

ON A CERTAIN SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY RABOTNOV FUNCTION

A. LAGAD¹, R. N. INGLE², P. THIRUPATHI REDDY³ AND B. VENKATESWARLU^{4,*}, §

ABSTRACT. The study of the geometric properties of analytic functions and their numerous applications in a variety of mathematical fields, including fractional calculus, probability distributions, and special functions, has drawn significant and impressive attention to Geometric Function Theory (GFT), one of the most prominent branches of complex analysis, in recent years. The focus of this article is the introduction of a new subclass of analytic functions involving Rabotnov function and obtained coefficient inequalities, convex linear combination, radii properties, Integral means inequality and neighborhood result for this class.

Keywords: analytic, convex, starlike, coefficient estimates, neighborhood.

AMS Subject Classification: 30C45; 30C50.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A specify the category of analytical functions u represent on the unit disc $\mathbb{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ with normalization $u(0) = 0$ and $u'(0) = 1$, such a function has the extension of the Taylor series on the origin in the form

$$u(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n. \quad (1)$$

Indicated by S , the subclass of A be composed of functions that are univalent in \mathbb{U} .

¹ Department of Mathematics, N.E.S.Science College, Nanded - 431 605, Maharashtra, India.

e-mail: lagadac@gmail.com; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0000-4838-7847>.

² Department of Mathematics, Bahirji Smarak Mahavidyalay, Bashmathnagar - 431 512, Hingoli Dist., Maharashtra, India.

e-mail: ingleraju11@gmail.com; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0001-1587-3360>.

³ Department of Mathematics, DRK Institute of Science and Technology, Hyderabad- 500 043, Telangana, India.

e-mail: reddypt2@gmail.com; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0034-444X>.

⁴ Department of Mathematics, GITAM University, Doddaballapur- 561 203, Bengaluru Rural, India.
e-mail: bvlmaths@gmail.com (Corresponding Author); ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3669-350X>.

* Corresponding author.

§ Manuscript received: July 15, 2024; accepted: December 06, 2024.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.15, No.8; © Işık University, Department of Mathematics, 2025; all rights reserved.

Then a $u(z)$ function of A is known as starlike and convex of order ϑ if it delights the pursing

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zu'(z)}{u(z)} \right\} > \vartheta, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (2)$$

and

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zu''(z)}{u'(z)} \right\} > \vartheta, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (3)$$

for specific $\vartheta (0 \leq \vartheta < 1)$ respectively and we express by $S^*(\vartheta)$ and $K(\vartheta)$ the subclass of A be expressed by aforesaid functions respectively. Also, indicate by T the subclass of A made up of functions of this form

$$u(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (a_n \geq 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (4)$$

and let $T^*(\vartheta) = T \cap S^*(\vartheta)$, $C(\vartheta) = T \cap K(\vartheta)$. There are interesting properties in the $T^*(\vartheta)$ and $C(\vartheta)$ classes and were thoroughly studied by Silverman [24] and others.

In [13], Goodman defined the class of uniformly convex functions, defined by UCV as follows:

Definition 1.1. A function $u \in A$ is said to be uniformly convex in \mathbb{U} if $u \in K$ and have the property that for every circular arc ρ contained in \mathbb{U} , with centre ζ , also in \mathbb{U} , the arc $u(\rho)$ is convex.

Due to the analytic criterion for $u \in UCV$, given by Ronning [22].

A function $u \in A$ is uniformly convex in \mathbb{U} if and only if

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zu''(z)}{u'(z)} \right\} > \left| \frac{zu''(z)}{u'(z)} \right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (5)$$

The class of k - uniformly convex functions was introduced by Kanas and Wisniowska [14], as a generalization of uniform convexity. The class of k - uniformly convex functions are denoted by $k-UCV$. In [22], Ronning defined the class of parabolic starlike functions by the following way:

$$S_p := \{F \in S^* \mid F(z) = zu'(z), \quad u \in UCV\}.$$

Definition 1.2. The class S_p of parabolic starlike functions consists of functions $u \in A$ satisfying

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zu'(z)}{u(z)} \right\} > k \left| \frac{zu'(z)}{u(z)} \right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

The class of k - parabolic starlike functions denoted by $k-S_p$ are related to the class $k-UCV$ by well known Alexander equivalence.

For $-1 < \rho \leq 1$ and $k \geq 0$ a function $u \in A$ is said to be in the class of k - parabolic starlike functions of order ρ , denoted by $k-S_p(\rho)$ if

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zu'(z)}{u(z)} - \rho \right\} > k \left| \frac{zu'(z)}{u(z)} - 1 \right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

For the same conditions for the parameters ρ and k , the function $u \in A$ is said to be in the class of k - uniformly convex functions of order ρ if

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zu''(z)}{u'(z)} - \rho \right\} > k \left| \frac{zu''(z)}{u'(z)} \right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

We denote by $k-S_p(\rho)$ the class of k -parabolic starlike functions of order ρ and $k-UCV(\rho)$ the class of k - uniformly convex functions of order ρ .

In [14], the authors generalized the class of k -parabolic starlike, respectively k -uniformly convex functions, of order ρ , for $0 \leq \rho < 1$.

For $0 \leq \mu < 1, 0 \leq \rho < 1$ and $k \geq 0$ the function $u \in A$ belongs to the class $k - S_p(\mu, \rho)$ if

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zu'(z)}{(1-\mu)u(z) + \mu zu'(z)} - \rho \right\} > k \left| \frac{zu'(z)}{(1-\mu)u(z) + \mu zu'(z)} - 1 \right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (6)$$

For the same conditions to the parameters μ, ρ and k , the function $u \in A$ belongs to the class $k - UCV(\mu, \rho)$ if

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{u'(z) + zu''(z)}{u'(z) + \mu zu''(z)} - \rho \right\} > k \left| \frac{u'(z) + zu''(z)}{u'(z) + \mu zu''(z)} - 1 \right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (7)$$

It is easily seen that, $k - S_p(0, \rho) = k - S_p(\rho), k - S_p(0, 0) = k - S_p, k - UCV(0, \rho) = k - UCV(\rho)$ and $k - UCV(0, 0) = k - UCV$, where $0 \leq \rho < 1$.

The Rabotnov function is used to model the time-dependent deformation of materials subjected to constant stress, such as in creep testing or in the analysis of long-term structural behavior under load. It provides a mathematical framework for understanding how materials deform over time, incorporating both instantaneous and time-dependent deformation mechanisms.

The Rabotnov function finds applications primarily in the fields of materials science, mechanical engineering, and structural analysis, where understanding the time-dependent behavior of materials under load is crucial. Rabotnov function plays a key role in understanding and predicting the time-dependent behavior of materials under load, with applications ranging from materials characterization and testing to structural analysis and design in various engineering disciplines. The Rabotnov function holds significant importance in several areas of materials science, mechanical engineering, and structural analysis due to its ability to model the time-dependent deformation of materials under load.

It serves as a fundamental tool for engineers and scientists involved in materials research, mechanical design, and structural analysis, enabling them to address the challenges associated with time-dependent deformation and ensure the reliability and performance of engineered systems and components.

The aims of the Rabotnov function revolve around providing a quantitative framework for understanding and predicting the time-dependent deformation of materials, with implications for material selection, design optimization, and structural analysis in engineering applications. The scope of the Rabotnov function encompasses a wide range of applications and disciplines, playing a crucial role in understanding, predicting, and mitigating the effects of time-dependent deformation in materials and structures across various engineering fields.

In 1948, Yu. N. Rabotnov [20], who worked in solid mechanics included plasticity, creep theory, hereditary mechanics, failure mechanics, nonelastic stability, composites and shell theory, introduced a special function applied in viscoelasticity. This function, known today as the Rabotnov fractional exponential function or briefly Rabotnov function, is defined as follows:

$$\Phi_{\varphi, \varsigma}(z) = z^{\varphi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\varsigma)^n z^{n(1+\varphi)}}{\Gamma((n+1)(1+\varphi))}, \quad (\varphi, \varsigma, z \in \mathbb{C}). \quad (8)$$

The convergence of this series at any values of the argument is evident. Noting that for $\varphi = 0$ it reduces to the standard exponential $\exp(\beta z)$. The Rabotnov function is a particular case of the familiar Mittag-Leffler [19] widely used in the solution of fractional

order or fractional differential equations. The relation between the Rabotnov and Mittag-Leffler functions [19] can be written as follows:

$$\Phi_{\wp, \varsigma}(z) = z^{\wp} E_{1+\wp, 1+\wp}(\varsigma z^{1+\wp}),$$

where E is Mittag-Leffler and $\wp, \varsigma, z \in \mathbb{C}$. Several sufficient conditions are established for Mittag-Leffler functions to exhibit specific geometric properties, including univalence, starlikeness, convexity, and close-to-convexity, as well as for integral operators within the open unit disk. Additionally, subordination results, partial sums, and various other properties of the generalized Mittag-Leffler function are discussed (see [1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 11]) . It is clear that the Rabotnov function $\Phi_{\wp, \varsigma}(z)$ does not belong to A . Thus, it is natural to consider the normalization of the Rabotnov function for $\wp \geq 0$ and $\varsigma > 0$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}_{\wp, \varsigma}(z) &= z^{1/1+\wp} \Gamma(1+\wp) \Phi_{\wp, \varsigma}(z^{1/1+\wp}) \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\varsigma^{n-1} \Gamma(1+\wp)}{\Gamma((1+\wp)n)} z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that some special cases of $\mathbb{R}_{\wp, \varsigma}(z)$ are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}_{0, \frac{1}{3}}(z) &= z e^{-z/3}, \\ \mathbb{R}_{1, \frac{1}{2}}(z) &= \sqrt{2z} \sinh \sqrt{\frac{z}{2}}, \\ \mathbb{R}_{1, -\frac{1}{4}}(z) &= 2\sqrt{z} \sin \frac{\sqrt{z}}{2}, \\ \mathbb{R}_{1, 1}(z) &= \sqrt{z} \sinh \sqrt{z}, \\ \mathbb{R}_{1, 2}(z) &= \frac{\sqrt{2z} \sinh \sqrt{2z}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Geometric properties including starlikeness, convexity, close-to-convexity for the normalized Rabotnov function $\mathbb{R}_{\wp, \varsigma}(z)$ were investigated by Eker and Ece in [8] and also see [15, 16, 21] .

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\wp}^{\varsigma} u(z) &= \mathbb{R}_{\wp, \varsigma}(z) * u(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\varsigma^{n-1} \Gamma(1+\wp)}{\Gamma((1+\wp)n)} a_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma) a_n z^n \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $\Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma) = \frac{\varsigma^{n-1} \Gamma(1+\wp)}{\Gamma((1+\wp)n)}$ and $\wp \geq 0, \varsigma > 0$.

Now we define the following new subclass motivated by Amourah et al. [3], Eker et al. [8, 9], Frasin [10], Thirupathi Reddy and Venkateswarlu [27].

Definition 1.3. The function $u(z)$ of the form (1) is in the class $\Omega_{\wp}^{\varsigma}(\mu, \gamma, k)$, if it satisfies the inequality

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{z(\Delta_{\wp}^{\varsigma} u(z))'}{(1-\mu)z + \mu \Delta_{\wp}^{\varsigma} u(z)} - \gamma \right\} > k \left| \frac{z(\Delta_{\wp}^{\varsigma} u(z))'}{(1-\mu)z + \mu \Delta_{\wp}^{\varsigma} u(z)} - 1 \right|$$

for $0 \leq \mu \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ and $k \geq 0$.

Further we define $T\Omega_{\wp}^{\varsigma, k}(\mu, \gamma, k) = \Omega_{\wp}^{\varsigma}(\mu, \gamma, k) \cap T$.

The aim of present paper is to study the coefficient bounds, radii of close-to-convex and starlikeness convex linear combinations and integral means inequalities of the $T\Omega_{\wp}^{\varsigma}(\mu, \gamma, k)$.

2. COEFFICIENT BOUNDS

Theorem 2.1. *Let the function $u(z)$ of the form (1) be in $\Omega_{\wp}^{\varsigma}(\mu, \gamma, k)$. Then*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)] \Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma) |a_n| \leq 1 - \gamma \quad (10)$$

where $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $k \geq 0$ and $\Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma)$ is given by (9).

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$k \left| \frac{z(\Delta_{\wp}^{\varsigma} u(z))'}{(1-\mu)z + \mu \Delta_{\wp}^{\varsigma} u(z)} - 1 \right| - \Re \left\{ \frac{z(\Delta_{\wp}^{\varsigma} u(z))'}{(1-\mu)z + \mu \Delta_{\wp}^{\varsigma} u(z)} - 1 \right\} \leq 1 - \gamma.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & k \left| \frac{z(\Delta_{\wp}^{\varsigma} u(z))'}{(1-\mu)z + \mu \Delta_{\wp}^{\varsigma} u(z)} - 1 \right| - \Re \left\{ \frac{z(\Delta_{\wp}^{\varsigma} u(z))'}{(1-\mu)z + \mu \Delta_{\wp}^{\varsigma} u(z)} - 1 \right\} \\ & \leq (1+k) \left| \frac{z(\Delta_{\wp}^{\varsigma} u(z))'}{(1-\mu)z + \mu \Delta_{\wp}^{\varsigma} u(z)} - 1 \right| \\ & \leq \frac{(1+k) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-\mu) \Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma) |a_n| |z|^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu \Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma) |a_n| |z|^{n-1}} \\ & \leq \frac{(1+k) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-\mu) \Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma) |a_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu \Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma) |a_n|}. \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is bounded above by $(1-\gamma)$, if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)] \Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma) |a_n| \leq 1 - \gamma$$

and the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ and $k \geq 0$. Then a function u of the form (4) to be in the class $T\Omega_{\wp}^{\varsigma}(\mu, \gamma, k)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)] \Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma) |a_n| \leq 1 - \gamma \quad (11)$$

where $\Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma)$ is given by (9).

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.1, we need only to prove the necessity. If $u \in T\Omega_{\wp}^{\varsigma}(\mu, \gamma, k)$ and z is real, then

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma) a_n z^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu \Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma) a_n z^{n-1}} - \gamma \right\} > k \left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-\mu) \Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma) a_n z^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu \Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma) a_n z^{n-1}} \right|.$$

Letting $z \rightarrow 1$ along the real axis, we obtain the desired inequality

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+1)] \Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma) |a_n| \leq 1 - \gamma.$$

□

Corollary 2.1. *If $u(z) \in T\Omega_{\wp}^{\varsigma}(\mu, \gamma, k)$, then*

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1 - \gamma}{[n(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)] \Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma)} \quad (12)$$

where $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $k \geq 0$ and $\Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma)$ is given by (9). Equality holds for the function

$$u(z) = z - \frac{1 - \gamma}{[n(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)] \Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma)} z^n. \quad (13)$$

Theorem 2.3. *Let $u_1(z) = z$ and*

$$u_n(z) = z - \frac{1 - \gamma}{[n(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)] \Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma)} z^n, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (14)$$

Then $u(z) \in T\Omega_{\wp}^{\varsigma}(\mu, \gamma, k)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$u(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n u_n(z), \quad w_n \geq 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n = 1. \quad (15)$$

Proof. Suppose $u(z)$ can be written as in (15). Then

$$u(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} w_n \frac{1 - \gamma}{[n(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)] \Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma)} z^n.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} w_n \frac{(1 - \gamma)[n(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)] \Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma)}{(1 - \gamma)[n(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)] \Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma)} \\ &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} w_n = 1 - w_1 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $u(z) \in T\Omega_{\wp}^{\varsigma}(\mu, \gamma, k)$.

Conversely, let $u(z) \in T\Omega_{\wp}^{\varsigma}(\mu, \gamma, k)$. Then by using (12), we get

$$w_n = \frac{[n(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)] \Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma)}{(1 - \gamma)} a_n, \quad n \geq 2$$

and $w_1 = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} w_n$. Then we have $u(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n u_n(z)$ and hence this completes the proof of theorem. □

Theorem 2.4. *The class $T\Omega_{\wp}^{\varsigma}(\mu, \gamma, k)$ is a convex set.*

Proof. Let the function

$$u_j(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,j} z^n, \quad a_{n,j} \geq 0, \quad j = 1, 2 \quad (16)$$

be in the class $T\Omega_{\wp}^{\varsigma}(\mu, \gamma, k)$. It is sufficient to show that the function $h(z)$ defined by

$$h(z) = \xi u_1(z) + (1 - \xi) u_2(z), \quad 0 \leq \xi < 1,$$

in the class $T\Omega_{\wp}^{\varsigma}(\mu, \gamma, k)$. Since

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\xi a_{n,1} + (1 - \xi)a_{n,2}]z^n.$$

An easy computation with the aid of Theorem 2.2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)]\xi\Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma)a_{n,1} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)](1-\xi)\Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma)a_{n,2} \\ & \leq \xi(1-\gamma) + (1-\xi)(1-\gamma) \\ & \leq (1-\gamma) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $h \in T\Omega_{\wp}^{\varsigma}(\mu, \gamma, k)$.

Hence $T\Omega_{\wp}^{\varsigma}(\mu, \gamma, k)$ is convex. □

3. RADII OF CLOSE-TO-CONVEXITY, STARLIKENESS AND CONVEXITY

In this section, we obtain the radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity for the class $T\Omega_{\wp}^{\varsigma}(\mu, \gamma, k)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let the function $u(z)$ defined by (4) belong to the class $T\Omega_{\wp}^{\varsigma}(\mu, \gamma, k)$. Then $u(z)$ is close-to-convex of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$) in the disc $|z| < r_1$, where*

$$r_1 = \inf_{n \geq 2} \left[\frac{(1-\delta) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)]\Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma)}{n(1-\gamma)} \right]^{1/n-1}, n \geq 2. \quad (17)$$

The result is sharp with the external function $u(z)$ is given by (14).

Proof. Given $u \in T$ and u is close-to-convex of order δ , we have

$$|u'(z) - 1| < 1 - \delta. \quad (18)$$

For the left hand side of (18), we have

$$|u'(z) - 1| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n|z|^{n-1}.$$

The last expression is less than $1 - \delta$

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n}{1-\delta} a_n |z|^{n-1} \leq 1.$$

Using the fact, that $u(z) \in T\Omega_{\wp}^{\varsigma}(\mu, \gamma, k)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[n(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)]\Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma)}{1-\gamma} a_n \leq 1.$$

We can see that (18) is true, if

$$\frac{n}{1-\delta} |z|^{n-1} \leq \frac{[n(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)]\Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma)}{1-\gamma}$$

or, equivalently

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(1-\delta)[n(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)]\Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma)}{n(1-\gamma)} \right\}^{1/n-1}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let the function $u(z)$ defined by (4) belong to the class $T\Omega_{\wp}^{\varsigma}(\mu, \gamma, k)$. Then $u(z)$ is starlike of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$) in the disc $|z| < r_2$, where*

$$r_2 = \inf_{n \geq 2} \left[\frac{(1-\delta) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)]\Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma)}{(n-\delta)(1-\gamma)} \right]^{1/n-1}. \quad (19)$$

The result is sharp with external function $u(z)$ is given by (14).

Proof. Given $u \in T$ and u is starlike of order δ , we have

$$\left| \frac{zu'(z)}{u(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \delta. \quad (20)$$

For the left hand side of (20), we have

$$\left| \frac{zu'(z)}{u(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n-1)a_n|z|^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n|z|^{n-1}}.$$

The last expression is less than $1 - \delta$ if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n-\delta}{1-\delta} a_n|z|^{n-1} < 1.$$

Using the fact that $u(z) \in T\Omega_{\wp}^{\varsigma}(\mu, \gamma, k)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[n(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)]\Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma)}{1-\gamma} a_n \leq 1.$$

We can say (20) is true, if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n-\delta}{1-\delta} |z|^{n-1} \leq \frac{[n(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)]\Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma)}{1-\gamma}$$

or equivalently

$$|z|^{n-1} \leq \frac{(1-\delta)[n(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)]\Theta(n, \wp, \varsigma)}{(n-\delta)(1-\gamma)}$$

which yields the starlikeness of the family. \square

4. INTEGRAL MEANS INEQUALITIES

In [24], Silverman found that the function $u_2(z) = z - \frac{z^2}{2}$ is often extremal over the family T . He applied this function to resolve his integral means inequality conjunctured [25] and settled in [26], that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |u(re^{i\varphi})|^\tau d\varphi \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |u_2(re^{i\varphi})|^\tau d\varphi$$

for all $u \in T$, $\tau > 0$ and $0 < r < 1$. In [26], he also proved his conjecture for the subclasses $T^*(\alpha)$ and $C(\alpha)$ of T .

Now, we prove Silverman's conjecture for the class of functions $T\Omega_{\varphi}^s(\mu, \gamma, k)$. We need the concept of subordination between analytic functions and a subordination theorem of Littlewood [18].

Two functions u and v , which are analytic in \mathbb{U} , the function u is said to be subordinate to v in \mathbb{U} , if there exists a function w analytic in \mathbb{U} with $w(0) = 0$, $|w(z)| < 1$, ($z \in \mathbb{U}$) such that $u(z) = v(w(z))$, ($z \in \mathbb{U}$). We denote this subordination by $u(z) \prec v(z)$, (\prec denote subordination).

Lemma 4.1. *If the function u and v are analytic in \mathbb{U} with $u(z) \prec v(z)$, then for $\tau > 0$ and $z = re^{i\varphi}$, $0 < r < 1$*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |v(re^{i\varphi})|^\tau d\varphi \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |u(re^{i\varphi})|^\tau d\varphi.$$

Now, we discuss the integral means inequalities for functions u in $T\Omega_{\varphi}^s(\mu, \gamma, k)$.

Theorem 4.1. $u \in T\Omega_{\varphi}^s(\mu, \gamma, k)$, $0 \leq \mu < 1$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and $u_2(z)$ be defined by

$$u_2(z) = z - \frac{1-\gamma}{\phi(2)} z^2(\phi(2)), \quad (21)$$

where $\phi(n) = [n(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+1)]\Theta(n, \varphi, \varsigma)$.

Proof. For $u(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, (21) is equivalent to

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1} \right|^\tau d\varphi \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \frac{1-\gamma}{\phi(2)} z \right|^\tau d\varphi.$$

By Lemma 4.1, it is enough to prove that

$$1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1} \prec 1 - \frac{1-\gamma}{\phi(2)} z.$$

Assuming

$$1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1} = 1 - \frac{1-\gamma}{\phi(2)} w(z)$$

and using (11), we obtain

$$|w(z)| = \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(n)}{1-\gamma} a_n z^{n-1} \right| \leq |z| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(n)}{1-\gamma} a_n \leq |z| < 1,$$

where

$$\phi(n) = [n(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+1)]\Theta(n, \varphi, \varsigma).$$

This completes the proof. \square

5. NEIGHBORHOOD PROPERTY

Following the earlier investigations by Darwish et al. [6], Goodman [12], Kazimogulu [17] and Ruscheweyh [23], and others. We define the (n, δ) - neighborhood of a function $u(z) \in T$ by

$$N_\delta(u) = \left\{ g \in T : g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \text{ and } \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n - b_n| \leq \delta \right\}. \quad (22)$$

In particular, if $e(z) = z$, we have

$$N_\delta(e) = \left\{ g \in T : g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \text{ and } \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |b_n| \leq \delta \right\}. \quad (23)$$

Now we determine the neighborhood for each of the class $T\Omega_\varphi^\zeta(\mu, \gamma, k)$ which we define as follows.

A function $u \in T$ is said to be in the class $T\Omega_\varphi^\zeta(\mu, \gamma, k, \xi)$ if there exists a function $g \in T\Omega_\varphi^\zeta(\mu, \gamma, k)$ such that

$$\left| \frac{u(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \xi, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, 0 \leq \xi < 1). \quad (24)$$

Theorem 5.1. *If $g \in T\Omega_\varphi^\zeta(\mu, \gamma, k)$ and*

$$\xi = 1 - \frac{\delta[2(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+1)]\Theta(2, \wp, \varsigma)}{2[(2(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)) - (1-\gamma)]} \quad (25)$$

then $N_\delta(g) \subset T\Omega_\varphi^\zeta(\mu, \gamma, k, \xi)$.

Proof. Suppose $u(z) \in N_\delta(g)$. We find from (2.1) that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n - b_n| \leq \delta$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n - b_n| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (26)$$

Next, since $g(z) \in T\Omega_\varphi^\zeta(\mu, \gamma, k)$, we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |b_n| \leq \frac{1-\gamma}{[2(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)]\Theta(2, \wp, \varsigma)} \quad (27)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{u(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| &< \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n - b_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |b_n|} \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{2} \left[\frac{[2(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)]\Theta(2, \wp, \varsigma)}{[2(1+k) - \mu(\gamma+k)]\Theta(2, \wp, \varsigma) - (1-\gamma)} \right] \\ &\leq 1 - \xi, \end{aligned}$$

provided that ξ is given by (25). Thus, $u(z) \in T\Omega_\varphi^\zeta(\mu, \gamma, k, \xi)$ for ξ given by (25). This completes the proof of the Theorem. \square

Acknowledgement. The authors express their sincere thanks to the esteemed referee(s) and editors for their careful reading and valuable suggestions and comments, which have helped improve the presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahmad, M. and Frasin, B. A., (2021), G. Murugusundaramoorthy and A. Alkhazaleh, An application of Mittag-Leffler-type poisson distribution on certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with conic domains, *Heliyon*, 7, e08109.
- [2] Al-Hawary, T., Frasin, B. A., Wanas, A. K. and Oros, G. I., (2023), On Rabotnov fractional exponential function for bi-univalent subclasses, *Asian-Euro. J. of Math.*, 16(12), Art. Id. 2350217 (13 pages).
- [3] Amourah, A., Aldawish, I., Alhindi, K. R. and Frasin, B. A., (2022), An application of Rabotnov functions on certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions, *Axioms*, 11 (12), Art. Id. 680.
- [4] Attiya, A. A., (2016), Some applications of Mittag-Leffler function in the unit disk, *Filomat*, 30, pp. 2075-2081.
- [5] Bansal, D. and Prajapat, J. K., (2016), Certain geometric properties of the Mittag-Leffler functions, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, 16, pp. 338-350.
- [6] Darwish, H. E., Lashin, A. Y. and Hassan, B. F., (2015), Neighborhood properties of generalized Bessel function, *Global J. of Sci. Fron. Res. (F)*., 15(9), pp. 21-26.
- [7] Deniz, E. and Kazimoglu, S., (2021), Hardy space of Rabotnov function., 5th International conference on mathematics, In Proceedings of the An Istanbul Meeting for World Mathematicians, Istanbul, Turkey, 1-3 December 2021.
- [8] Eker, S. S. and Ece, S., (2022), Geometric properties of normalized Rabotnov function, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.*, 51, pp. 1248-1259.
- [9] Eker, S. S., Seker, B. and Ece, S., (2023), On normalized Rabotnov function associated with certain subclasses of analytic functions, *Probl. Anal. Issues Anal.*, 12, pp. 97-106
- [10] Frasin, B. A., (2023), Partial sums of generalized Rabotnov function, *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex.*, 29, Art. No. 65.
- [11] Garg, M., Manohar, P. and Kalla, S. L., (2013), A Mittag-Leffler-type function of two variables, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, 24, pp. 934-944.
- [12] Goodman, A. W., (1957), Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves., *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8, 1957, pp. 598-601.
- [13] Goodman, A. W. , (1991), On uniformly convex functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 56, pp. 87-92.
- [14] Kanas, S. and Wisniowska, A., (1999), Conic regions and K-uniform convexity, *Comput. Appl. Math.*, 105, pp. 327-336.
- [15] Kazimoglu, S. and Deniz, E., (2022), Partial sums of the Rabotnov function, *Acta Univ. Sapi. Math.*, 14(2), pp. 250- 261
- [16] Kazimoglu, S., Dutta, H. and Deniz, E., (2024), Sufficient conditions for generalized integral operators involving the Rabotnov function. In: Tripathy, B. C., Dutta, H., Paikray, S. K., Jena, B. B. (eds) , *Operators, Ineq. and Appr. Ind. and Appl. Math.*, Springer, Singapore.
- [17] Kazimoglu, S., (2021), Neighborhoods of certain classes of analytic functions defined by Miller-Ross function, *Caucasian J. of Sci.*, 8(2), pp. 165-172.
- [18] Littlewood, J. E., (1925), On inequalities in the theory of functions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 23(2), pp. 481-519.
- [19] Mittag-Leffler, G. M., (1903), Sur la nouvelle fonction $E(x)$, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris.*, 137, pp. 554-558.
- [20] Rabotnov, Y. N. , (1948), Equilibrium of elastic media with an aftereffect, *Prikl. Matem. Mekh.*, 12, pp. 53-62.
- [21] Raza, M., Breaz, D., Mushtaq, S., Cotirlă, L. M., Tawfiq, F. M. O. and Rapeanu, E., (2024), Geometric properties and Hardy spaces of Rabotnov fractional exponential functions, *Fractal Fract.*, 8(1), Art. Id. 5.
- [22] Ronning, F., (1993), Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 118, pp. 189-196.
- [23] Ruschweyh, St., (1981), Neighbourhoods of univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 81, pp. 521-527.
- [24] Silverman, H., (1975), Univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 51, pp. 109-116.
- [25] Silverman, H., (1991), A survey with open problems on univalent functions whose coefficient are negative, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 21(3), pp. 1099-1125.

- [26] Silverman, H., (1997), Integral means for univalent function with negative coefficients, Houston J. Math., 23(1), pp. 169-174.
- [27] Thirupathi Reddy, P., Venkateswarlu, B., (2019), A certain subclass of uniformly convex functions defined by Bessel functions, *Proyecciones (Antofagasta)*, 38(4), pp. 719-731.



Aditya Lagad received his master degree in Mathematics from S.R.T.M.U. Nanded in 2022. He qualified NET, GATE and SET (M.S) in the year 2022. He is a research scholar from N.E.S. Science College, Nanded (M.S) under the Guidance of Prof. R.N.Ingle. His area of interests is geometric function theory .



R. N. Ingle received his master degree in mathematics in 1991 with Ist division from M.U. Aurangabad, India. He received his Ph.D degree in 2006 from S.R.T.M.U. Nanded, Maharashtra, India. At present, he is working as Professor, Head of the Department, Department of Mathematics at B.S.College, Basmathnagar, India. He has published nearly 93 research articles. His area of interests are Complex Analysis and Differential Equations. He supervised Ph.D. students.

Pinninti Thirupathi Reddy for the photography and short autobiography, see TWMS J. App. and Eng. Math. V.10, N.2.

Venkateswarlu Bolineni for the photography and short autobiography, see TWMS J. App. and Eng. Math. V.10, N.2.