TWMS J. App. and Eng. Math. V.15, N.2, 2025, pp. 400-411

NEUTROSOPHIC AMR-ALGEBRA

P. CHANTHINI¹, P. HEMAVATHI², P. MURALIKRISHNA^{3*}, R. VINODKUMAR⁴, §

ABSTRACT. In this study, the truth membership function (W_T) , indeterminacy membership function (W_I) , falsity membership function (W_F) are incorporated with the structure of AMR-algebra. The notion of neutrosophic AMR-algebra is proposed and some significant results were furnished. Further, some compelling properties based on α cut(level set) are also studied. Interestingly, an algorithm has been developed to validate the conditions of neutrosophic AMR-algebra using the values in the range of [0, 1].

Keywords: Neutrosophic set, AMR-algebra, Level set.

AMS Subject Classification: 08A72, 03E72

1. INTRODUCTION

A valuable tool for dealing with the incomplete, ambiguous, and inconsistent information that exists in real life is the neurosophic set (NS), which was proposed by Smarandache[14] and is a generalisation of fuzzy sets and intuitionistic fuzzy set. Truth, indeterminacy, and falsity membership functions (T, I, and F) are the characteristics of neutrosophic sets. This theory is particularly essential in numerous domains of application since indeterminacy is precisely quantified and the truth, indeterminacy, and falsity membership functions are all independent. Broumi [8] introduced a novel idea known as the "generalised neutrosophic soft set." The advantages of both generalised neutrosophic set and soft set approaches are combined in this idea. In [3], certain classes of neutrosophic crisps structures through topology, nearly open sets are examined, and some applications are provided. In addition, the crisp topological and neutrosophic crisp investigations were generalised to the concept of neutrosophic crisp set. Neutosophic open sets, neutrosophic

¹ Department of Computer Applications, College of Science & Humanities-SRMIST, Potheri Campus, India.

chanthip@srmist.edu.in; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0245-3065.

² Department of Mathematics- Saveetha School of Engineering, SIMATS, Thandalam-India. e-mail: hemavathip.sse@saveetha.com; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0607-2817.

³ PG and Research Department of Mathematics- Muthurangam Government Arts College (A), Vellore-India.

pmkrishna@rocketmail.com; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0652-2224.

⁴ Department of Mathematics- Prathyusha Engineering College, Aranvoyalkuppan, Thiruvallur-India. e-mail:vinodmaths85@gmail.com; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7847-4933.

^{*} Corresponding Author.

Manuscript received: May 06, 2023; accepted: August 16, 2023.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.15, No.2; (C) Işık University, Department of Mathematics, 2025; all rights reserved.

P. CHANTHINI, P.HEMAVATHI, P.MURALIKRISHNA, R. VINODKUMAR: NEUTROSOPHIC AMR-ALGEBADA

continuity, numerous preservation features, and several characterizations of neutrosophic mapping and neutrosophic connectedness were introduced in [1]. The authors of [7] described the fundamental operations of trapezoidal neutrosophic fuzzy numbers and triangular neutrosophic numbers. The neutrosophic number and certain comparative studies between the existing neutrosophic sets are also presented. Jun et al.[10] laid out the concept and properties associated with interval neutrosophic length.

In the context of neutrosophic theory, the purpose of the study [2, 4], was to provide an overview of cone metric space. Further, acquired some essential findings for weakly compatible mapping by concerting fixed points in this connection. In the neutrosophic cone metric space, the concept of (Φ, Ψ) -weak contraction is described by the concept of manipulating the distance function. K-polar extended neutrosophic set was created by Borzooei et al. [9] and has been incorporated into BCK/BCI-algebras. Takallo et al. proposed MBJ neutrosophic sets and the related studies carried out on BCK/BCI algebras[15] and β -algebra[12]. The MBJ neutrosophic T-ideal is defined and examined by Khalid et al. [11] in their research using several concepts, such as union and intersection. Further, they have examined the MBJ neutrosophic T-ideal under cartesian product and homomorphic findings using the key features. In order to describe a hyper BCK algebra, Alsubie et al. [5] used the idea of an MBJ neutrosophic structure. In [6], Amir K Amin presented the idea of AMR-algebra and its generalisation while contrasting them with other algebras like BCK, BCI, BCH, and others. Muralikrishna et al. [13] were discussed the properties of neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra. This paper emphasizes the contrasting perception of neutrosophic AMR-algebra with some captivating results.

2. Preliminares

This section goes over some key definitions that are pertinent to our study.

Definition 2.1. [13] In an universe ϖ , a fuzzy set μ is defined as $\mu : \varpi \to [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.2. [12] An Intuitionistic fuzzy set in a nonempty set ϖ is defined by $A = \{\langle \zeta, \zeta_A(\zeta), \eta_A(\zeta) \rangle / \zeta \in \varpi\}$ where $\zeta_A : \varpi \to [0,1]$ is a membership function of A and $\eta_A : X \to [0,1]$ is a non membership function of A satisfying $0 \le \zeta_A(\zeta) + \eta_A(\zeta) \le 1 \forall \zeta \in \varpi$.

Definition 2.3. [14] A neutrosophic set in ϖ is the structre of the form $\Omega = \{\langle \zeta : W_T(\zeta), W_I(\zeta), W_F(\zeta)/\zeta \in \varpi \rangle\}$, Where $W_T, W_I, W_F : \varpi \to [0, 1]$ referred as truth, indeterminate, false membership functions respectively.

Definition 2.4. [13] A non-empty set ϖ with a binary operation * and constant 0 is called as a BF algebra, if

$$\begin{split} & \dot{\varsigma} * \dot{\varsigma} = 0 \\ & \dot{\varsigma} * 0 = \dot{\varsigma} \\ & 0 * (\dot{\varsigma} * \dot{\varepsilon}) = \dot{\varepsilon} * \dot{\varsigma} \; \forall \dot{\varsigma}, \; \dot{\varepsilon} \; \in \; \varpi. \end{split}$$

Definition 2.5. [6] A non-empty set ϖ with a binary operation * and a constant 0 is an AMR algebra, if

 $\begin{aligned} \dot{\varsigma} * 0 &= \dot{\varsigma} \\ (\dot{\varsigma} * \dot{\varepsilon}) * z &= \dot{\varepsilon} * (z * \dot{\varsigma}) \forall \dot{\varsigma}, \dot{\varepsilon}, z \in \varpi. \\ A \text{ binary relation can be described as } \dot{\varsigma} &\leq \dot{\varepsilon} \text{ if and only if } \dot{\varsigma} * \dot{\varepsilon} = 0. \end{aligned}$

Example 2.1. [6] Considering $\varpi = \{0, \varrho_1, \varrho_2, \varrho_3\}$ as a set with the binary operation * defined by

*	$\acute{\varrho_0}$	$\acute{\varrho_1}$	$\acute{\varrho_2}$	$\acute{\varrho_3}$
$\acute{\varrho_0}$	$\acute{\varrho_0}$	$\acute{\varrho_1}$	$\acute{\varrho_2}$	$\acute{\varrho_3}$
$\acute{\varrho_1}$	$\acute{\varrho_1}$	$\acute{\varrho_2}$	$\acute{\varrho_3}$	$\acute{\varrho_0}$
ϱ_2	$\acute{\varrho_2}$	$\acute{\varrho_3}$	$\acute{\varrho_0}$	$\acute{\varrho_1}$
$\acute{\varrho_3}$	$\acute{\varrho_3}$	$\acute{\varrho_0}$	$\acute{\varrho_1}$	$\acute{\varrho_2}$

 $(\varpi, *, 0)$ is then an AMR-algebra.

Definition 2.6. [6] A non-empty subset I of an AMR-algebra ϖ is called a subalgebra of ϖ if $\zeta * \epsilon \in I$ whenever $\zeta, \epsilon \in I$.

Definition 2.7. [6] Let $(\varpi, *, 0)$ and (Y, *, 0) are AMR-algebra. A function $f : \varpi \to Y$ is called as a AMR homomorphism if $f(\zeta * \varepsilon) = f(\zeta) * f(\varepsilon)$.

Definition 2.8. [13] A supremum property of the fuzzy set T in ϖ , $\mu(\zeta_0) = \sup_{\zeta \in T} \mu(\zeta)$ if there exist $\zeta, \zeta_0 \in T$.

Definition 2.9. [12] a neutrosophic fuzzy set A in any set ϖ is considered to possess the $\sup - \sup - \inf f$ property if for subset T of $\varpi \exists \zeta_0 \in T$ such that $W_T(\zeta_0) = \sup_{\zeta \in T} W_T(\zeta), W_I(\zeta_0) = \sup_{\zeta \in T} W_I(\zeta), W_F(\zeta_0) = \sup_{\zeta \in T} W_F(\zeta)$ respectively.

3. Neutrosophic AMR-algebra

Here the aspects of a neutrosophic AMR-subalgebra and some relevant results are discussed.

Definition 3.1. Let ϖ be a AMR-algebra. A neutrosophic set $A = \{\zeta, W_{AT}, W_{AI}, W_{AF} : \zeta \in \varpi\}$ in ϖ is called a netrosophic AMR-subalgebra of ϖ if for all $\zeta, \varepsilon \in A$, $(i)W_{AT}(\zeta * \varepsilon) \ge max\{W_{AT}(\zeta), W_{AT}(\varepsilon)\}$ $(ii)W_{AI}(\zeta * \varepsilon) \ge min\{W_{AI}(\zeta), W_{AI}(\varepsilon)\}$ $(iii)W_{AF}(\zeta * \varepsilon) \le max\{W_{AF}(\zeta), W_{AF}(\varepsilon)\}.$

Example 3.1. let $\varpi = \{0, \varrho_1, \varrho_2, \varrho_3\}$ be a set with a binary operation * defined by:

*	$\acute{\varrho_0}$	$\acute{\varrho_1}$	$\acute{\varrho_2}$	$\acute{\varrho_3}$
0	0	$\acute{\varrho_1}$	$\acute{\varrho_2}$	$\acute{\varrho_3}$
$\acute{\varrho_1}$	$\acute{\varrho_1}$	$\acute{\varrho_2}$	$\acute{\varrho_3}$	$\acute{\varrho_1}$
$\acute{\varrho_2}$	$\acute{\varrho_2}$	$\acute{\varrho_3}$	$\acute{\varrho_1}$	$\acute{\varrho_2}$
$\acute{\varrho_3}$	$\acute{\varrho_3}$	$\acute{\varrho_1}$	$\acute{\varrho_2}$	$\acute{\varrho_3}$

Then $(\varpi, *, 0)$ is a AMR-algebra.

Example 3.2. Consider a example 3.2, A is a neutrosophic AMR-subalgebra, define by the membership function

$$W_{AT}(\zeta) = \begin{cases} 0.7 : & \zeta = \acute{\varrho_1}, \acute{\varrho_2}, \acute{\varrho_3} \\ 0.5 : & \zeta = \acute{\varrho_0} \end{cases}$$
$$W_{AI}(\zeta) = \begin{cases} 0.6 : & \zeta = \acute{\varrho_0}, \acute{\varrho_3} \\ 0.4 : & \zeta = \acute{\varrho_2}, \acute{\varrho_1} \end{cases}$$

$$W_{AF}(\zeta) = \begin{cases} 0.2 : & \zeta = \acute{\varrho_1}, \acute{\varrho_2}, \acute{\varrho_3} \\ 0.8 : & \zeta = \acute{\varrho_0} \end{cases}$$

Then it is observed that, A is a neutrosophic AMR-subalgebra.

Lemma 3.1. Let A be a netrosophic AMR-subalgebra of ϖ , then $(i)W_{AT} \geq W_{AT}(\zeta), W_{AI} \geq W_{AI}(\zeta), W_{AF} \leq W_{AF}(\zeta),$ $(ii)W_{AT}(0) \geq W_{AT}(\zeta^*) \geq W_{AT}(\zeta), W_{AI}(0) \geq W_{AI}(\zeta^*) \geq W_{AI}(\zeta) \&$ $W_{AF}(0) \leq W_{AF}(\zeta^*) \leq W_{AF}(\zeta) \text{ where } \zeta^* = 0 - \zeta, \forall \zeta \in \varpi.$

Proof. (1) For every $\zeta \in \varpi$,

$$W_{AT}(0) = W_{AT}(\zeta - \zeta)$$

$$\geq max\{W_{AT}(\zeta), W_{AT}(\zeta)\}$$

$$= W_{AT}(\zeta)$$

$$W_{AI}(0) = W_{AI}(\zeta - \zeta)$$

$$\geq min\{W_{AI}(\zeta), W_{AI}(\zeta)\}$$

$$= W_{AI}(\zeta)$$

and

$$W_{AF}(0) = W_{AF}(\zeta - \zeta)$$

$$\leq max\{W_{AF}(\zeta), W_{AF}(\zeta)\}$$

$$= W_{AF}(\zeta)$$

therefore $W_{AF}(0) \leq W_{AF}(\zeta)$ (ii) Also for $\zeta \in \varpi$,

$$W_{AT}(\zeta^*) = W_{AT}(0 - \zeta)$$

$$\geq max\{W_{AT}(0), W_{AT}(\zeta)\}$$

$$= W_{AT}(\zeta)$$

$$W_{AI}(\zeta^*) = W_{AI}(0 - \zeta)$$

$$\geq min\{W_{AI}(0), W_{AI}(\zeta)\}$$

$$= W_{AI}(\zeta)$$

and

$$W_{AF}(\zeta^*) = W_{AF}(0 - \zeta)$$

$$\leq max\{W_{AF}(0), W_{AF}(\zeta)\}$$

$$= W_{AF}(\zeta)$$

Thus $W_{AF}(0) \leq W_{AF}(\zeta^*)$.

Theorem 3.1. If $A = \{\langle \zeta, W_{AT}(\zeta), W_{AI}(\zeta), W_{AF}(\zeta) \rangle : \zeta \in \varpi \}$ is an a neutrosophic AMRsubalgebra of ϖ . Then the sets $\chi_{W_{AT}} = \{\zeta \in \varpi / W_{AT}(\zeta) = W_{AT}(0)\}$, $\chi_{W_{AI}} = \{\zeta \in \varpi / W_{AF}(\zeta) = W_{AF}(0)\}\$ are subalgebra of ϖ .

Proof. For any $\zeta, \hat{\varepsilon} \in \chi_{W_{AT}}$. $W_{AT}(\zeta) = W_{AT}(0), W_{AT}(\hat{\varepsilon}) = W_{AT}(0)$ Now $W_{AT}(\zeta * \hat{\varepsilon}) \ge max\{W_{AT}(\zeta), W_{AT}(\hat{\varepsilon})\}$ $= max\{W_{AT}(0), W_{AT}(0)\}$ $= W_{AT}(0)$

 $\begin{aligned} \dot{\varsigma} * \dot{\varepsilon} &\in \chi_{W_{AT}} \\ \text{For any } \dot{\varsigma}, \dot{\varepsilon} &\in \chi_{W_{AI}}. \\ W_{AI}(\dot{\varsigma}) &= W_{AI}(0), W_{AI}(\dot{\varepsilon}) = W_{AI}(0) \text{ Now} \\ W_{AI}(\dot{\varsigma} * \dot{\varepsilon}) &\geq \min\{W_{AI}(\dot{\varsigma}), W_{AI}(\dot{\varepsilon})\} \\ &= \min\{W_{AI}(0), W_{AI}(0)\} \\ &= W_{AI}(0) \end{aligned}$

 $\dot{\varsigma} * \dot{\varepsilon} \in \chi_{W_{A_I}}$ therefore $\chi_{W_{A_T}}$ and $\chi_{W_{A_I}}$ is a subalgebra of ϖ .

Consider,
$$\zeta, \varepsilon \in \chi_{W_{AF}}$$
 then $W_{AF}(\zeta) = W_{AF}(0), W_{AF}(\varepsilon) = W_{AF}(0)$ Now
 $W_{AF}(\zeta * \varepsilon) \ge \min\{W_{AF}(\zeta), W_{AF}(\varepsilon)\}$
 $= \min\{W_{AF}(0), W_{AF}(0)\}$
 $= W_{AF}(0)$

 $\begin{aligned} & \varsigma \ast \acute{\varepsilon} \in \chi_{W_{A_F}} \\ & \text{therefore } \chi_{W_{A_F}} \text{ is a subalgebra of } \varpi. \end{aligned}$

Definition 3.2. Let $A = \{\langle \zeta, W_{AT}(\zeta), W_{AI}(\zeta), W_{AF}(\zeta) \rangle : \zeta \in \varpi \}$ be a neutrosophic set in ϖ and f be a function from a set ϖ Y, then the image f(A) of A under f, is defined as $f(A) = \{\langle \zeta, f_{inf}(W_{AT}), f_{sup}(W_{AI}), f_{inf}(W_{AF}) \rangle : \zeta \in Y \}$, where

$$f_{inf}(W_{AT,I})(\hat{\varepsilon}) = \begin{cases} inf_{\hat{\varsigma}\in f^{-1}(\hat{\varepsilon})} \ W_{AT}(\hat{\varsigma}), & \text{if } f^{-1}(\hat{\varepsilon}) \neq \emptyset\\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$f_{sup}(W_{AI})(\hat{\varepsilon}) = \begin{cases} sup_{\hat{\varsigma} \in f^{-1}(\hat{\varepsilon})} W_{AI}(\hat{\varsigma}), & \text{if } f^{-1}(\hat{\varepsilon}) \neq \emptyset\\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$f_{inf}(W_{AF})(\hat{\varepsilon}) = \begin{cases} inf_{\hat{\varsigma}\in f^{-1}(\hat{\varepsilon})} \ W_{AF}(\hat{\varsigma}), & \text{if } f^{-1}(\hat{\varepsilon}) \neq \emptyset\\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Definition 3.3. For two neutrosophic AMR sets A and B in ϖ and Y, a function $f: \varpi \to Y$, an inverse image of B under f is defined by $f^{-1}(B) = \{\zeta, f^{-1}(W_{BT}(\zeta)), f^{-1}(W_{BI}(\zeta)), f^{-1}(W_{BF}(\zeta)) : \zeta \in \varpi\}$ such that $f^{-1}(W_{BT}(\zeta)) = W_{BT}(f(\zeta))$, $f^{-1}(W_{BI}(\zeta)) = W_{BI}(f(\zeta))$ and $f^{-1}(W_{BF}(\zeta)) = (W_{BF}(f(\zeta)).$

404

P. CHANTHINI, P.HEMAVATHI, P.MURALIKRISHNA, R. VINODKUMAR: NEUTROSOPHIC AMR-ALGEB**RA**

Theorem 3.2. Let ϖ and Y be two AMR-algebras and $f : \varpi \to Y$ be a homomorphism. If A is a neutrosophic AMR-subalgebra of ϖ , define $f(A) = \{\langle \zeta, (W_{AT}(\zeta)) = W_{AT}(f(\zeta)), (W_{AI}(\zeta)) = W_{AI}(f(\zeta)), (W_{AF}(\zeta)) = W_{AF}(f(\zeta)) \rangle : \zeta \in varpi \}$. Then f(A) is a neutrosophic AMR-subalgebra of Y.

Proof. let $\zeta, \varepsilon \in \varpi$. Now

$$W_{AT}(\boldsymbol{\zeta} \ast \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = W_{AT}(f(\boldsymbol{\zeta} \ast \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}))$$

= $W_{AT}(f(\boldsymbol{\zeta}) \ast f(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}))$
 $\geq max\{W_{AT}(f(\boldsymbol{\zeta})), W_{AT}(f(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}))\}$
= $max\{(W_{AT,I_f})(\boldsymbol{\zeta}), (W_{AT,I_f})(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\}$

and

$$W_{AI}(\varsigma * \varepsilon) = W_{AI}(f(\varsigma * \varepsilon))$$

= $W_{AI}(f(\varsigma) * f(\varepsilon))$
 $\geq min\{W_{AI}(f(\varsigma)), W_{AI}(f(\varepsilon))\}$
= $min\{(W_{AIf})(\varsigma), (W_{AIf})(\varepsilon)\}$

Similarly,

$$W_{AF}(\zeta * \hat{\varepsilon}) = W_{AF}(f(\zeta * \hat{\varepsilon}))$$

= $W_{AF}(f(\zeta) * f(\hat{\varepsilon}))$
 $\leq max\{W_{AF}(f(\zeta)), W_{AF}(f(\hat{\varepsilon}))\}$
= $max\{(W_{AFf})(\zeta), (W_{AFf})(\hat{\varepsilon})\}$

Hence f(A) is a neutrosophic AMR-subalgebra of Y.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f: \varpi \to Y$ be a homomorphism of AMR-algebra of ϖ into a AMRalgebra Y. If $A = \{\langle \zeta, W_{AT}(\zeta), W_{AI}(\zeta), W_{AF}(\zeta) \rangle : \zeta \in \varpi \}$ is a neutrosophic AMRsubalgebra of ϖ , then the image $f(A) = \{\langle \zeta, f_{inf}W_{AT}, f_{sup}W_{AI}, f_{inf}W_{AF} \rangle : \zeta \in \varpi \}$ of A under f is a neutrosophic AMR-subalgebra of Y.

Proof. Let $A = \{\langle \zeta, W_{AT}(\zeta), W_{AI}(\zeta), W_{AF}(\zeta) \rangle : \zeta \in \varpi \}$ is a neutrosophic AMR-subalgebra of ϖ and let $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in Y$. therefore $\{\zeta_1 * \zeta_2 : \zeta_1 \in f^{-1}(\varepsilon_1), \zeta_2 \in f^{-1}(\varepsilon_2)\} \subseteq \{\zeta \in \varpi : \zeta \in f^{-1}(\varepsilon_1 * \varepsilon_2)\}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{inf}\{W_{AT}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{1}*\dot{\varepsilon}_{2})\} &= \inf\{W_{AT}(\dot{\varsigma})/\dot{\varsigma} \in f^{-1}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{1}*\dot{\varepsilon}_{2})\} \\ &\geq \inf\{W_{AT}(\dot{\varsigma}_{1}*\dot{\varsigma}_{2})/\dot{\varsigma}_{1} \in f^{-1}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{1}), \dot{\varsigma}_{2} \in f^{-1}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{2})\} \\ &\geq \inf\{\max\{W_{AT}(\dot{\varsigma}_{1}), W_{AT}(\dot{\varsigma}_{2})\}, \dot{\varsigma}_{1} \in f^{-1}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{1}), \dot{\varsigma}_{2} \in f^{-1}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{2})\} \\ &= \max\{\inf\{W_{AT}(\dot{\varsigma}_{1})/\dot{\varsigma}_{1} \in f^{-1}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{1})\}, \inf\{W_{AT}(\dot{\varsigma}_{2})/\dot{\varsigma}_{2} \in f^{-1}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{2})\}\} \\ &= \max\{f_{inf}(W_{AT}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{1})), f_{inf}(W_{AT}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{2}))\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{split} f_{sup}\{W_{AI}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{1}*\dot{\varepsilon}_{2})\} &= sup\{W_{AI}(\dot{\varsigma})/\dot{\varsigma} \in f^{-1}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{1}*\dot{\varepsilon}_{2})\}\\ &\geq sup\{W_{AI}(\dot{\varsigma}_{1}*\dot{\varsigma}_{2})/\dot{\varsigma}_{1} \in f^{-1}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{1}), \dot{\varsigma}_{2} \in f^{-1}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{2})\}\\ &\geq sup\{\min\{W_{AI}(\dot{\varsigma}_{1}), W_{AI}(\dot{\varsigma}_{2})\}, \dot{\varsigma}_{1} \in f^{-1}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{1}), \dot{\varsigma}_{2} \in f^{-1}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{2})\}\\ &= min\{sup\{W_{AI}(\dot{\varsigma}_{1})/\dot{\varsigma}_{1} \in f^{-1}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{1})\}, sup\{W_{AI}(\dot{\varsigma}_{2})/\dot{\varsigma}_{2} \in f^{-1}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{2})\}\}\\ &= min\{f_{sup}(W_{AI}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{1})), f_{sup}(W_{AI}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{2}))\} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{inf}\{W_{AF}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{1}*\dot{\varepsilon}_{2})\} &= \inf\{W_{AF}(\dot{\varsigma})/\dot{\varsigma} \in f^{-1}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{1}*\dot{\varepsilon}_{2})\} \\ &\leq \inf\{W_{AF}(\dot{\varsigma}_{1}*\dot{\varsigma}_{2})/\dot{\varsigma}_{1} \in f^{-1}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{1}), \dot{\varsigma}_{2} \in f^{-1}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{2})\} \\ &\leq \inf\{\max\{W_{AF}(\dot{\varsigma}_{1}), W_{AF}(\dot{\varsigma}_{2})\}, \dot{\varsigma}_{1} \in f^{-1}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{1}), \dot{\varsigma}_{2} \in f^{-1}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{2})\} \\ &= \max\{\inf\{W_{AF}(\dot{\varsigma}_{1})/\dot{\varsigma}_{1} \in f^{-1}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{1})\}, \inf\{W_{AF}(\dot{\varsigma}_{2})/\dot{\varsigma}_{2} \in f^{-1}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{2})\}\} \\ &= \max\{f_{inf}(W_{AF}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{1})), f_{inf}(W_{AF}(\dot{\varepsilon}_{2}))\} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.4. Let ϖ and Y be two neutrosophic sets. Let $f : \varpi \to Y$ be a homomorphism. If $B = \{\langle \zeta, W_{BT}(\zeta), W_{BI}(\zeta), W_{BF}(\zeta) \rangle : \zeta \in \varpi \}$ is a neutrosophic AMR-subalgebra of Y, then $f^{-1}(B)$ is a neutrosophic AMR-subalgebra of ϖ .

Proof. Let B be a neutrosophic AMR-subalgebra of Y. Let $\zeta, \acute{\varepsilon} \in Y.$ Then

$$f^{-1}(W_{AT})(\varsigma * \varepsilon) = W_{AT}(f(\varsigma * \varepsilon))$$

= $W_{AT}(f(\varsigma) * f(\varepsilon))$
= $max\{W_{AT}(f(\varsigma)), W_{AT}(f(\varepsilon))\}$
 $\geq max\{f^{-1}(W_{AT}), f^{-1}(W_{AT}(\varepsilon))\}$

and,

$$f^{-1}(W_{AI})(\zeta * \acute{\varepsilon}) = W_{AI}(f(\zeta * \acute{\varepsilon}))$$

$$= W_{AI}(f(\zeta) * f(\acute{\varepsilon}))$$

$$= min\{W_{AI}(f(\zeta)), W_{AI}(f(\acute{\varepsilon}))\}$$

$$\geq min\{f^{-1}(W_{AI}), f^{-1}(W_{AI}(\acute{\varepsilon}))\}$$

$$f^{-1}(W_{AF})(\zeta * \acute{\varepsilon}) = W_{AF}(f(\zeta * \acute{\varepsilon}))$$

$$= W_{AF}(f(\zeta) * f(\acute{\varepsilon}))$$

$$\leq max\{W_{AF}(f(\zeta)), W_{AF}(f(\acute{\varepsilon}))\}$$

$$= max\{f^{-1}(W_{AF})(\varsigma), f^{-1}(W_{AF})(\acute{\varepsilon})\}$$

therefore

 $f^{-1}(B)$ is a neutrosophic AMR-subalgebra of ϖ .

Theorem 3.5. The R_1 and R_2 are two neutrosophic AMR-subalgebras of ϖ , then $R_1 \cap R_2$ is a neutrosophic AMR-subalgebra of ϖ .

406

P. CHANTHINI, P.HEMAVATHI, P.MURALIKRISHNA, R. VINODKUMAR: NEUTROSOPHIC AMR-ALGEBADA

Proof. R_1 and R_2 are two neutrosophic AMR-subalgebras of ϖ . Now,

$$(\wedge_{i}W_{AiT})(\varsigma \ast \acute{\varepsilon}) = max\{W_{AiT}(\varsigma \ast \acute{\varepsilon}), W_{AiT}(\varsigma \ast \acute{\varepsilon})\}$$

$$\geq \{max\{W_{AiT}(\varsigma), W_{AiT}(\acute{\varepsilon})\}\}$$

$$= max\{inf \ W_{AiT}(\varsigma), inf \ W_{AiT}(\acute{\varepsilon})\}$$

$$= max\{\wedge_{i}W_{AiT}(\varsigma), \wedge_{i}W_{AiT}(\acute{\varepsilon})\}$$

and,

$$(\wedge_{i}W_{AiI})(\zeta * \acute{\varepsilon}) = min\{W_{AiI}(\zeta * \acute{\varepsilon}), W_{AiI}(\zeta * \acute{\varepsilon})\}$$

$$\geq \{min\{W_{AiI}(\zeta), W_{AiI}(\acute{\varepsilon})\}\}$$

$$= min\{sup \ W_{AiI}(\zeta), sup \ W_{AiI}(\acute{\varepsilon})\}$$

$$= min\{\wedge_{i}W_{AiI}(\zeta), \wedge_{i}W_{AiI}(\acute{\varepsilon})\}$$

$$(\wedge_{i}W_{AiF})(\zeta * \acute{\varepsilon}) = \inf W_{AiF}(\zeta * \acute{\varepsilon})$$

$$\leq \inf \{\max\{W_{AiF}(\zeta), W_{AiF}(\acute{\varepsilon})\}\}$$

$$= \max\{\inf W_{AiF}(\zeta), \inf W_{AiF}(\acute{\varepsilon})\}$$

$$= \max\{\wedge_{i}W_{AiF}(\zeta), \wedge_{i}W_{AiF}(\acute{\varepsilon})\}$$

4. α -cut on Neutrosophic AMR-subalgebras

This section, classifies the AMR-subalgebras by their family level on neutrosophic AMR-subalgebras of a AMR-algebra.

Definition 4.1. Let A be a neutrosophic AMR-subalgebra of ϖ , $\alpha \in [0,1]$. Then $A_{\alpha} = \{ \varsigma \in \varpi : W_{AT} \ge \alpha, W_{AI} \ge \alpha, W_{AF} \le \alpha \}$ is called an α -cut neutrosophic AMR-subalgebras of A.

Theorem 4.1. If $A = \{\zeta, W_{AT}(\zeta), W_{AI}(\zeta), W_{AF}(\zeta) : \zeta \in \varpi\}$ is a neutrosophic AMRsubalgebra in ϖ , then A_{α} is a subalgebra of ϖ , for every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$

Proof. Proof:
For
$$\zeta, \dot{\varepsilon} \in A_{\alpha}$$
 and $W_{AT}(\zeta) \ge \alpha$
 $W_{AT}(\zeta * \dot{\varepsilon}) \ge max\{W_{AT}(\zeta), W_{AT}(\dot{\varepsilon})\}$
 $\ge max\{\alpha, \alpha\}$
 $\ge \alpha$
 $\Rightarrow \dot{\zeta} * \dot{\varepsilon} \in A_{\alpha}$
For $\zeta, \dot{\varepsilon} \in A_{\alpha}$ and $W_{AI}(\zeta) \ge \alpha$
 $W_{AI}(\zeta * \dot{\varepsilon}) \ge min\{W_{AI}(\zeta), W_{AI}(\dot{\varepsilon})\}$
 $\ge min\{\alpha, \alpha\}$
 $\ge \alpha$

 \square

 $\Rightarrow \dot{\varsigma} \ast \dot{\varepsilon} \in A_{\alpha}$ For $\dot{\varsigma}, \dot{\varepsilon} \in A_{\alpha}$ and $W_{AF}(\dot{\varsigma}) \le \alpha$

$$W_{AF}(\zeta * \varepsilon) \le max\{W_{AF}(\zeta), W_{AF}(\varepsilon)\} \\ \le max\{\alpha, \alpha\} \\ \le \alpha$$

 $\Rightarrow \dot{\varsigma} \ast \dot{\varepsilon} \in A_{\alpha}$ Hence A_{α} is subalgebra of ϖ .

Theorem 4.2. Let $A = \{ \zeta, W_{AT}(\zeta), W_{AI}(\zeta), W_{AF}(\zeta) : \zeta \in \varpi \}$ is a neutrosophic set in ϖ such that A_{α} is a subalgebra of ϖ for every $\alpha \in [0,1]$ & $\alpha \in [0,1]$. Then A is a neutrosophic AMR-subalgebra of ϖ .

Proof. Let $A = \{\zeta, W_{AT}(\zeta), W_{AI}(\zeta), W_{AF}(\zeta) : \zeta \in \varpi\}$ is a neutrosophic set in ϖ . Since A_{α} is a subalgebra of ϖ for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ $\zeta * \varepsilon \in A_{\alpha}$ Now, take $\alpha = max\{W_{AT}(\zeta), W_{AT}(\varepsilon)\}, \quad \alpha = min\{W_{AI}(\zeta), W_{AI}(\varepsilon)\}$ and $\alpha = max\{W_{AF}(\zeta), W_{AF}(\varepsilon)\}$ $\Rightarrow \zeta * \varepsilon \in A_{\alpha} \Rightarrow W_{AT}(\zeta * \varepsilon) \ge \alpha$, $W_{AI}(\zeta * \varepsilon) \ge \alpha$ and $W_{AF}(\zeta * \varepsilon) \le \alpha$ therefore $W_{AT}(\zeta * \varepsilon) \ge max\{W_{AT}(\zeta), W_{AT}(\varepsilon)\}, W_{AI}(\zeta * \varepsilon) \ge min\{W_{AI}(\zeta), W_{AI}(\varepsilon)\}$ Also, $W_{AF}(\zeta * \varepsilon) \le max\{W_{AF}(\zeta), W_{AF}(\varepsilon)\}$ Hence A is a neutrosophic AMR-subalgebra of ϖ .

5. Algorithm for Neutrosophic AMR-Algebras

In this section, we present an algorithm to check the conditions of neutrosophic AMRalgebras using the values in between 0 and 1.

```
import random
import numpy as np
n1 = round(random.uniform(0.1, 0.9), 1)
n2 = round(random.uniform(0.1, n1), 1)
my_list = ['p1', 'p2', 'p3']
my_list1=['0','p3']
def tr(x):
    if x in my list:
        return 0.7
    else:
        return 0.5
n1 = round(random.uniform(0.1, 0.9), 1)
n2 = round(random.uniform(0.1, n1), 1)
def In(x):
    if x in my list1:
        return 0.6
    else:
```

P. CHANTHINI, P.HEMAVATHI, P.MURALIKRISHNA, R. VINODKUMAR: NEUTROSOPHIC AMR-ALGEBRA

```
print("Sample 5x5 Matrix")
ar = [['*', '0', 'p1', 'p2', 'p3'],
                                 ['0', '0', 'p1', 'p2', 'p3'],
                               ['p1', 'p1', 'p2', 'p3', 'p1'],
['p2', 'p2', 'p3', 'p1', 'p2'],
['p3', 'p3', 'p1', 'p2', 'p3']]
i,j=1,1
print(np.matrix(ar))
# print the 2D character array
 for i in range(rows):
                      for j in range(cols):
                                            #print("check true portion", ar[i][j])
                                            y=tr(ar[i][j])
                                            if y >= max(tr(ar[i][0]), tr(ar[0][j])): #check true portion
                                                                 res.append("tr_true")
                                            else:
                                                                 res.append("tr false")
                                            #print("check intermediate portion",ar[i][j])
                                            y=In(ar[i][j])
                                            if y >= min(In(ar[i][0]), In(ar[0][j])): #check intermediate portion
                                                                 res1.append("tr_true")
                                            else:
                                                               res1.append("tr false")
                                       # print("check false portion",ar[i][j])
                                           y=fl(ar[i][j])
Sample 5x5 Matrix
Sample 5x5 Matrix
[['*' '0' 'p1' 'p2' 'p3']
['0' '0' 'p1' 'p2' 'p3']
['p1' 'p1' 'p2' 'p3' 'p1']
['p2' 'p2' 'p3' 'p1' 'p2']
      ['p3' 'p3' 'p1' 'p2' 'p3']]
Test TRUE PORTION
Input Max: 0.7 & Min:0.5
[['tr_true' 'tr_true' 'tr_
            'tr true' 'tr true' 'tr true' 'tr true' 'tr true' 'tr true' 'tr true'
           'tr true' 'tr_true' 'tr_true' 'tr_true']]
Input Max: 0.6 & Min:0.4
Test INTERMEDIATE PORTION
[['tr_true' 'tr_true' 'tr_true'
           'tr true' 'tr_true' 'tr_true' 'tr_true' 'tr_true' 'tr_true'
            'tr true' 'tr true' 'tr_true' 'tr_true']]
Input Max: 0.8 & Min:0.2
Test FALSE PORTION
 [['tr true' 'tr true' 'tr true' 'tr true' 'tr true' 'tr true' 'tr true'
            'tr_true' 'tr_tr
            'tr true' 'tr true' 'tr true' 'tr true']]
```

6. Conclusions

This study illustrates several attractive and captivating properties of neutrosophic AMR- algebra with appropriate examples. In sequel, the findings on image, inverse image and intersection of neutrosophic AMR- algebra have been disclosed. Furthermore, the level set on neutrosophic AMR algebra and their associated outcomes were dealt. Especially, an algorithm is described to validate the criteria of the neutrosophic AMR algebra for the specific values between 0 and 1. This can be expanded to other algebraic structures in the future.

References

- Al-Omeri, W. and Smarandache, F., (2016), New neutrosophic sets via neutrosophic topological spaces, Neutrosophic Operational Research, pp. 189-209.
- [2] Al-Omeri, W. F., Jafari, S. and Smarandache, F., (2020), Neutrosophic fixed point theorems and cone metric spaces, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 31, pp. 250-265.
- [3] Al-Omeri, W., (2016), Neutrosophic crisp sets via neutrosophic crisp topological spaces, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 13, pp. 96-104.
- [4] Al-Omeri, W. F., Jafari, S. and Smarandache, F., (2020), (Φ, Ψ)- Weak contractions in neutrosophic cone metric spaces via fixed point theorems, Mathematical Problems in Engineering, pp. 1-8.
- [5] Alsubie, A. and Al-Masarwah, A., (2021), MBJ-neutrosophic hyper BCK-ideals in hyper BCK-algebras, AIMS Mathematics, 6(6), pp. 6107-6121.
- [6] Amin, A., (2022), On AMR-algebra, J. Appl. Math. & Informatics, 40(5-6), pp. 1105-1115.
- [7] Broumi, S., Bakali, A., Talea, M., Smarandache, F., Uluçay, V., Sahin, S., Dey, A., Dhar, M., Tan, R. P., de Oliveira, A. and Pramanik, S., (2018), Neutrosophic sets: An overview, In F. Smarandache, & S. Pramanik (Eds.), New trends in neutrosophic theory and applications, (2), pp. 403-434, Brussels: Pons Editions.
- [8] Broumi, S., (2013), Generalized neutrosophic soft set, International Journal of Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology (IJCSEIT), 3(2), pp. 17-30.
- [9] Borzooei, R. A., Smarandache, F. and Jun, Y. B., (2020), Polarity of generalized neutrosophic subalgebras in BCK/BCI-algebras, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 32, pp. 124-144.
- [10] Jun, Y. B., Kim, S. J. and Smarandache, F., (2018), Interval neutrosophic sets with applications in BCK/BCI-algebra, Axioms, 7(2), pp. 23.
- [11] Khalid, M., Khalid, N. A. and Iqbal, R., (2020), MBJ-neutrosophic T-ideal on B-algebra, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, 1(1), pp. 29-39.
- [12] Surya, M. and Muralikrishna, P., (2019), On MBJ–neutrosophic β–subalgebra, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 28, pp. 216-227.
- [13] Muralikrishna, P., Vinodkumar, R. and Palani, G., (2022), Neutrosophic cubic β--subalgebra, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 48, pp. 417-432.
- [14] Smarandache, F., (2010), Neutrosophic set-a generalization of the intuitionistic fuzzy set, Journal of Defense Resources Management (JoDRM), 1(1), pp. 107-116.
- [15] Takallo, M. M., Borzooei, R. A. and Jun, Y. B., (2018), MBJ-neutrosophic structures and its applications in BCK/BCI-algebras, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 23, pp. 72-84.



Dr. P. Chanthini awarded Ph.D degree in Computer Science in the domain of Artificial Neural Network from University of Madras. She completed her M. Phil in computer science from University of Madras. She did both PG and UG in Jaya college of arts and Science. She secured University third rank in PG. She has 9 years of teaching experience, Currently she is working as an assistant professor in the Department of Computer applications at SRMIST, College of Science and Humanities,Kattankulathur, Potheri Campus. So far she has published eight research papers in reputed journals and has two design patents.



Dr. P. Hemavathi completed her B.Sc degree in Mathematics from Jaya college of Arts and Science. She has completed her M.Sc and M.Phil degrees from Pachaiyappa's college. She has awarded her Ph.d degree in the area of Fuzzy Algebra from Vellore Institute of Technology. She has 14 years of teaching experience and currently working as an assistant professor in the department of Mathematics, Saveetha School of Engineering. She has published 12 research papers in reputed journals and two book chapters in "Neutrosophic system and its applications". She has published a book named as "Probability and Queueing Theory".

P. CHANTHINI, P.HEMAVATHI, P.MURALIKRISHNA, R. VINODKUMAR: NEUTROSOPHIC AMR-ALGEBRIA



Dr. P. Muralikrishna graduated from SBK College, Aruppukottai, affiliated to the Madurai Kamraj University and M.Sc degree also through same institution. Further he completed M.Phil and Ph.D form Madurai Kamraj University. Currently, working as Assistant Professor of Mathematics in Muthurangam Government Arts College (A), Vellore with 12 years in research. He has guided 3 M. Phil scholars and 2 Ph.D scholars. He has published 75 research papers in reputed international journals. He is now doing his research in the fields of Fuzzy Algebra, Neutrosophic Settings applied on algebraic structures and decision making. He acts reviewer for several international journals.



Mr. R. Vinod Kumar received his B.Sc. degree in Mathematics from Presidency College, Chennai in 2005. He finished his M.Sc and M.Phil degrees from Pachaiyappa's College in Chennai in 2007 and 2008, respectively. In the year 2023, he completed his Ph.D. in Fuzzy Algebra from Thiruvalluvar University at Vellore. He has 14 years of teaching experience and is currently working as an assistant professor in the department of Mathematics at Prathyusha Engineering College in Chennai. So far, he has published eight research papers in highly esteemed journals. His research interests include fuzzy algebra, topological spaces, and neutrosophic fuzzy.