpha}>0: \inf _{\substack{\mu \in I \\ u \neq 0}}^{\sup _{\substack{v \in X \\ v \neq 0}} \frac{b(v, \mu)}{|v|_{X}|\mu|_{Y}} \geq \widehat{\alpha} \text {. } . . . . . . . ~}$
$\left(B_{5}\right): \Lambda$ is a bounded closed convex subset of $Y$ such that $0_{Y} \in \Lambda$.
$\left(B_{6}\right): \exists C_{1}>0, q>0: h(v) \geq C_{1}\|v\|_{X}^{q} \quad, \forall v \in X$.
Theorem 2.1. Assume $\left(B_{1}\right)-\left(B_{6}\right)$. Then there exists a unique solution $(u, \lambda) \in X \times \Lambda$ of Problem 2.
Proof. See [9], Theorem 1.
To solve Problem 1', we start by stating the following assumptions on $M, f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $g$
$\left(A_{1}\right) M:\left[0,+\infty\left[\rightarrow\left[m_{0},+\infty[\right.\right.\right.$ is a locally Lipschitz-continuous and nondecreasing function; $m_{0}>0$.
$\left(A_{2}\right) f_{1}: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Caratheodory function satisfying

$$
\left|f_{1}(x, t)\right| \leq c_{1}+c_{2}|t|^{\alpha(x)-1}, \forall(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}
$$

$\alpha \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\alpha(x)<p^{*}(x), \alpha^{+}<p^{-}$.
$\left(A_{3}\right) f_{2} \in L^{p^{\prime}(x)}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right), g \in L^{p^{\prime}(x)}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right), g(x) \geq 0$ a.e on $\Gamma_{3}$.
We have the following properties about the operator $A$.
Proposition 2.7. If $\left(A_{1}\right)$ holds, then
(i) $A$ is locally Lipschitz continuous.
(ii) $A$ is bounded, strictly monotone. Furthermore

$$
\langle A u-A v, u-v\rangle \geq k_{p}\|u-v\|_{X}^{\hat{p}}
$$

where

$$
\hat{p}= \begin{cases}p^{-} & \text {if }\|u-v\|_{X}>1 \\ p^{+} & \text {if }\|u-v\|_{X} \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

So, we can take $h(v)=k_{p}\|v\|_{X}^{\hat{p}}$.
(iii) $\frac{\langle A u, u\rangle}{\|u\|_{X}} \rightarrow+\infty \quad$ as $\|u\|_{X} \rightarrow+\infty$.

Proof. (i) Assume that $M$ is Lipschitz in $\left[0, R_{1}\right]$ with Lipschitz constant $L_{M}, R_{1}>0$. We have, for $u, v, w \in B\left(0, R_{1}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle A u-A v, w\rangle= & {[M(L(u))-M(L(v))] \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(1+\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}\right)|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right] . \nabla w d x } \\
& +M(L(v)) \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(1+\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}\right)|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right. \\
& \left.-\left(1+\frac{|\nabla v|^{p(x)}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla v|^{2 p(x)}}}\right)|\nabla v|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v\right] \cdot \nabla w d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the Lipschitz continuity of $M$, the Holder inequality and observing that $k(t)=$ $\left(1+\frac{t^{p}}{\sqrt{1+t^{2 p}}}\right) t^{p-2}$ satisfies conditions i)-iii) of Lemma 1 in [10], so there exist constants $K_{1} \geq 0, K_{2}>0$ and $\gamma>0$ such that

$$
|k(|z|) z-k(|y|) y| \leq \gamma|z-y|\left[K_{1}+K_{2}(|z|+|y|)\right]^{p-2} \quad \text { if } 2 \leq p<\infty, \forall y, z \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

we get

$$
|\langle A u-A v, w\rangle| \leq C\|u-v\|_{X}\|w\|_{X}
$$

which implies $\|A u-A v\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq C\|u-v\|_{X}$.
ii) The functional $S \equiv L^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle S u, v\rangle=\int_{\Omega}\left(\left(1+\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}\right)|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right) \cdot \nabla v d x \quad \forall u, v \in X \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is bounded (see Proposition 2.6). Hence, since $M$ is continuous and L is bounded, $A$ is bounded.

To obtain that $A$ is strictly monotone, we observe that $L^{\prime}$ is strictly monotone.Hence, $L$ is strictly convex. Moreover, since $M$ is nondecreasing, $\hat{M}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} M(\tau) d \tau$ is convex in $[0,+\infty[$. Consequently, for all $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t} \in] 0,1[$ with $s+t=1$ one has

$$
\hat{M}(L(s u+t v))<\hat{M}(s L(u)+t L(v)) \leq s \hat{M}(L(u))+t \hat{M}(L(v)), \forall u, v \in X, u \neq v
$$

This shows $\Psi(u)=\hat{M}(L(u))$ is strictly convex, then $\Psi^{\prime}(u)=M(L(u)) L^{\prime}(u)$ is strictly monotone, which means that $A$ is strictly monotone.

To establish the inequality in ii), we apply Lemma 3 in [8] to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle A u-A v, u-v\rangle \geq & \int_{\Omega}\left\{M(L(u))\left[\left(1+\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}\right)|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right]\right. \\
& \left.-M(L(v))\left[\left(1+\frac{|\nabla v|^{p(x)}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla v|^{2 p(x)}}}\right)|\nabla v|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v\right]\right\} \cdot(\nabla v-\nabla u) d x \\
\geq & m_{0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left(|\nabla u-\nabla u|^{p(x)}\right) d x \geq \frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u-\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x \\
\geq & \frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}}\|u-v\|_{X}^{\hat{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

iii)For $u \in X$ with $\|u\|_{X}>1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\langle A u, u\rangle}{\|u\|_{X}} & =\frac{M(L(u)) \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(1+\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}\right)|\nabla u|^{p(x)}\right] d x}{\|u\|} \\
& \geq m_{0}\|u\|_{X}^{p^{-}-1} \rightarrow+\infty \text { as }\|u\|_{X} \rightarrow+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.8. The form $b: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined in (11) is bilinear and, it verifies $i$ ), ii) and iii) in assumption $\left(B_{4}\right)$. Moreover

$$
\begin{align*}
b(u, \mu) & \leq \int_{\Gamma_{3}} g(x)|u(x)| d \Gamma \text { for all } \mu \in \Lambda,  \tag{18}\\
b(u, \lambda) & =\int_{\Gamma_{3}} g(x)|u(x)| d \Gamma  \tag{19}\\
b(u, \mu-\lambda) & \leq 0 \quad \text { for all } \mu \in \Lambda \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, $\Lambda$ is bounded.
Proof. The assertions i), ii), iii) and $\Lambda$ bounded are similarly as [9], Theorem 3, pages 138-139.

It is obvious to check (18). To justify (19), we have to show that, a.e. $x \in \Omega$

$$
-M\left((L(u))\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}+\frac{|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)-2}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}\right) \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial \nu} u(x)=g(x)|u(x)|\right.
$$

In fact, let $x \in \Omega$. If $|u(x)|=0$, then

$$
-M\left((L(u))\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}+\frac{|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)-2}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}\right) \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial \nu} u(x)=0=g(x)|u(x)| \text { on } \Gamma_{3}\right.
$$

Otherwise, if $|u(x)| \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
-M\left((L(u))\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}+\frac{|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)-2}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}\right) \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial \nu} u(x)\right. & =g(x) \frac{(u(x))^{2}}{|u(x)|} \\
& =g(x)|u(x)| \text { on } \Gamma_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, for all $\mu \in \Lambda$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(u, \mu-\lambda)=b(u, \mu)-b(u, \lambda)=\langle\mu, \gamma u\rangle_{Y \times S}-\langle\lambda, \gamma u\rangle_{Y \times S} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, thanks to (18), (19) and (21), we obtain (20).

## 3. Existence and uniqueness of solutions

We are ready to solve Problem 1' (then Problem 1). For this, we consider the Banach spaces $X$ and $Y$ given in (6) and (10) respectively, the bilinear form $b$ in (11) and the set $\Lambda$ in (12).

Theorem 3.1. Suppose $\left(A_{1}\right)-\left(A_{3}\right)$ hold. Then Problem 1 ' admits a solution $(u, \lambda) \in$ $X \times \Lambda$.

Proof. We apply the Schauder fixed point theorem.
As has been said before, we "freeze" the state variable $u$ on the function $F$, that is, we fix $w \in X$ and consider the problem:

Find $u \in X$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle A u, v\rangle+b(v, \lambda) & =\langle f, v\rangle \quad, \quad \forall v \in X,  \tag{22}\\
b(u, \mu-\lambda) & \leq 0 \quad \forall \mu \in \Lambda \subseteq Y \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

with $f=F(w) \in X^{\prime}$. Note that by the hypotheses on $\alpha$ and $f_{1}$, given in $\left(A_{2}\right)$, we have $f_{1}(w) \in L^{\alpha^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow X^{\prime}$.

By Theorem 2.1, problem (22)-(23) has a unique solution $\left(u_{w}, \lambda_{w}\right) \in X \times \Lambda$.
Here we drop the subscript $w$ for simplicity. Setting $v=u$ in (22) and $\mu=0_{Y}$ in (23), using proposition 2.7 ii ), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{p}\|u\|_{X}^{\hat{p}} \leq\left(2 C_{1} C_{\alpha}\|w\|_{X}^{\sigma}+2 C_{2} C_{\alpha}|\Omega|+c_{p}\left|f_{2}\right|_{p^{\prime}(x), \Gamma_{2}}\right)\|u\|_{X} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\sigma= \begin{cases}\alpha^{-} & \text {if }\|w\|_{X}>1, \\ \alpha^{+} & \text {if }\|w\|_{X} \leq 1,\end{cases}
$$

and $C_{\chi}$ is the embedding constant of $X \hookrightarrow L^{\chi(x)}(\Omega)$.
Then

$$
\|u\|_{X} \leq\left[C\left(1+\|w\|_{X}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} .
$$

Therefore, either $\|u\|_{X} \leq 1$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{X} \leq\left[C\left(1+\|w\|_{X}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p^{-}>\alpha^{+}+1$, we have

$$
t^{p^{-}-1}-C t^{\sigma}-C \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow+\infty
$$

Hence, there is some $\bar{R}_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\overline{R_{1}}}^{p^{-}-1}-C \bar{R}_{1}{ }^{\sigma}-C \geq 0 . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (25) and (26) we infer that if $\|w\|_{X} \leq \overline{R_{1}}$ then $\|u\|_{X} \leq \overline{R_{1}}$.
Thus there exists $R_{1}=\min \left\{1, \bar{R}_{1}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{X} \leq R_{1} \quad \text { for all } u \in X \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this constant, define $K$ as

$$
K=\left\{v: v \in L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega),\|v\|_{X} \leq R_{1}\right\}
$$

which is a nonempty, closed, convex subset of $L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$. We can define the operator

$$
T: K \rightarrow L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega), \quad T w=u_{w}
$$

where $u_{w}$ is the first component of the unique pair solution of the problem (22)-(23), $\left(u_{w}, \lambda_{w}\right) \in X \times \Lambda$.

From (27) $\|T w\|_{X} \leq R_{1}$, for every $w \in K$, so that $T(K) \subseteq K$.
Moreover, if $\left(u_{\nu}\right)_{\nu \geq 1}\left(u_{w_{\nu}} \equiv u_{\nu}\right)$ is a bounded sequence in $K$, then from (27) is also bounded in $X$. Consequently, from the compact embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega),\left(T w_{\nu}\right)_{\nu \geq 1}$ is relatively compact in $L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$ and hence, in $K$.

To prove the continuity of $T$, let $\left(w_{\nu}\right)_{\nu \geq 1}$ be a sequence in $K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\nu} \rightarrow w \text { strongly in } L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and suppose $u_{\nu}=T w_{\nu}$. The sequence $\left\{\left(u_{\nu}, \lambda_{\nu}\right)\right\}_{\nu \geq 1}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle A u_{\nu}, v\right\rangle+b\left(v, \lambda_{\nu}\right) & =\left\langle F\left(w_{\nu}\right), v\right\rangle \quad, \quad \forall v \in X \\
b\left(u_{\nu}, \mu-\lambda_{\nu}\right) & \leq 0 \quad \forall \mu \in \Lambda .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (27)-(28) we can extract a subsequence $\left(u_{\nu_{k}}\right)$ of $\left(u_{\nu}\right)$ and a subsequence $\left(w_{\nu_{k}}\right)$ of $\left(w_{\nu}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{\nu_{k}} \rightarrow u^{*} \text { weakly in } X \\
u_{\nu_{k}} \rightarrow u^{*} \text { strongly in } L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega) \text { and a.e. in } \Omega, \\
w_{\nu_{k}} \rightarrow w \text { a.e. in } \Omega  \tag{29}\\
L\left(u_{\nu_{k}}\right) \rightarrow t_{0}, \text { for some } t_{0} \geq 0
\end{gather*}
$$

and in view of continuity of $M$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(L\left(u_{\nu_{k}}\right)\right) \rightarrow M\left(t_{0}\right) . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall show that $u^{*}=T w$. To this end, by choosing $u_{\nu_{k}}-u^{*}$ as a test function, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle A u_{\nu_{k}}, u_{\nu_{k}}-u^{*}\right\rangle+b\left(u_{\nu_{k}}-u^{*}, \lambda_{\nu}\right)=\left\langle F\left(w_{\nu_{k}}\right), u_{\nu_{k}}-u^{*},\right\rangle \\
\left\langle A u^{*}, u_{\nu_{k}}-u^{*}\right\rangle+b\left(u_{\nu_{k}}-u^{*}, \lambda^{*}\right)=\left\langle F(w), u_{\nu_{k}}-u^{*}\right\rangle . \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[M \left(L\left(u^{*}\right)-M\left(L\left(u_{\nu_{k}}\right)\right] \int_{\Omega}\left(1+\frac{\left|\nabla u^{*}\right|^{p(x)}}{\sqrt{1+\left|\nabla u^{*}\right|^{2 p(x)}}}\right)\left|\nabla u^{*}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u^{*} \cdot\left(\nabla u_{\nu_{k}}-\nabla u^{*}\right) d x+\right.\right.} \\
& M\left(L\left(u_{\nu_{k}}\right)\right) \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(1+\frac{\left|\nabla u^{*}\right|^{p(x)}}{\sqrt{1+\left|\nabla u^{*}\right|^{2 p(x)}}}\right)\left|\nabla u^{*}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u^{*}-\left(1+\frac{\left|\nabla u_{\nu_{k}}\right|^{p(x)}}{\sqrt{1+\left|\nabla u_{\nu_{k}}\right|^{2 p(x)}}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left|\nabla u_{\nu_{k}}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{\nu_{k}}\right] \cdot\left(\nabla u_{\nu_{k}}-\nabla u^{*}\right) d x+b\left(u_{\nu_{k}}-u^{*}, \lambda^{*}-\lambda_{\nu_{k}}\right) \\
& \quad=\left\langle F(w)-F\left(w_{\nu_{k}}\right), u_{\nu_{k}}-u^{*}\right\rangle . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $b\left(u_{\nu_{k}}-u^{*}, \lambda^{*}-\lambda_{\nu_{k}}\right) \geq 0$, again by the inequality of Lemma 3 in [8], $p \geq 2$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{0} C_{p} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\nu_{k}}-\nabla u^{*}\right|^{p(x)} d x+\left[M \left(L\left(u^{*}\right)-M\left(L\left(u_{\nu_{k}}\right)\right] \int_{\Omega}\left(1+\frac{\left|\nabla u^{*}\right|^{p(x)}}{\sqrt{1+\left|\nabla u^{*}\right|^{2 p(x)}}}\right)\right.\right.  \tag{33}\\
& \left|\nabla u^{*}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u^{*} .\left(\nabla u_{\nu_{k}}-\nabla u^{*}\right) d x \leq\left|\left\langle F\left(w_{\nu_{k}}\right)-F(w), u_{\nu_{k}}-u^{*}\right\rangle\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

But, using (29) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\,\left[M \left(\left.L\left(u^{*}\right)-M\left(L\left(u_{\nu_{k}}\right)\right] \int_{\Omega}\left(1+\frac{\left|\nabla u^{*}\right|^{p(x)}}{\sqrt{1+\left|\nabla u^{*}\right|^{2 p(x)}}}\right)\left|\nabla u^{*}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u^{*} \cdot\left(\nabla u_{\nu_{k}}-\nabla u^{*}\right) d x \right\rvert\,\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\leq\left.\frac{\vartheta_{\nu_{k}}}{p^{-}}\left|\int_{\Omega}\left(1+\frac{\left|\nabla u^{*}\right|^{p(x)}}{\sqrt{1+\left|\nabla u^{*}\right|^{2 p(x)}}}\right)\right| \nabla u^{*}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u^{*} \cdot\left(\nabla u_{\nu_{k}}-\nabla u^{*}\right) d x \right\rvert\, \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty, \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\vartheta_{\nu_{k}}=\max \left\{\left\|u_{\nu_{k}}\right\|_{X}^{p^{-}},\left\|u_{\nu_{k}}\right\|_{X}^{p^{+}}\right\}+\max \left\{\left\|u^{*}\right\|_{X}^{p^{-}},\left\|u^{*}\right\|_{X}^{p^{+}}\right\}$is bounded.

Also, by $\left(A_{2}\right),(29)$ and the compact embedding of $X \hookrightarrow L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$ we deduce, thanks to the Krasnoselki theorem, the continuity of the Nemytskii operator

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{f_{1}}: L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega) & \rightarrow L^{\alpha^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega)  \tag{35}\\
w & \longmapsto N_{f_{1}}(w),
\end{align*}
$$

given by $\left(N_{f_{1}}(w)\right)(x)=f_{1}(x, w(x)), \quad x \in \Omega$.
Hence

$$
\left\|f_{1}\left(w_{\nu_{k}}\right)-f_{1}(w)\right\|_{\alpha^{\prime}(x)} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

It follows from the definition of $F$ and the above convergence that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle F\left(w_{\nu_{k}}\right)-F(w), u_{\nu_{k}}-u^{*}\right\rangle\right| \rightarrow 0 . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (33)-(36) we conclude that

$$
u_{\nu_{k}} \rightarrow u^{*} \quad \text { strongly in } X .
$$

Since the possible limit of the sequence $\left(u_{\nu}\right)_{\nu \geq 1}$ is uniquely determined, the whole sequence converges toward $u^{*} \in X$

Therefore, from (28) and the continuous embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$, we get $u^{*}=T w \equiv$ $u_{w}$.

On the other hand

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{b(v, \lambda)}{\|v\|_{X}} & =\frac{\langle F(w), v\rangle-\langle A u, v\rangle}{\|v\|_{X}} \leq \frac{\langle F(w), v\rangle}{\|v\|_{X}}+\|A u\|_{X^{\prime}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\|v\|_{X}}\left[\int_{\Omega} f_{1}(x, w) v d x+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} f_{2}(x) \gamma v d \Gamma\right]+L_{A}\|u\|_{X}+\|A 0\|_{X^{\prime}}  \tag{37}\\
& \leq C\left(\left\|f_{1}(w)\right\|_{\alpha^{\prime}(x)}+\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{p^{\prime}(x), \Gamma_{2}}+\|A 0\|_{X^{\prime}}+1\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Next, using the boundedness of the operator $N_{f_{1}}$ and the sequence $\left(u_{\nu}\right)_{\nu \geq 1}$, and the inf-sup property of the form $b$, we get $\left\|\lambda_{\nu}\right\|_{Y} \leq C$. It follows that up to a subsequence

$$
\lambda_{\nu} \rightarrow \lambda_{0} \quad \text { weakly in } Y
$$

for some $\lambda_{0} \in Y$.
So ( $u^{*}, \lambda^{*}$ ) and ( $u^{*}, \lambda_{0}$ ) are solutions of problem (22)-(23).Then, by the uniqueness $\lambda_{0}=\lambda^{*} \equiv \lambda_{w}$. This shows the continuity of $T$.

To prove that $T$ is compact, let $\left(w_{\nu}\right)_{\nu \geq 1} \subseteq K$ be bounded in $L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$ and $u_{\nu}=T\left(w_{\nu}\right)$. Since $\left(w_{\nu}\right)_{\nu \geq 1} \subseteq K,\left\|w_{\nu}\right\|_{X} \leq C$ and then, up to a subsequence again denoted by $\left(w_{\nu}\right)_{\nu \geq 1}$ we have

$$
w_{\nu} \rightarrow w \quad \text { weakly in } X .
$$

By the compact embedding Xinto $L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$, it follows that

$$
w_{\nu} \rightarrow w \text { strongly in } L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega) .
$$

Now, following the same arguments as in the proof of the continuity of $T$ we obtain

$$
u_{\nu}=T\left(w_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow T(w)=u \quad \text { strongly in } X .
$$

Thus

$$
T\left(w_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow T(w) \text { strongly in } L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega) .
$$

Hence, we can apply the Schauder fixed point theorem to obtain that $T$ possesses a fixed point. This gives us a solution of $\left(u, \lambda_{0}\right) \in X \times \Lambda$ of Problem 1', then a solution of Problem 1, which concludes the proof.

Next, we consider the uniqueness of solutions of (15). To this end, we also need the following hypothesis on the nonlinear term $f_{1}$.
$\left(A_{4}\right)$ There exists $b_{0} \geq 0$ such that

$$
(f(x, t)-f(x, s))(t-s) \leq b_{0}|t-s|^{p(x)} \quad \text { a.e. } \quad x \in \Omega, \forall t, s \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Our uniqueness result reads as follows.
Theorem 3.2. Assume that $\left(A_{1}\right)-\left(A_{4}\right)$ hold. If, in addition $2 \leq p$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then (15) has a unique weak solution provided that

$$
\frac{k_{p}}{b_{0} \lambda_{*}^{-1}}<1
$$

where

$$
\lambda_{*}=\inf _{u \in X \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x}{\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x}>0
$$

Proof. Theorem 3.1 gives a weak solution $(u, \lambda) \in X \times \Lambda$. Let $\left(u_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right),\left(u_{2}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ be two solutions of (15). Considering the weak formulation of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle A u_{i}, v\right\rangle+b\left(v, \lambda_{i}\right) & =\left\langle F\left(u_{i}\right), v\right\rangle \quad, \quad \forall v \in X  \tag{38}\\
b\left(u_{i}, \mu-\lambda_{i}\right) & \leq 0 \quad \forall \mu \in \Lambda \subseteq Y \quad i=1,2
\end{align*}
$$

By choosing $v=u_{1}-u_{2}, \mu=\lambda_{2}$ if $i=1$ and $\mu=\lambda_{1}$ if $i=2$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle A u_{1}-A u_{2}, u_{1}-u_{2}\right\rangle+b\left(u_{1}-u_{2}, \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right) & =\left\langle F\left(u_{1}\right)-F\left(u_{2}\right), u_{1}-u_{2}\right\rangle, \forall v \in X, \\
b\left(u_{1}-u_{2}, \lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right) & \leq 0 \quad \forall \mu \in \Lambda \subseteq Y . \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

It gives

$$
\left\langle A u_{1}-A u_{2}, u_{1}-u_{2}\right\rangle \leq\left\langle F\left(u_{1}\right)-F\left(u_{2}\right), u_{1}-u_{2}\right\rangle
$$

Then, from (39) and repeating the argument used in the proof of Proposition 2.7, ii), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{p} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{1}-\nabla u_{2}\right|^{p(x)} d x & \leq\left|\left\langle f_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)-f_{1}\left(u_{2}\right), u_{1}-u_{2}\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leq\left|\int_{\Omega}\left(f_{1}\left(x, u_{1}\right)-f_{1}\left(x, u_{2}\right)\right)\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right) d x\right| \\
& \leq\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u_{1}-\left.u_{2}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq b_{0} \lambda_{*}^{-1} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{1}-\nabla u_{2}\right|^{p(x)} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently when $\frac{k_{p}}{b_{0} \lambda_{*}^{-1}}<1$, it follows that $u_{1}=u_{2}$. This completes the proof.

## 4. Conclusions

In this paper, we considered a class of frictional contact problems involving the $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x})$ -Laplacian-like operator, on a bounded domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2}$. . First, we establish important operator properties of $p(x)$-Laplacian-like operator and bilinear form. Then, under suitable assumptions $\left(A_{1}\right)-\left(A_{4}\right)$, using an abstract Lagrange multiplier technique and the Schauder fixed point theorem, we showed that problem (1)-(5) possesses a unique weak solution. This method allows to write efficient algorithms in order to approximate the weak solutions and can be applied for solving similar nonlinear elliptic inequalities.
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