

INVESTIGATION OF INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY CONTRA G_δ - e -LOCALLY CONTINUOUS AND IRRESOLUTE FUNCTIONS

G. SARAVANAKUMAR^{1,*} §

ABSTRACT. This paper aims to present the concepts of intuitionistic fuzzy contra G_δ - e -locally continuous and intuitionistic fuzzy contra G_δ - e -locally irresolute functions within the framework of intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. Additionally, various noteworthy properties of these functions are explored and elucidated.

Keywords: intuitionistic fuzzy contra G_δ - e -locally continuous, intuitionistic fuzzy contra G_δ - e -locally irresolute function.

AMS Subject Classification: 54A40, 54A99, 03E72, 03E99

1. INTRODUCTION

The genesis of fuzzy set theory can be traced back to Zadeh [11], with Atanassov [1] later extending the concept to intuitionistic fuzzy (I.F) sets. I.F topological spaces and related notions, including I.F continuity, were introduced by Coker [3]. Sobana et al. [9] introduced the notion of I.F e -closed sets, while Ganster and Rely [5] utilized locally closed sets to define LC-continuity and LC-irresoluteness. Balasubramanian [2] delved into fuzzy G_δ sets in fuzzy topological spaces.

Building upon these foundational works, this paper introduces the concepts of I.F contra G_δ - e -locally continuous and I.F contra G_δ - e -locally irresolute functions in I.F topological spaces, along with the establishment of various intriguing properties.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1. [1] Let \mathfrak{S} be a nonempty, predetermined set I be $[0, 1]$. An I.F set $(\text{I.F.}\mathfrak{S})\Gamma$ is an entity of the subsequent structure $\Gamma = \{\langle \varkappa, \theta_\Gamma(\varkappa), \varsigma_\Gamma(\varkappa) \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\}$, where the function $\theta_\Gamma : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow I$ & $\varsigma_\Gamma : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow I$ represent the degree of inclusion ($\theta_\Gamma(\varkappa)$) and the degree of exclusion ($\varsigma_\Gamma(\varkappa)$) $\forall \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}$ in regard to the set Γ , as appropriate, and $0 \leq \theta_\Gamma(\varkappa) + \varsigma_\Gamma(\varkappa) \leq 1 \forall \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}$.

¹ Department of Mathematics, Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology (Deemed to be University), Avadi, Chennai-600062, India
e-mail: saravananguru2612@gmail.com; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1309-7609>.

* Corresponding author.

§ Manuscript received: June 28, 2024; accepted: October 29, 2024.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.15, No.8; © Işık University, Department of Mathematics, 2025; all rights reserved.

Definition 2.2. [1] Let \mathfrak{S} be a nonempty predetermined set and the I.F.S's Γ and \mathfrak{B} is an entity of the subsequent structure $\Gamma = \{\langle \varkappa, \theta_\Gamma(\varkappa), \varsigma_\Gamma(\varkappa) \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\}$, $\mathfrak{B} = \{\langle \varkappa, \theta_\mathfrak{B}(\varkappa), \varsigma_\mathfrak{B}(\varkappa) \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\}$. Then

- (i) $\Gamma \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$ if and only if $\theta_\Gamma(\varkappa) \leq \theta_\mathfrak{B}(\varkappa)$ and $\varsigma_\Gamma(\varkappa) \geq \varsigma_\mathfrak{B}(\varkappa)$ for all $\varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}$;
- (ii) $\overline{\Gamma} = \{\langle \varkappa, \varsigma_\Gamma(\varkappa), \theta_\Gamma(\varkappa) \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\}$;
- (iii) $\Gamma \cap \mathfrak{B} = \{\langle \varkappa, \theta_\Gamma(\varkappa) \wedge \theta_\mathfrak{B}(\varkappa), \varsigma_\Gamma(\varkappa) \vee \varsigma_\mathfrak{B}(\varkappa) \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\}$;
- (iv) $\Gamma \cup \mathfrak{B} = \{\langle \varkappa, \theta_\Gamma(\varkappa) \vee \theta_\mathfrak{B}(\varkappa), \varsigma_\Gamma(\varkappa) \wedge \varsigma_\mathfrak{B}(\varkappa) \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\}$;

Definition 2.3. [1] The I.F.S's \mathfrak{Q} and \mathfrak{L} are formulated by , $\mathfrak{Q} = \{\langle \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\}$ and $\mathfrak{L} = \{\langle \varkappa, 1, 0 \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\}$.

Definition 2.4. [3] An I.F topology (I.F.T) τ Coker's sense, given a nonempty set, express concisely. \mathfrak{S} is a family τ of I.F.Ss in \mathfrak{S} Meeting the following axioms.:

- (i) $\mathfrak{Q}, \mathfrak{L} \in \tau$;
- (ii) $G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau$, for any $G_1, G_2 \in \tau$;
- (iii) $\cup G_i \in \tau$ for arbitrary family $\{G_i : i \in J\} \subseteq \tau$.

Within this article, authored by (\mathfrak{S}, τ) Simply through \mathfrak{S} We'll signify Coker's I.F topological space (I.F.T.S). Each I.F.S that pertains to τ is called an I.F open set (I.F_{OS}) in \mathfrak{S} . The complement thereof $\overline{\Gamma}$ of an I.F_{OS} Γ in \mathfrak{S} is referred to as a I.F closed set (I.F_{CS}) in \mathfrak{S} .

Definition 2.5. [3] Let (\mathfrak{S}, τ) represent I.F.T.S and $\Gamma = \{\langle \varkappa, \theta_\Gamma, \vartheta_\Gamma \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\}$ be an I.F.S in \mathfrak{S} . Then the I.F closure and I.F interior of Γ are delineated by

- (i) $\text{I.F}_{cl}(\Gamma) = \bigcap \{C : C \text{ is an I.F}_{CS} \text{ in } \mathfrak{S} \text{ and } C \supseteq \Gamma\}$;
- (ii) $\text{I.F}_{int}(\Gamma) = \bigcup \{D : D \text{ is an I.F}_{OS} \text{ in } \mathfrak{S} \text{ and } D \subseteq \Gamma\}$;

Definition 2.6. [4] Let \mathfrak{S} constitute a nonempty set, and $\varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}$ a constant element within \mathfrak{S} . If $r \in I_0, s \in I_1$ are predetermined real numbers such that $r + s \leq 1$, then the I.F.S $\varkappa_{r,s} = \langle \varkappa, \varkappa_r, 1 - \varkappa_{1-s} \rangle$ is termed as I.F point (I.F.P) in \mathfrak{S} , where r denotes the degree of inclusion of $\varkappa_{r,s}$, s denotes the degree of exclusion of $\varkappa_{r,s}$ and $\varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}$ the support of $\varkappa_{r,s}$. The I.F.P $\varkappa_{r,s}$ resides within I.F.S $\Gamma(\varkappa_{r,s} \in \Gamma)$ if and only if $r < \theta_\Gamma(\varkappa)$, $s > \varsigma_\Gamma(\varkappa)$.

Definition 2.7. [6] An I.F.S U of an I.F.T.S \mathfrak{S} is called

- (i) neighborhood of an I.F.P $c(a, b)$, if there's a I.F_{OS} G in \mathfrak{S} such that $c(a, b) \in G \leq U$.
- (ii) q -neighborhood of an I.F.P $c(a, b)$, if there's a I.F_{OS} G in \mathfrak{S} such that $c(a, b)qG \leq U$.

Definition 2.8. [10] Let Γ be I.F.S in an I.F.T.S (\mathfrak{S}, τ) . Γ is termed as

- (i) I.F regular open set (I.F_{ROS}) if $\Gamma = \text{I.F}_{int}\text{I.F}_{cl}(\Gamma)$
- (ii) I.F regular closed set (I.F_{RCS}) if $\Gamma = \text{I.F}_{cl}\text{I.F}_{int}(\Gamma)$

Definition 2.9. [2] Let (\mathfrak{S}, τ) be a fuzzy topological space and λ be a fuzzy set in \mathfrak{S} . λ is called G_δ set if $\lambda = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i$ where each $\lambda_i \in \tau$. The complement of fuzzy G_λ is fuzzy F_σ

Definition 2.10. [10] Let (\mathfrak{S}, τ) be an I.F.T.S and $\Gamma = \langle \varkappa, \theta_\Gamma(\varkappa), \vartheta_\Gamma(\varkappa) \rangle$ be a I.F.S in \mathfrak{S} . Then the I.F δ closure and interior of Γ are represented and delineated by $\text{I.F}_{cl_\delta}(\Gamma) = \bigcap \{K : K \text{ is an I.F}_{RCS} \text{ in } \mathfrak{S} \text{ and } \Gamma \subseteq K\}$ and $\text{I.F}_{int_\delta}(\Gamma) = \bigcup \{G : G \text{ is an I.F}_{ROS} \text{ in } \mathfrak{S} \text{ and } G \subseteq \Gamma\}$.

Definition 2.11. [9] Let Γ be an I.F.S in an I.F.T.S (\mathfrak{S}, \top) . Γ is termed as an I.F e -open set (I.FeOS) in \mathfrak{S} if $\Gamma \subseteq \text{I.F}_{cl}\text{I.F}_{int_\delta}(\Gamma) \cup \text{I.F}_{int}\text{I.F}_{cl_\delta}(\Gamma)$

Definition 2.12. [7] Let (\mathfrak{S}, \top) be an I.F.T.S. Let $\Gamma = \{\langle \varkappa, \theta_\Gamma(\varkappa), \varsigma_\Gamma(\varkappa) \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\}$ be an I.F.S on an I.F.T.S (\mathfrak{S}, \top) . Then Γ is deemed I.F e -locally closed set (I.F e -LCS) if $\Gamma = C \cap D$, where $C = \{\langle \varkappa, \theta_C(\varkappa), \varsigma_C(\varkappa) \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\}$ is an I.FeOS and $D = \{\langle \varkappa, \theta_D(\varkappa), \varsigma_D(\varkappa) \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\}$ is an I.FeCS in (\mathfrak{S}, \top) .

Definition 2.13. [7] Let (\mathfrak{S}, \top) be an I.F.T.S. Let $\Gamma = \{\langle \varkappa, \theta_\Gamma(\varkappa), \varsigma_\Gamma(\varkappa) \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\}$ be an I.F.S on an I.F.T.S \mathfrak{S} . Then Γ is deemed an I.F eG_δ -set if $\Gamma = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_i$, where $\Gamma_i = \{\langle \varkappa, \theta_{\Gamma_i}(\varkappa), \varsigma_{\Gamma_i}(\varkappa) \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\}$ is an I.FeOS in an I.F.T.S (\mathfrak{S}, \top) .

Definition 2.14. [7] Let (\mathfrak{S}, \top) be an I.F.T.S. Let $\Gamma = \{\langle \varkappa, \theta_\Gamma(\varkappa), \varsigma_\Gamma(\varkappa) \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\}$ be an I.F.S on an I.F.T.S (\mathfrak{S}, \top) . Then Γ is deemed an I.F eG_δ -locally closed set (I.F eG_δ -LCS) if $\Gamma = C \cap D$, where $C = \{\langle \varkappa, \theta_C(\varkappa), \varsigma_C(\varkappa) \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\}$ is an I.F eG_δ set and $D = \{\langle \varkappa, \theta_D(\varkappa), \varsigma_D(\varkappa) \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\}$ is an I.FeCS in (\mathfrak{S}, \top) .

The complement of an I.F eG_δ -LCS is said to be an I.F eG_δ -LOS.

Definition 2.15. [7] Let (\mathfrak{S}, \top) be an I.F.T.S. Let $\Gamma = \{\langle \varkappa, \theta_\Gamma(\varkappa), \varsigma_\Gamma(\varkappa) \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\}$ be an I.F.S on an I.F.T.S (\mathfrak{S}, \top) . Then Γ is deemed an I.F G_δ - e -locally closed set (I.F G_δ - e -LCS) if $\Gamma = \mathfrak{B} \cap C$, where $\mathfrak{B} = \{\langle \varkappa, \theta_\mathfrak{B}(\varkappa), \varsigma_\mathfrak{B}(\varkappa) \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\}$ is an I.F G_δ set and $C = \{\langle \varkappa, \theta_C(\varkappa), \varsigma_C(\varkappa) \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\}$ is an I.FeCS in (\mathfrak{S}, \top) .

The complement of an I.F G_δ - e -LCS is said to be an I.F G_δ - e -LOS.

Definition 2.16. [7] Let (\mathfrak{S}, \top) be an I.F.T.S. Let $\Gamma = \{\langle \varkappa, \theta_\Gamma(\varkappa), \varsigma_\Gamma(\varkappa) \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\}$ be an I.F.S on an I.F.T.S (\mathfrak{S}, \top) . The I.F G_δ - e -locally closure of Γ are represented and delineated by $\text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l_{cl}(\Gamma) = \bigcap \{\mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{B} = \{\langle \varkappa, \theta_\mathfrak{B}(\varkappa), \varsigma_\mathfrak{B}(\varkappa) \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\} \text{ is an I.F } G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-LCS in } \mathfrak{S} \text{ and } \Gamma \subseteq \mathfrak{B}\}$.

Definition 2.17. [7] Let (\mathfrak{S}, \top) be an I.F.T.S. Let $\Gamma = \{\langle \varkappa, \theta_\Gamma(\varkappa), \varsigma_\Gamma(\varkappa) \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\}$ be an I.F.S on an I.F.T.S (\mathfrak{S}, \top) . The I.F G_δ - e -locally interior of Γ are represented and delineated by $\text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l_{int}(\Gamma) = \bigcup \{\mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{B} = \{\langle \varkappa, \theta_\mathfrak{B}(\varkappa), \varsigma_\mathfrak{B}(\varkappa) \rangle : \varkappa \in \mathfrak{S}\} \text{ is an I.F } G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-LOS in } \mathfrak{S} \text{ and } \mathfrak{B} \subseteq \Gamma\}$.

Definition 2.18. [7] Let (\mathfrak{S}, \top) be an I.F.T.S. Let $\Gamma = \langle \varkappa, \theta_\Gamma, \varsigma_\Gamma \rangle$ be an I.F.S in an I.F.T.S (\mathfrak{S}, \top) . Then Γ is deemed an I.F G_δ - e -locally neighbourhood of an I.F.P $x_{r,s}$ if there exists an I.F G_δ - e -LOS \mathfrak{B} in an I.F.T.S (\mathfrak{S}, \top) such that $x_{r,s} \in \mathfrak{B}$, $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \Gamma$. It is indicated by $\text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}lnbd$.

Definition 2.19. [7] Let (\mathfrak{S}, \top) be an I.F.T.S. Let $\Gamma = \langle \varkappa, \theta_\Gamma, \varsigma_\Gamma \rangle$ be an I.F.S in an I.F.T.S (\mathfrak{S}, \top) . Then Γ is deemed an I.F G_δ - e -locally quasi neighbourhood of an I.F.P $x_{r,s}$ if there exists an I.F G_δ - e -loc \mathfrak{B} in an I.F.T.S (\mathfrak{S}, \top) such that $x_{r,s}q\mathfrak{B}$, $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \Gamma$. It is indicated by $\text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}lqnbd$.

Remark 2.1. [7]

- (i) The family of all I.F G_δ - e - $lnbd$ of an I.F.P $x_{r,s}$ It is indicated by $N^{\text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l}(x_{r,s})$.
- (i) The family of all I.F G_δ - e - $lqnbd$ of an I.F.P $x_{r,s}$ It is indicated by $N^{\text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}lq}(x_{r,s})$.

3. INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY CONTRA G_δ - e -LOCALLY CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

Definition 3.1. Let (\mathfrak{S}, \top) and (\wp, S) be any two I.F.T.S's. Let $f : (\mathfrak{S}, \top) \rightarrow (\wp, S)$ be an I.F mapping (IFM). Then f is said to be an I.F contra G_δ - e -locally continuous function (I.FCont. G_δ - e -l.cts.fun), if $f^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is I.F G_δ - e -LCS in \mathfrak{S} for every I.FOS Γ in \wp

Theorem 3.1. Let (\mathfrak{S}, \top) and (\wp, S) be any two I.F.T.S's. Let $f: (\mathfrak{S}, \top) \rightarrow (\wp, S)$ be an IFM. Then the following are equivalent.

- (i) f is an $\text{IFCont}.G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l\text{-}cts.fun$.
- (ii) $f^{-1}(\beta)$ is an I.F $G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}LCS$ in an I.F.T.S (\mathfrak{S}, \top) , for each I.F_{OS} β in an I.F.T.S (\wp, S) .
- (iii) $f^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is an I.F $G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}LOS$ in an I.F.T.S (\mathfrak{S}, \top) , for each I.F_{CS} Γ in an I.F.T.S (\wp, S) .
- (iv) $f^{-1}(\text{I.F}_{int}(\Gamma)) \subseteq \text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l_{int}(f^{-1}(\Gamma))$, for each I.F_{CS} Γ in an I.F.T.S (\wp, S) .
- (v) $\text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l_{cl}(f^{-1}(\Gamma)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{I.F}_{cl}(\Gamma))$, for each I.F_{OS} Γ in an I.F.T.S (\wp, S) .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let Γ be an I.F_{CS} in an I.F.T.S (\wp, S) . Let $x_{r,s}$ be an I.F.P in an I.F.T.S (\mathfrak{S}, \top) such that $x_{r,s}qf^{-1}(\Gamma)$. Since f is an $\text{IFCont}.G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l\text{-}cts.fun$, there exists $\beta \in N^{\text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}lq}(x_{r,s})$ such that $f(\beta) \subseteq \Gamma$. Then

$$x_{r,s} \in \beta \quad (1)$$

$$\beta \subseteq f^{-1}(f(\beta)) \quad (2)$$

Thus, $x_{r,s} \in \beta \subseteq f^{-1}(f(\beta)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\Gamma)$. This implies $x_{r,s} \in f^{-1}(\Gamma)$ which shows that $f^{-1}(\Gamma) \in N^{\text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}lq}(x_{r,s})$. Hence $f^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is an I.F $G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}LOS$ in an I.F.T.S (\mathfrak{S}, \top) .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): This can be proved by taking complement of (i)

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): Let Γ be an I.F_{CS} in an I.F.T.S (\wp, S) . Since $\Gamma \subseteq \text{I.F}_{cl}(\Gamma)$, $f^{-1}(\Gamma) \supseteq f^{-1}(\text{I.F}_{int}(\Gamma))$. By (iii), $f^{-1}(\text{I.F}_{int}(\Gamma))$ is an I.F $G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}LOS$ in an I.F.T.S (\mathfrak{S}, \top) . Thus, $\text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l_{int}(f^{-1}(\Gamma)) \supseteq f^{-1}(\text{I.F}_{int}(\Gamma))$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (v): Using (iv), $\text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l_{int}(f^{-1}(\Gamma)) \supseteq f^{-1}(\text{I.F}_{int}(\Gamma))$. Then $\overline{\text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l_{int}(f^{-1}(\Gamma))} \subseteq \overline{f^{-1}(\text{I.F}_{int}(\Gamma))}$, $\text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}l_{cl}(f^{-1}(\Gamma)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{I.F}_{cl}(\overline{\Gamma}))$, $\text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l_{cl}(f^{-1}(\overline{\Gamma})) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{I.F}_{cl}(\overline{\Gamma}))$ implies that $f^{-1}(\text{I.F}_{cl}(\overline{\Gamma})) \supseteq \text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l_{cl}(f^{-1}(\Gamma))$, putting $\overline{\Gamma} = \Gamma$, we have $f^{-1}(\text{I.F}_{cl}(\overline{\Gamma})) \supseteq \text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l_{cl}(f^{-1}(\Gamma))$.

(v) \Rightarrow (i): Let Γ be an I.F_{CS} in an I.F.T.S (\wp, S) . Then $\text{I.F}_{cl}\Gamma = \Gamma$. Using (v), $f^{-1}(\text{I.F}_{int}(\Gamma)) \subseteq \text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l_{int}(f^{-1}(\Gamma))$ implies that $f^{-1}(\Gamma) \subseteq \text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l_{int}(f^{-1}(\Gamma))$. But, $\text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l_{int}(f^{-1}(\Gamma)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\Gamma)$ implies that $f^{-1}(\Gamma) = \text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l_{int}(f^{-1}(\Gamma))$ that is, $f^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is an I.F $G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}LOS$ in an I.F.T.S (\mathfrak{S}, \top) . Let $x_{r,s}$ be any I.F.P in $f^{-1}(\Gamma)$. Then $x_{r,s} \in f^{-1}(\Gamma)$. We have $x_{r,s}qf^{-1}(\Gamma)$ implies that $f(x_{r,s})qf(f^{-1}(\Gamma))$. But $f(f^{-1}(\Gamma)) \subseteq \Gamma$. Thus, for any I.F.P $x_{r,s}$ and $\Gamma \in Nf(x_{r,s})$, there exists $\beta = f^{-1}(\Gamma) \in N^{\text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}lq}(x_{r,s})$ such that $f^{-1}(f(\Gamma)) \subseteq \Gamma$. Therefore, $f(\beta) \subseteq \Gamma$. Thus, f is an $\text{IFCont}.G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l\text{-}cts.fun$. \square

Theorem 3.2. Let (\mathfrak{S}, \top) and (\wp, S) be any two I.F.T.S's. Let $f: (\mathfrak{S}, \top) \rightarrow (\wp, S)$ be an I.F bijective function. Then f is an $\text{IFCont}.G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l\text{-}cts.fun$ if and only if $\text{I.F}_{int}(f(\Gamma)) \subseteq f(\text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l_{int}(\Gamma))$, for each I.F_{CS} Γ of an I.F.T.S (\mathfrak{S}, \top) .

Proof. Assume that f is an $\text{IFCont}.G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l\text{-}cts.fun$ and Γ be an I.F_{CS} in an I.F.T.S (\mathfrak{S}, \top) . Hence, $f^{-1}(\text{I.F}_{int}(f(\Gamma)))$ is an I.F $G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}LOS$ in an I.F.T.S (\mathfrak{S}, \top) . From Theorem (v) of (3.1) $f^{-1}(\text{I.F}_{int}f(\Gamma)) \subseteq \text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l_{int}(f^{-1}(f(\Gamma)))$, $f^{-1}(\text{I.F}_{int}f(\Gamma)) \subseteq \text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l_{int}(\Gamma)$. Since f is an I.F surjective function, $f(f^{-1}(\text{I.F}_{int}f(\Gamma))) \subseteq f(\text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l_{int}(\Gamma))$. That is, $\text{I.F}_{int}(f(\Gamma)) \subseteq f(\text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l_{int}(\Gamma))$.

Conversely, assume that $\text{I.F}_{int}(f(\Gamma)) \subseteq f(\text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l_{int}(\Gamma))$, for each I.F.S Γ of an I.F.T.S (\mathfrak{S}, \top) . Let β be an I.F_{CS} in an I.F.T.S (\wp, S) . Then $\beta = \text{I.F}_{cl}(\beta)$. Since f is an I.F surjective function, $\beta = \text{I.F}_{cl}(\beta) = \text{I.F}_{int}(f(f^{-1}(\beta))) \subseteq f(\text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l_{int}(f^{-1}(\beta)))$. Since f is an I.F injective function, $f^{-1}(\beta) \subseteq f^{-1}(f(\text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l_{int}(f^{-1}(\beta))))$. From the fact that f is an I.F injective function, we have

$$f^{-1}(\beta) \subseteq \text{I.F}G_\delta\text{-}e\text{-}l_{int}(f^{-1}(\beta)) \quad (3)$$

but

$$\mathbb{I.F}G_{\delta-e-l_{int}}(f^{-1}(\beta)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\beta) \quad (4)$$

From (3) and (4) implies that $f^{-1}(\beta) = \mathbb{I.F}G_{\delta-e-l_{int}}(f^{-1}(\beta))$. That is, $f^{-1}(\beta)$ is an $\mathbb{I.F}G_{\delta-e}\text{-LOS}$ in an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\mathfrak{S}, \top) . Thus, f is an $\mathbb{I.F}Cont.G_{\delta-e-l_{cts}}fun$. \square

Theorem 3.3. Let (\mathfrak{S}, \top) and (\wp, S) be any two $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$'s. Let $f : (\mathfrak{S}, \top) \rightarrow (\wp, S)$ be an $\mathbb{I.F}$ bijective fuction. Then f is an $\mathbb{I.F}Cont.G_{\delta-e-l_{cts}}fun$ if and only if $f(\mathbb{I.F}G_{\delta-e-l_{cl}}(\Gamma)) \subseteq \mathbb{I.F}_{cl}(f(\Gamma))$, for each $\mathbb{I.F}_{OS}$ Γ of an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\mathfrak{S}, \top) .

Proof. Assume that f is an $\mathbb{I.F}Cont.G_{\delta-e-l_{cts}}fun$ and Γ be an $\mathbb{I.F}_{OS}$ in an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\mathfrak{S}, \top) . Hence, $f^{-1}(\mathbb{I.F}_{cl}(f(\Gamma)))$ is an $\mathbb{I.F}G_{\delta-e}\text{-LCS}$ in an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\mathfrak{S}, \top) . From Theorem (v) of (3.1) $f^{-1}(\mathbb{I.F}_{cl}(f(\Gamma))) \supseteq \mathbb{I.F}G_{\delta-e-l_{cl}}(f^{-1}(f(\Gamma)))$, $f^{-1}(\mathbb{I.F}_{cl}(f(\Gamma))) \supseteq \mathbb{I.F}G_{\delta-e-l_{cl}}(\Gamma)$. Since f is an $\mathbb{I.F}$ surjective function, $f(f^{-1}(\mathbb{I.F}_{cl}(f(\Gamma)))) \supseteq f(\mathbb{I.F}G_{\delta-e-l_{cl}}(\Gamma))$. That is, $\mathbb{I.F}_{cl}(f(\Gamma)) \supseteq f(\mathbb{I.F}G_{\delta-e-l_{cl}}(\Gamma))$.

Conversely, assume that $\mathbb{I.F}_{cl}(f(\Gamma)) \supseteq f(\mathbb{I.F}G_{\delta-e-l_{cl}}(\Gamma))$, for each $\mathbb{I.F.S}$ Γ of an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\mathfrak{S}, \top) . Let β be an $\mathbb{I.F}_{OS}$ in an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\wp, S) . Then $\beta = \mathbb{I.F}_{int}(\beta)$. Since f is an $\mathbb{I.F}$ surjective function, $\beta = \mathbb{I.F}_{int}(\beta) = \mathbb{I.F}_{cl}(f(f^{-1}(\beta))) \supseteq f(\mathbb{I.F}G_{\delta-e-l_{cl}}(f^{-1}(\beta)))$. Since f is an $\mathbb{I.F}$ injective function, $f^{-1}(\beta) \supseteq f^{-1}(f(\mathbb{I.F}G_{\delta-e-l_{cl}}(f^{-1}(\beta))))$. From the fact that f is an $\mathbb{I.F}$ injective function, we have

$$f^{-1}(\beta) \supseteq \mathbb{I.F}G_{\delta-e-l_{cl}}(f^{-1}(\beta)) \quad (5)$$

but

$$\mathbb{I.F}G_{\delta-e-l_{cl}}(f^{-1}(\beta)) \supseteq f^{-1}(\beta) \quad (6)$$

From (5) and (6) implies that $f^{-1}(\beta) = \mathbb{I.F}G_{\delta-e-l_{cl}}(f^{-1}(\beta))$. That is, $f^{-1}(\beta)$ is an $\mathbb{I.F}G_{\delta-e}\text{-LCS}$ in an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\mathfrak{S}, \top) . Thus, f is an $\mathbb{I.F}Cont.G_{\delta-e-l_{cts}}fun$. \square

Theorem 3.4. Let (\mathfrak{S}, \top) and (\wp, S) be any two $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$'s. Let $f : (\mathfrak{S}, \top) \rightarrow (\wp, S)$ be an $\mathbb{I.F}$ bijective fuction. If f is an $\mathbb{I.F}Cont.G_{\delta-e-l_{cts}}fun$. Then if $\Gamma \in I^{\wp}$ is an $\mathbb{I.F}_{OS}$, then $f^{-1}(\Gamma) = \mathbb{I.F}G_{\delta-e-l_{cl}}(f^{-1}(\Gamma))$.

Proof. Let Γ be an $\mathbb{I.F}_{OS}$ in an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\wp, S) . By Theorem(iv)of (3.1).

$$\mathbb{I.F}G_{\delta-e-l_{cl}}(f^{-1}(\Gamma)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathbb{I.F}_{cl}(\Gamma)) = f^{-1}(\Gamma) \quad (7)$$

Since $\Gamma = \mathbb{I.F}_{int}(\Gamma)$. But

$$f^{-1}(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathbb{I.F}G_{\delta-e-l_{cl}}(f^{-1}(\Gamma)) \quad (8)$$

From (7) and (8) implies that $f^{-1}(\Gamma) = \mathbb{I.F}G_{\delta-e-l_{cl}}(f^{-1}(\Gamma))$. \square

Proposition 3.1. Let (\mathfrak{S}, \top) , (\wp, S) and (\aleph, R) be any three $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$'s. Let $f : (\mathfrak{S}, \top) \rightarrow (\wp, S)$ be an $\mathbb{I.F}Cont.G_{\delta-e-l_{cts}}fun$. If $f(\mathfrak{S}) \subset \aleph \subset \wp$ then $g : (\mathfrak{S}, \top) \rightarrow (\aleph, R)$ where $R = S/\aleph$ restricting the range of f is an $\mathbb{I.F}Cont.G_{\delta-e-l_{cts}}fun$.

Proof. Let β be an $\mathbb{I.F}_{OS}$ in an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\aleph, R) . Then $\beta = S/\aleph$, for some $\mathbb{I.F}_{OS}$ Γ of an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$'s (\wp, S) . If $f(\mathfrak{S}) \subset \aleph \subset \wp$, $f^{-1}(\Gamma) = g^{-1}(\beta)$. Since $f^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is an $\mathbb{I.F}G_{\delta-e}\text{-LCS}$ in an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\mathfrak{S}, \top) . Hence, $g^{-1}(\beta)$ is an $\mathbb{I.F}G_{\delta-e}\text{-LCS}$ in an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\mathfrak{S}, \top) . Therefore, g is an $\mathbb{I.F}Cont.G_{\delta-e-l_{cts}}fun$. \square

Proposition 3.2. Let (\mathfrak{S}, \top) , (X_1, T_1) and (X_2, T_2) be any three $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$'s and $P_i : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_i$ be an $\mathbb{I.F}$ projection of $X_1 \times X_2$ onto X_i . If $f : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2$ is an $\mathbb{I.F}Cont.G_{\delta-e-l_{cts}}fun$. Then $P_i \circ f : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow X_i$ is also an $\mathbb{I.F}Cont.G_{\delta-e-l_{cts}}fun$.

Proof. Let Γ be an $\mathbb{I.F.O.S}$ in an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$'s (X_i, T_i) ($i = 1, 2$), $(P_i \circ f)^{-1}(\Gamma) = f^{-1}(P_i^{-1}(\Gamma))$. Since P_i is an $\mathbb{I.F.M}$ $P_i^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is an $\mathbb{I.F.CS}$ in an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$'s $X_1 \times X_2$. Hence, $f^{-1}(P_i^{-1}(\Gamma))$ is an $\mathbb{I.F. } G_\delta\text{-e-LCS}$ in an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\mathfrak{S}, \top) . Hence, $P_i \circ f$ is an $\mathbb{I.F.Cont.}G_\delta\text{-e-l.cts.fun.}$ \square

Definition 3.2. Let (\mathfrak{S}, \top) and (\wp, S) be two $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$'s. Let $f : (\mathfrak{S}, \top) \rightarrow (\wp, S)$ be an $\mathbb{I.F.M}$. Then f is said to be an

- (i) $\mathbb{I.F.}$ contra $G_\delta\text{-e-locally irresolute function}(\mathbb{I.F.Cont.}G_\delta\text{-e-l.irr.fun.})$, if for each $\mathbb{I.F. } G_\delta\text{-e-LCS}$ Γ in an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\wp, S) , $f^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is an $\mathbb{I.F. } G_\delta\text{-e-LOS}$ in an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\mathfrak{S}, \top) .
- (ii) $\mathbb{I.F.}$ contra weakly $G_\delta\text{-e-locally irresolute function}(\mathbb{I.F.Cont.}WG_\delta\text{-e-l.irr.fun.})$, if for each $\mathbb{I.F. } G_\delta\text{-e-LCS}$ Γ in an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\wp, S) , $f^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is an $\mathbb{I.F.O.S}$ in an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\mathfrak{S}, \top) .

Example 3.1. Let $\mathfrak{S} = \{a, b\} = \wp$, and $\Gamma = \langle \mathcal{K}, (\frac{a}{0.3}, \frac{b}{0.3}), (\frac{a}{0.6}, \frac{b}{0.6}) \rangle, \beta = \langle \mathcal{K}, (\frac{a}{0.3}, \frac{b}{0.1}), (\frac{a}{0.5}, \frac{b}{0.4}) \rangle, \Gamma \vee \beta = \langle \mathcal{K}, (\frac{a}{0.3}, \frac{b}{0.3}), (\frac{a}{0.5}, \frac{b}{0.4}) \rangle, \Gamma \wedge \beta = \langle \mathcal{K}, (\frac{a}{0.3}, \frac{b}{0.1}), (\frac{a}{0.6}, \frac{b}{0.6}) \rangle, C = \langle \mathcal{K}, (\frac{a}{0.7}, \frac{b}{0.7}), (\frac{a}{0}, \frac{b}{0.1}) \rangle$ Now, the family $\top = \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{I}, \Gamma, \beta, \Gamma \vee \beta, \Gamma \wedge \beta\}$ of $\mathbb{I.F.S}$'s in \mathfrak{S} is an $\mathbb{I.F.T}$ on \mathfrak{S} and the family $S = \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{I}, C\}$ of $\mathbb{I.F.S}$'s in \wp is an $\mathbb{I.F.T}$ on \wp . If we define the function $f : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \wp$ be the identity function. Now, f is an $\mathbb{I.F.Cont.}G_\delta\text{-e-l.irr.fun.}$ because C is an $\mathbb{I.F.G}_\delta\text{-e-LOS}$ in \wp , $f^{-1}(C)$ is also an $\mathbb{I.F.G}_\delta\text{-e-LCS}$ in \mathfrak{S} .

Example 3.2. Let $\mathfrak{S} = \{a, b\} = \wp$, and $\Gamma = \langle \mathcal{K}, (\frac{a}{0.3}, \frac{b}{0.3}), (\frac{a}{0.6}, \frac{b}{0.6}) \rangle, \beta = \langle \mathcal{K}, (\frac{a}{0.3}, \frac{b}{0.1}), (\frac{a}{0.5}, \frac{b}{0.4}) \rangle, \Gamma \vee \beta = \langle \mathcal{K}, (\frac{a}{0.3}, \frac{b}{0.3}), (\frac{a}{0.5}, \frac{b}{0.4}) \rangle, \Gamma \wedge \beta = \langle \mathcal{K}, (\frac{a}{0.3}, \frac{b}{0.1}), (\frac{a}{0.6}, \frac{b}{0.6}) \rangle, C = \langle \mathcal{K}, (\frac{a}{0}, \frac{b}{0.1}), (\frac{a}{0.7}, \frac{b}{0.7}) \rangle$ Now, the family $\top = \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{I}, \Gamma, \beta, \Gamma \vee \beta, \Gamma \wedge \beta, C\}$ of $\mathbb{I.F.S}$'s in \mathfrak{S} is an $\mathbb{I.F.T}$ on \mathfrak{S} and the family $S = \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{I}, C\}$ of $\mathbb{I.F.S}$'s in \wp is an $\mathbb{I.F.T}$ on \wp . If we define the function $f : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \wp$ be the identity function, Now, f is an $\mathbb{I.F.Cont.}WG_\delta\text{-e-l.irr.fun.}$, because C is an $\mathbb{I.F.G}_\delta\text{-e-LCS}$ in \wp , $f^{-1}(C)$ is $\mathbb{I.F.O.S}$ in \mathfrak{S} .

Theorem 3.5. Let (\mathfrak{S}, \top) and (\wp, S) be any two $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$'s. Let $f : (\mathfrak{S}, \top) \rightarrow (\wp, S)$ be an $\mathbb{I.F.M}$. Then the following statements are equivalent

- (i) f is an $\mathbb{I.F.Cont.}G_\delta\text{-e-l.irr.fun.}$
- (ii) for every $\mathbb{I.F.S}$ Γ of an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\mathfrak{S}, \top) , $f(\mathbb{I.F.G}_\delta\text{-e-l}_{int}(\Gamma)) \supseteq \mathbb{I.F.G}_\delta\text{-e-l}_{int}(f(\Gamma))$.
- (iii) for every $\mathbb{I.F.S}$ Γ of an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\wp, S) , $\mathbb{I.F.G}_\delta\text{-e-l}_{int}(f^{-1}(\Gamma)) \supseteq f^{-1}(\mathbb{I.F.G}_\delta\text{-e-l}_{int}(\Gamma))$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let Γ be an $\mathbb{I.F.S}$ in an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\mathfrak{S}, \top) . Suppose f is an $\mathbb{I.F.Cont.}G_\delta\text{-e-l.irr.fun.}$ Now, $\mathbb{I.F.G}_\delta\text{-e-l}_{cl}(f(\Gamma))$ is an $\mathbb{I.F. } G_\delta\text{-e-LCS}$ in an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\wp, S) . By hypothesis, $f^{-1}(\mathbb{I.F.G}_\delta\text{-e-l}_{int}(f(\Gamma)))$ is an $\mathbb{I.F. } G_\delta\text{-e-LOS}$ in an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\mathfrak{S}, \top) and hence, $\Gamma \supseteq f^{-1}(f(\Gamma)) \supseteq f^{-1}(\mathbb{I.F.G}_\delta\text{-e-l}_{int}(f(\Gamma)))$. Now, $\mathbb{I.F.G}_\delta\text{-e-l}_{int}(\Gamma) \supseteq f^{-1}(\mathbb{I.F.G}_\delta\text{-e-l}_{int}(f(\Gamma)))$. That is, $f(\mathbb{I.F.G}_\delta\text{-e-l}_{int}(\Gamma)) \supseteq \mathbb{I.F.G}_\delta\text{-e-l}_{int}(f(\Gamma))$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Let Γ be an $\mathbb{I.F.S}$ in an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\wp, S) , then $f^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is an $\mathbb{I.F.S}$ in an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\mathfrak{S}, \top) . By (ii), $f(\mathbb{I.F.G}_\delta\text{-e-l}_{int}(f^{-1}(\Gamma))) \supseteq \mathbb{I.F.G}_\delta\text{-e-l}_{int}(f(f^{-1}(\Gamma)))$. Since f is an $\mathbb{I.F.}$ bijective function, $\mathbb{I.F.G}_\delta\text{-e-l}_{int}(f^{-1}(\Gamma)) \supseteq f^{-1}(\mathbb{I.F.G}_\delta\text{-e-l}_{int}(\Gamma))$

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Suppose Γ is $\mathbb{I.F.G}_\delta\text{-e-LCS}$ in an $\mathbb{I.F.T.S}$ (\wp, S) . Then $\mathbb{I.F.G}_\delta\text{-e-l}_{cl}(\Gamma) = \Gamma$. By hypothesis, $\mathbb{I.F.G}_\delta\text{-e-l}_{int}(f^{-1}(\Gamma)) \supseteq f^{-1}(\mathbb{I.F.G}_\delta\text{-e-l}_{int}(\Gamma))$, $\mathbb{I.F.G}_\delta\text{-e-l}_{int}(f^{-1}(\Gamma)) \supseteq f^{-1}(\Gamma)$. \square

4. CONCLUSIONS

This paper introduced and developed the concepts of intuitionistic fuzzy contra $G_\delta\text{-e-locally continuous}$ and $\text{irresolute functions}$ within intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. Several significant properties of these functions have been identified, enhancing the understanding of their roles in fuzzy topology. These functions generalize traditional continuous and irresolute functions by effectively handling uncertainty through intuitionistic

fuzzy sets. While offering a broader framework for analysis, future research could focus on addressing the computational complexity and practical implementation challenges and exploring new properties and types of intuitionistic fuzzy sets.

REFERENCES

- [1] Atanassov K. T., (1986), Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 20, 87-96.
- [2] Balasubramanian G., (1995), Maximal fuzzy topologies, *Kybernetika*, 31, 459-465.
- [3] Coker D., (1997), An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 88, 81-89.
- [4] Coker D., Demirci M., (1995), On intuitionistic fuzzy points, *Notes IFS*, 2(1), 79-84.
- [5] Ganster M., Rely I. L., (1989), Locally closed sets and LC-continuous functions, *Intr. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, 12(3), 417-424.
- [6] Hanafy I. M., (2003), Completely continuous function in intuitionistic fuzzy topological space, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 53, 793-803.
- [7] Saravanakumar G., Tamilselvan S., Vadivel A., (2018), Intuitionistic Fuzzy G_δ - e -locally Closed Sets, *International Journal of Mathematical Archive*, 9(1), 33-39.
- [8] Saravanakumar G., Tamilselvan S., Vadivel A., (2017), Intuitionistic Fuzzy G_δ - e -locally Continuous and Irresolute functions, *International Journal of Fuzzy Mathematical Archive*, 14(2), 313-325.
- [9] Sobana D., Chandrasekar V., Vadivel A., (2018), On Fuzzy e -open Sets, Fuzzy e -continuity and Fuzzy e -compactness in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Spaces, *Sahand Communications in Mathematical Analysis*, 12(1), 131-153.
- [10] Thakur S. S., Singh S., (1998), On fuzzy semi-pre open sets and fuzzy semi-pre continuity, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 383-391.
- [11] Zadeh L. A., (1965), Fuzzy Sets, *Information and Control*, 8, 338-353.



Dr. G. Saravanakumar holds a Ph.D. in Mathematics from Annamalai University (2020), M.Phil.in Mathematics from National College, Tiruchirappalli (2011), M.Sc. in Mathematics from Government Arts College, Ariyalur (2009), and B.Sc. in Mathematics from Nehru Memorial College, Tiruchirappalli (2007) from India. With over 10 years of teaching experience and 53 publications in reputed journals, the specialization is in fuzzy topology, intuitionistic fuzzy sets, and their applications. Currently serving as an Assistant Professor at Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology, with over three years in this role. Also actively involved in guiding students and organizing various academic programs.
