TWMS J. App. and Eng. Math. V.16, N.2, 2026, pp. 236-248

ON SOME FAMILIES OF LINEAR DIOPHANTINE GRAPHS

O. M. SALAMA [1,*], M. A. SEOUD [1], M. ANWAR [1], A. ELSONBATY [1], §

Abstract. Linear Diophantine labeling of graphs is an extension of the prime labeling of graphs. In this manuscript, we introduce some necessary conditions for determining whether a given graph admits Linear Diophantine labeling or not, and if yes, we will find such a Linear Diophantine labeling. We also study specific families of graphs, including the Complete graphs K_n; Wheel graphs W_n and $W_{n,n}$; Circulant graphs $C_n(j)$; Path graphs $P_n(j)$; Cartesian product graphs $C_3 \times C_m$; Normal Product graphs $P_n \circ P_n$; Corona graphs $G \odot H$; Double Fan graphs $g_n = P_n + \overline{K_2}$; Power graphs P_n^2 and P_n^3, to ascertain their Linear Diophantine nature. We refer to a Linear Diophantine Graph as an LDG.

Keywords: Graph labeling, Prime labeling, Linear Diophantine labeling, Families of Linear Diophantine graphs.

AMS Subject Classification: 05C78

1. Introduction

In this work, we deal with finite, simple and undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, where $V = V(G)$ denotes the vertex set and $E = E(G)$ denotes the edge set. $|V| = n$ vertices and $|E| = m$ edges. The term $|V|$ is called the order of the graph G, while $|E|$ is called the size of the graph G. A set of vertices $S \subset V(G)$ is said to be independent if no two vertices u and v in S are adjacent in G. The maximum number of vertices of an independent set in G is called the vertex independence number of G or simply independence number, usually denoted by $\alpha(G)$ [1, 6, 9, 11]. The Cartesian product $G_1 \times G_2$ of G_1 with n vertices and G_2 with m vertices is the graph with vertex set $V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$ and edge set

$$\{(u_1, v_1)(u_2, v_2) : (u_1 = u_2 \text{ and } v_1v_2 \in E(G_2)) \quad \text{or} \quad (v_1 = v_2 \text{ and } u_1u_2 \in E(G_1))\},$$

this means that we have n copies of G_2 and m copies of G_1 [8, 13]. The corona graph $G_1 \odot G_2$ of graphs G_1 and G_2 obtained by taking one copy of G_1(which has n_1 vertices)

[1] Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo 11566, Egypt.
e-mail: omarsalama@sci.asu.edu.eg; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9829-2846.
[*] Corresponding author.
e-mail: M.Seoud@sci.asu.edu.eg; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3216-5873 .
e-mail: mohamedanwar@sci.asu.edu.eg; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0668-4071 .
e-mail: ahmedelsonbaty@sci.asu.edu.eg; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9001-4961.
§ Manuscript received: September 11, 2024; accepted: January 06, 2025.
TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.16, No.2;

and n_1 copies of G_2(which has n_2 vertices), and then joining the i^{th} vertex of $G_1 \neq 0$ to every vertex in the i^{th} copy of G_2, $G_1 \odot G_2$ has $n_1.(n_2+1)$ [8, 13].

Definition 1.1. *The normal product $G_1 \circ G_2$ of two graphs G_1 and G_2 is the graph with vertex-set $V(G_1 \circ G_2) = V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$, where*

$$V(G_1) = \{u_1, u_1, \ldots, u_n\},\ V(G_2) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m\},$$

and $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$ are adjacent in $G_1 \circ G_2$ if and only if

(1) *$x_1 = x_2$ and y_1 is adjacent to y_2 in G_2*
(2) *$y_1 = y_2$ and x_1 is adjacent to x_2 in G_1*
(3) *x_1 is adjacent to x_2 in G_1 and y_1 is adjacent to y_2 in G_2.*

The k^{th} power of a graph G, denoted as G^k, is a graph with the same vertex set as G, where two vertices are adjacent if their distance in G is at most k [1]. Powers of graphs are referred to using terminology similar to that of exponentiation of numbers : G^2 is called the square of G, G^3 is called the cube of G, etc. The k^{th} power of a graph G contains all the original edges of this graph G [1]. The Diameter of a graph G is the maximum distance between pairs of vertices in this graph G [1, 2, 11, 22]. A circulant graph $C_n(j)$ of order n, for a fixed j is a super graph of the cycle graph C_n, defined as follows:

$$V(C_n(j)) = V(C_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\},$$

and edge set

$$E(C_n(j)) = E(C_n) \cup \{v_i v_{i+j(modn)} : i \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, n\}\}, j \leq \frac{n}{2}$$

[10]. A graph $P_n(j)$ of order n, for a fixed j is a super graph of the path graph P_n, defined as follows:

$$V(P_n(j)) = V(P_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\},$$

and edge set

$$E(P_n(j)) = E(P_n) \cup \{v_i v_{i+j(modn)} : i \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, n\}\},\ j \leq \frac{n}{2}$$

[10]. The wheel graph W_n, denoted as $C_n + K_1$ has $n+1$ vertices [5, 8].

Definition 1.2. [5, 8, 23]. *The double wheel graph is a graph consisting of two cycles of vertices connected to a common center (hub). For every $n \geq 4, |V(W_{n,n})| = 2n+1$ and*

$$\alpha(W_{n,n}) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } n \text{ even} \\ n-1 & \text{if } n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

A triangular snake TS'_n is obtained from a path $P_n = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, \ldots, u_n\}$ by joining u_i and u_{i+1} to a new vertex v_i, where $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and joining v_i to v_{i+1}, $1 \leq i \leq n-2$, TS'_n has $2n-1$ vertices [6].

The terminology and notations in this manuscript follow from Harary [11] and Allan Bickel [1]. Graph labeling involves assigning real values (usually integers) to vertices or edges or both while satisfying certain conditions. The literature in this field dates back to the mid-1960s with the seminal paper by Rosa [14] includes thousands of papers covering hundreds of methods of labelings.

Definition 1.3. [21] *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph of order n. The graph G is called a prime graph if there exists a bijective map $f : V \longrightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $(f(u), f(v)) = 1$ for all $uv \in E$, i.e., $f(u)$ and $f(v)$ are relatively prime, this bijective map f is referred to as a prime labeling of G.*

The concept of prime labeling was introduced by Roger Entringer and discussed in a paper by Tout [21]. Vertex prime labeling was also discussed in a paper by Deretsky [4]. Seoud and Youssef discussed necessary and sufficient conditions for prime labeling [19]. Many researchers have studied prime graphs and made significant contributions to the field. In 1994, Fu and Huang proved that the path P_n on n vertices is a prime graph [7]. In 1991, Deretsky et al. proved that the cycle C_n on n vertices is a prime graph [4]. In 1998, S. Lee et al. showed that the Wheel W_n is a prime graph if and only if n is even [12]. Around 1980 Roger Entringer conjectured that all trees have prime labeling, but this conjecture remains unsettled to this day.

Definition 1.4. [20] *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph of order n. The graph G is called Linear Diophantine (LD), if there exists a bijective map $f : V \longrightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $(f(u), f(v)) \mid n$ for all $uv \in E$, where $(f(u), f(v))$ is the greatest common divisor of $f(u)$ and $f(v)$, this bijective map f is referred to as a Linear Diophantine labeling of G.*

The notion of LD labeling is clearly an extension of the concept of prime labeling. The complete graph K_4 is LD but not prime and K_5 is not LD.

Lemma 1.1. [8] *If G and H are two graphs of n and m vertices respectively, then*

$$\alpha(G \odot H) = n\alpha(H)$$

Lemma 1.2. [6] *For every $n \geq 2$, the order of the maximum independent set for the normal product graph $P_n \circ P_n$ is $\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil^2, |V(P_n \circ P_n)| = n^2$.*

The independence numbers of circulant graphs satisfy the following relations.

Lemma 1.3. [6, 10] *Let C_n be the cycle graph on n vertices, $C_n(j)$ be the circulant graph with one jump $j \leq \frac{n}{2}$, then,*

(1) $\forall n \geq 3, \alpha(C_n(2)) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor$,
(2) $\forall$ *even number* $j \leq \frac{n}{2}, \alpha(C_n(j)) < \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$,
(3) $\forall$ *odd number* $j \leq \frac{n}{2}, \alpha(C_n(j)) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \right\rfloor, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$

The Gauss's Pi function $\pi(x)$ is defined as the number of primes not exceeding a non-negative real number x [3, 15]. In modular arithmetic, for integers a,b, and m where $m > 0$, we say that a is congruent to b modulo m if and only if m divides $a - b$, denoted as $a \equiv b \ (mod\ m)$ [3, 15]. The greatest common divisor of two integers a and b, denoted as (a, b), is the largest positive integer that divides both a and b [3, 15]. If $(a, b) = 1$ we say that a and b are relatively prime. (See [3]). In this paper, we follow the basic definitions and notations of number theory as presented in [3] and [15].

Theorem 1.1. *(Ramanujan's theorem)* [16, 18]
Let $\pi(x)$ denote the number of primes not exceeding x.
Then $\pi(x) - \pi(x/2) \geq 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \ldots,$ if $x \geq 2, 11, 17, 29, 41, \ldots$, respectively.

Definition 1.5. [17, 20] *For a given prime p, the $p-$adic valuation ν_p is a function from $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{N}$ defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\nu_p(n) := t$, where $p^t | n$ and $p^{t+1} \nmid n$, $t > 0$. Moreover, a critical prime power of n with respect to p denoted by $cr(p, n) = p^{\nu'_p(n)}$ is the least prime power of a prime p which does not divide n, where $\nu'_p(n) := \nu_p(n) + 1$.*

Theorem 1.2 (Necessary condition 1)**.** [20] *Suppose G is a simple graph with n vertices and m edges. If $\alpha(G) < \max\limits_{1<p<n/2} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^{\nu'_p(n)}} \right\rfloor$, where $v'_p(n) = \nu_p(n) + 1$, then G is not an LDG.*

Theorem 1.3 (Necessary Condition 2)**.** *Let G be a graph with n vertices. When n is an odd number, if $\alpha(G) < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, then G is not an LDG.*

Proof. Since the number of vertices of even labels in $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ is $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, where n is odd, and $\alpha(G) < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, at least two vertices of even labels, say x and y, must be adjacent, hence $(f(x), f(y))$ is even, which does not divide n, so the graph G is not LD. □

Theorem 1.4 (Necessary condition 3)**.** *Let G be a graph with n vertices and n is even. If $n \equiv 2 \mod 6$ or $n \equiv 4 \mod 6$, $\alpha(G) < \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$, then G is not LDG.*

Proof. It is a special case from Theorem 1.2, since 3 is the least prime power that does not divide such n. □

The following three lemmas can be proven using mathematical induction.

Lemma 1.4. $\max\{\lfloor a_1 \rfloor, \lfloor a_2 \rfloor, \ldots, \lfloor a_n \rfloor\} = \lfloor \max\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\} \rfloor$, $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Lemma 1.5. *Let* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\frac{n}{a_i} \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq m$, *then* $\max\{\frac{n}{a_1}, \frac{n}{a_2}, \ldots, \frac{n}{a_m}\} = \frac{n}{\min\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m\}}$.

Lemma 1.6. $\max\limits_{2 \leq p \leq n/2} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^{\nu'_p(n)}} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \max\limits_{2 \leq p \leq n/2} \left\{ \frac{n}{p^{\nu'_p(n)}} \right\} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{\min\limits_{2 \leq p \leq n/2} \left\{ p^{\nu'_p(n)} \right\}} \right\rfloor$, $\forall n \geq 4$, $p \in \mathbb{P}$.

2. Main Results

Lemma 2.1. *For a positive integer n, $4\sqrt{n} \leq n$ if and only if $n \geq 16$.*

Proof. If $4\sqrt{n} \leq n$, then, by squaring, $16n \leq n^2$. Therefore, for $n \neq 0, 16 \leq n$. Conversely, if $n \geq 16$, then, by multiplying by $n \neq 0, n^2 \geq 16n$. Consequently $n \geq 4\sqrt{n}$. □

Lemma 2.2. *For every $n > 6$, except $n \in \{9, 10\}$, there exists at least one prime number p, $\sqrt{n} < p < \frac{n}{2}$ such that $p \nmid n$.*

Proof. We have two cases:
Case 1. Let $n \geq 22$, Ramanujan's theorem (Theorem 1.1) ensures the existence of two primes p_1 and p_2 such that $\frac{n}{4} < p_1, p_2 < \frac{n}{2}$. Using (Lemma 2.1), we get $\sqrt{n} < p_1, p_2 < \frac{n}{2}$, we will show that $p_1 \nmid n$ or $p_2 \nmid n$. Assume for contradiction that both p_1 and p_2 divide n, i.e., $p_1 \mid n$ and $p_2 \mid n$. Since $\gcd(p_1, p_2) = 1$ (because they are prime numbers), we have $p_1 \cdot p_2 \mid n$. Now, since $p_1, p_2 > \sqrt{n}$, we can conclude that $p_1 \cdot p_2 > \sqrt{n} \cdot \sqrt{n} = n$, implies that $p_1 \cdot p_2 > n$, i.e., $p_1 \cdot p_2 \nmid n$, this contradicts that $p_1 \cdot p_2 \mid n$. Therefore, if $p_1, p_2 > \sqrt{n}$, it follows that $p_1 \nmid n$ or $p_2 \nmid n$.
Case 2. This lemma is also valid for $n < 22$, except $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10\}$ (This can be easily verified by direct calculation).

□

Lemma 2.3. *For $n \geq 4$ The vertex independence number of the cubic power of the Path graph P_n^3 which is denoted by $\alpha(P_n^3)$ is equal to $\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil$.*

Proof. Using mathematical induction. □

Theorem 2.1. *The complete graph K_n is LD if and only if $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$.*

Proof. Suppose, by contrapositive, that $n \notin \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$. Our goal is to demonstrate that K_n is not an LDG. According to Ramanujan's Theorem 1.1, we are guaranteed the existence of at least two prime numbers, denoted as p_1 and p_2 by utilizing the equation $\pi(x) - \pi(\frac{x}{2}) \geq 2$ for $x \geq 11$. Furthermore, from lemma 2.2, since the primes p_1 and p_2 satisfy $p_1, p_2 > \sqrt{n}$, it follows that $p_1 \nmid n$ or $p_2 \nmid n$. By selecting two primes within the

range of $n/4$ and $n/2$, as per Ramanujan's Theorem 1.1, we obtain further insight. Using Lemma 2.1, we get $\sqrt{n} < \frac{n}{4}$. Additionally, according to Ramanujan 1.1, if $n \geq 22$, then $\pi(n/2) - \pi(n/4) \geq 2$, ensuring the existence of two primes p_1 and p_2 between $n/4$ and $n/2$, with $2p_1, 2p_2 < n$ for all $n \geq 22$. This implies that $p_1, p_2 > \frac{n}{4}$ for all $n \geq 22$. Consequently, we have $p_1 \nmid n$ or $p_2 \nmid n$ (Lemma 2.2). Let p_1 be a prime number such that $p_1 \nmid n$ and $\frac{n}{4} < p_1 < \frac{n}{2} < n$, $f(u)$ and $f(v)$ be the labels of u and v, respectively in $V(K_n)$, such that $f(u) = p_1 < n$ and $f(v) = 2p_1 < n$. Therefore, $(f(u), f(v)) = (p_1, 2p_1) = p_1 \nmid n$ for all $n \geq 22$. Hence, for all $n \geq 22$, we conclude that K_n is not an LDG. Finally, it is easy to show that K_n is not an LDG for $n = 5$ and $7 \leq n \leq 21$.
Conversely, it is evident that the proof also works in the other direction. □

Theorem 2.2. *The wheel W_n is an LDG for all $n > 1$.*

Proof. Let $V(W_n) = \{u_0; u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$, where u_0 is the central vertex for W_n. There exists a labeling function $f : V(W_n) \longrightarrow \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, n+1\}$, which satisfies the condition in Definition 1.4, the labeling function can be defined as follows:
$f(u_0) = 1, f(u_1) = 2, f(u_2) = 3, \ldots, f(u_{n-1}) = n, f(u_n) = n + 1$ (Figure 1).



FIGURE 1. An LD labeling for the wheel graph W_n, for all $n > 1$.

Since

$$(f(u_1), f(u_n)) = (2, n+1) = \begin{cases} 2 \text{ if } n \text{ is odd} \\ 1 \text{ if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases},$$

which divides the order of W_n. The remaining labels are assigned as consecutive numbers such that their greatest common divisor is equal to 1, hence, W_n is an LDG for all $n > 1$. □

Theorem 2.3. *The double wheel $W_{n,n}$ is an LDG if and only if n is even, $n \geq 4$.*

Proof. Let $V(W_{n,n}) = \{u_0, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n; v_1, v_2 \ldots, v_n\}$, where u_0 is the central vertex for $W_{n,n}$. Case 1. Let n be odd. $\alpha(W_{n,n}) = n - 1 < n = \left\lfloor \frac{2n+1}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{|V|}{2} \right\rfloor$. Therefore, from the necessary condition 2 (Theorem 1.3), the graph $W_{n,n}$ is not LD.
Case 2. Let n be even and $n \geq 4$, then there exists a labeling function
$f : V(W_{n,n}) \longrightarrow \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, |V(W_{n,n})|\}$, which satisfies the condition in Definition 1.4, the labeling function can be defined as follows:
$f(u_0) = 1, f(u_1) = 2, f(u_2) = 3, \ldots, f(u_{n-1}) = n, f(u_n) = n + 1;\ f(v_1) = n + 2, f(v_2) = n + 3, \ldots, f(v_{n-1}) = 2n, f(v_n) = 2n + 1$ (Figure 2).



FIGURE 2. An LD labeling for the double wheel graph $W_{n,n}$ for n is even and $n \geq 4$.

Since $(f(u_1), f(u_n)) = (2, n+1) = 1 \mid |V(W_{n,n})|$ whenever n is even,

$$(f(v_1), f(v_n)) = (n+2, 2n+1) = \begin{cases} 3 \text{ if } n \equiv 4 \mod 6 \\ 1 \text{ if } n \not\equiv 4 \mod 6 \end{cases}.$$

In case of $n \not\equiv 4 \mod 6$ and n is even, then we have either, $n \equiv 0 \mod 6$,i.e. $n = 6k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$,therefore, $(2n+1, n+2) = (2(6k)+1, 6k+2) = (12k+1, 6k+2) = 1$. Or, $n \equiv 2 \mod 6$, i.e., $n = 2+6k', k' \in \mathbb{N}$, therefore, $(2n+1, n+2) = (5+12k', 4+6k') = 1$.

In case of $n \equiv 4 \mod 6$ and n is even, $(2n+1, n+2) = 3 \mid |V(W_{n,n})| = 2n+1$, since $n \equiv 4 \mod 6$, i.e. $n = 4+6k'', k'' \geq 0$. Thus, $|V(W_{n,n})| = 2n+1 = 2(4+6k'')+1 = 8+12k''+1 = 3(3+4k'') = 3t$, where $t = 3+4k'' \in \mathbb{N}$, therefore $(2n+1, n+2) = 3 \mid |V(W_{n,n})|$. The remaining labels are assigned as consecutive numbers such that their greatest common divisor is equal to 1, hence, $W_{n,n}$ is an LDG for all n is an even number, $n \geq 4$. □

Theorem 2.4. *The circulant graph $C_n(2)$ with jump $= 2$ and $n \geq 3$ is LD if and only if n is even.*

Proof. Suppose, by contrapositive, that n is odd. From lemma 1.3, $\forall n \geq 3$, $\alpha(C_n(2)) = \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$. Additionally, $\left\lfloor \frac{|V(C_n(2))|}{2} \right\rfloor = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. *Therefore* $\alpha(C_n(2)) < \left\lfloor \frac{|V(C_n(2))|}{2} \right\rfloor$. Hence, from the necessary condition 2 (Theorem 1.3), $C_n(2)$ is not an LDG.
Conversely, let n be an even number. We want to prove that $C_n(2)$ is an LDG. Let $V(C_n(2)) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ and

$$E(C_n(2)) = E(C_n) \cup \{v_i v_{i+2(modn)} : i \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, n\}\}.$$

There exists a labeling function $f : V(C_n(2)) \longrightarrow \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, n\}$, which can be defined as follows:
$f(v_1) = 1, f(v_{2i+1}) = 2i+1, f(v_{2i}) = 2i$, for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{n-2}{2}, f(v_n) = n$ (Figure 3).



FIGURE 3. An LD labeling for the circulant graph $C_n(2)$ for n is even and $n \geq 3$.

Since n is even, $(f(v_2), f(v_n)) = (2, n) = 2 \mid n$, $(f(v_{2i}), f(v_{2i+2})) = (2i, 2i+2) = 2 \mid n$, $1 \leq i \leq (n-2)/2$, $(f(v_{n-2}), f(v_n)) = (n-2, n) = 2 \mid n$. The remaining labels are assigned as consecutive numbers such that their greatest common divisor is equal to 1, hence $C_n(2)$ is an LDG. □

Theorem 2.5. *The cartesian product of the graph $C_3 \times C_m$ is LD if and only if m is an even number, $m \geq 3$.*

Proof. Suppose, by contrapositive, let m be odd and $m \geq 3$. In fact $|V(C_3 \times C_m)| = 3m$, and m is odd. Therefore, $\alpha(C_3 \times C_m) = m < \left\lfloor \frac{3m}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{|V(C_3 \times C_m)|}{2} \right\rfloor$, hence from the necessary condition 2 (Theorem 1.3), the graph $C_3 \times C_m$ is not LD for $m \geq 3$.
Conversely, let m be even, we want to prove that $C_3 \times C_m$ is an LDG.
Let $V(C_3 \times C_m) = \{u_0, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{m-1}; v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{m-1}; w_0, w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{m-1}\}$, there exists a labeling function $f : V(C_3 \times C_m) \longrightarrow \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, 3m\}$, the labeling function can be defined as follows: $f(u_i) = 3i + 1, f(v_i) = 3i + 2, f(w_i) = 3i + 3$, for $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$ (Figure 4).



FIGURE 4. An LD labeling for the graph $C_3 \times C_m$, m is even and $m \geq 3$.

Since $(f(w_i), f(w_{i+1})) = (3i + 3, 3i + 6) = 3 \mid 3m, 0 \leq i \leq m - 1$,

$$(f(u_i), f(w_i)) = (3i + 1, 3i + 3) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \text{ even} \\ 2 & \text{if } i \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

The remaining labels are assigned as consecutive numbers such that their greatest common divisor is equal to 1, hence, $C_3 \times C_m$ is an LDG. □

Theorem 2.6. *If the normal product graph $P_n \circ P_n$ is LD, then $n \in \{1,2,3\}$ or $n = 6k$ for $k \geq 1$.*

Proof. Suppose, by contrapositive, $n \notin \{1,2,3\}$ and $n \neq 6k$ for $k \geq 1$. We have two cases: Case 1. If n is odd and $n \neq 1,3$, i.e. $n = 2k'+1,\ k' > 1$. From lemma 1.2, $\alpha(P_n \circ P_n) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil^2, n = 2k'+1,\ k' > 1$, so, $\alpha(P_{2k'+1} \circ P_{2k'+1}) = (k')^2 + 2k' + 1,\ k' > 1,\ |V(P_n \circ P_n)| = n^2$, $|V(P_{2k'+1} \circ P_{2k'+1})| = 4(k')^2 + 4(k') + 1,\ k' > 1,\ \left\lfloor \frac{|V(P_{2k'+1} \circ P_{2k'+1})|}{2} \right\rfloor = 2(k')^2 + 2k', k' > 1$, $\alpha(P_{2k'+1} \circ P_{2k'+1}) = (k')^2 + 2k' + 1 < 2(k')^2 + 2k' = \left\lfloor \frac{|V(P_{2k'+1} \circ P_{2k'+1})|}{2} \right\rfloor,\ k' > 1$, hence from the necessary condition 2 (Theorem 1.3), the graph $P_n \circ P_n$ is not LD in this case. Case (2) : If n is even, $n \neq 2$ and $n \neq 6k$ for any k ,i.e. $n \not\equiv 0 \mod 6$, then we have two subcases: Subcase (i) If $n \equiv 2 \mod 6$, *i.e.* $n = 2 + 6.k'', k'' \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that :

$\alpha(P_{2+6k''} \circ P_{2+6k''}) = \lceil \frac{2+6k''}{2} \rceil^2 = 1 + 6k'' + 9(k'')^2, k'' \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case, from lemma 1.6, where $|V(P_n \circ P_n)| = n^2$, we have that

$$\max_{2 \leq p \leq \frac{(2+6k'')^2}{2}} \left\lfloor \frac{(2+6k'')^2}{p^{v'_p(2+6k'')^2}} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{(2+6k'')^2}{3} \right\rfloor, k'' \geq 1,$$

since 3 is the least prime power that does not divide such n, $\left\lfloor \frac{(2+6k'')^2}{3} \right\rfloor = 12(k'')^2 + 8k'' + 1$, $k'' \geq 1$, therefore $\alpha(P_{2+6k''} \circ P_{2+6k''}) = 9(k'')^2 + 6k'' + 1 < 12k''^2 + 8k'' + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{(2+6k'')^2}{3} \right\rfloor = \max_{2 \leq p \leq \frac{(2+6k'')^2}{2}} \left\lfloor \frac{(2+6k'')^2}{p^{\nu'_p(2+6k'')^2}} \right\rfloor$, hence, we have that $P_n \circ P_n$ is not an LDG, based on the necessary conditions 1 and 3 (Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4). Likewise subcase (ii) If $n \equiv 4$ *mod* 6, we similarly conclude from the necessary conditions 1 and 3 (Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4) that $P_n \circ P_n$ is not an LDG also in this case. We proved that for $n \notin \{1,2,3\}$ and $n \neq 6k$, $k \geq 1$, then $P_n \circ P_n$ is not an LDG. □

Remark 2.1. *It is clear to see that the graph $P_n \circ P_n$ is LD if $n \in \{1,2,3\}$ and $n = 6k$, for $k = 1$ and 2.*

Conjecture 2.7. *The normal product graph $P_{6k} \circ P_{6k}$ for $k \geq 3$ is LD.*

Theorem 2.8. *If G and H are two graphs with n and m vertices respectively and $\alpha(H) \leq \frac{m}{2}$ such that $n \geq 3$ is odd and $m \geq 2$ is even, then the corona $G \odot H$ is not an LDG. Moreover, this upper bound $\frac{m}{2}$ of $\alpha(H)$ is the best possible.*

Proof. Since $\alpha(G \odot H) = n\alpha(H)$ (lemma 1.1), $|V(G \odot H)| = n(1+m)$, $\alpha(G \odot H) = n\alpha(H) \leq n\frac{m}{2}, \left\lfloor \frac{|V(G \odot H)|}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{n(m+1)}{2} \right\rfloor = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + n\frac{m}{2}$, therefore $\alpha(G \odot H) \leq n\frac{m}{2} < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + n\frac{m}{2} = \left\lfloor \frac{|V(G \odot H)|}{2} \right\rfloor$, from the necessary condition 2 (Theorem 1.3), $G \odot H$ is not an LDG. Moreover, $\frac{m}{2}$ is the best possible upper bound to guarantee that $G \odot H$ is a non - LDG. Since $\alpha(G \odot H) = n\alpha(H) < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + n\frac{m}{2}$, dividing both sides of the inequality by $n \geq 3$ gives $\alpha(H) < \frac{1}{n} \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + \frac{m}{2}$. Since $\frac{1}{n} \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor < 1$, we have $\alpha(H) \leq \frac{m}{2}$. If $\alpha(H) = \frac{m}{2} + 1$, then we cannot judge the corona graph $G \odot H$ is not LD. However, there are cases where this theorem does not apply. If $m \geq 2$ is even, $\alpha(H) = \frac{m}{2} + 1$, and $n \geq 3$ is odd, then we cannot apply this theorem (see Example 2.1).

Example 2.1. *For instance, the graph $TS'_3 \odot S_4$ shown in Figure 5 is LD.*



FIGURE 5. An LD labeling for the graph $TS'_3 \odot S_4$.

We cannot guarantee the validity of the theorem in the cases: n and m are even, n and m are odd, and n is even and m is odd. The following graphs in example 2.2 ensures the last cases respectively.

Example 2.2. *The following graphs $P_4 \odot P_2$, $P_3 \odot K_3$ and $P_2 \odot C_3$ respectively are LD (Figure 6).*



FIGURE 6. LD labeling graphs.

□

Corollary 2.1. *There are several families of graphs with the conditions of theorem (2.8), we conclude that they are not LD, such as the following below:*
$(1)TS'_n \odot K_m$ $(2)TS'_n \odot K_{m,m}$ $(3)H^*_n \odot K_m$ $(4)H^*_n \odot C_m$
$(5)TS'_n \odot P_m$ $(6)TS'_n \odot C_m$ $(7)H^*_n \odot K_{m,m}$ $(8)H^*_n \odot P_m$
Where H^*_n is the flower graph.

Theorem 2.9. *The double fan graph $g_n = p_n + \overline{K_2}$ is LD for all $n \geq 3$.*

Proof. $|V(g_n)| = n + 2$. Let $V(g_n) = \{v_1, v_2; u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$. In fact, for all $n \geq 3$, there exists a labeling function $f : V(g_n) \to \{1, 2, \ldots, n+2\}$ which we can defined it as follows:
$f(v_1) = 1$, $f(v_2) = n + 2$, $f(u_i) = i + 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ (Figure 7).



FIGURE 7. An LD labeling for the double fan graph for all $n \geq 3$.

This labeling guarantees that each two adjacent labeled vertices in the graph g_n have greatest common divisor which divides the order of g_n, hence, the graph g_n is LD. □

Theorem 2.10. *The square of the path graph P_n^2 is LD if and only if $n \in \{1,3,5,7\}$ or n is even.*

Proof. Suppose, by contrapositive, that $n \notin \{1,3,5,7\}$ and n is odd, we have three cases: $n \equiv 1 \ mod\ 6$ *or* $n \equiv 3 \ mod\ 6$ *or* $n \equiv 5 \ mod\ 6$.
Case 1: If $n \neq 1,\ 7$ and $n \equiv 1 \ mod\ 6$, i.e. $n = 1 + 6k$, where $k \geq 2$, we know that $\alpha\left(P_n^2\right) = \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil$ and $\left|V\left(P_n^2\right)\right| = n$, therefore, $\alpha\left(P_{1+6k}^2\right) = \lceil \frac{1+6k}{3} \rceil = 2k+1$, $\left|V\left(P_{1+6k}^2\right)\right| = 1 + 6k \ for\ k \geq 2$. *Thus*, $\alpha\left(P_{1+6k}^2\right) = 2k+1 < 3k = \lfloor \frac{6k+1}{2} \rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{\left|V\left(P_{1+6k}^2\right)\right|}{2} \right\rfloor, for\ k \geq 2$, hence, from the necessary condition 2 (Theorem 1.3), we conclude that the graph P_{1+6k}^2 is not LD for $k \geq 2$.

Similarly, in case 2, when $n \equiv 3 \ mod\ 6$, and $n \neq\ 3$, and in case 3, when $n \equiv 5 \ mod\ 6$ and $n \neq 5$, from the last three cases, we can show that the graph P_n^2 is not LD.

Conversely, it is obvious that the graph P_n^2 is LD for $n \in \{1,3,5,7\}$, and also for n is even, $n \geq 2$, as follows : let $V\left(P_n^2\right) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$. There exists a labeling function $f : V\left(P_n^2\right) \to \{1,2,3,\ldots,n\}$, which can be defined as follows: $f(v_i) = i$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ (Figure 8).



FIGURE 8. An LD labeling of the graph P_n^2 for n is even, $n \geq 2$.

The labels $(f(v_i), f(v_{i+2})) = (i, i+2) = 2 \mid n$ for i *even* and equal to $1 \mid n$ otherwise. Similarly, $(f(v_{n-2}), f(v_n)) = (n-2, n) = 2 \mid n$. The remaining labels are assigned as consecutive numbers such that their greatest common divisor is equal to 1, hence, P_n^2 is an LDG.

□

Corollary 2.2. *The graph P_n^2 is labeled isomorphic to the path graph $P_n(2)$.*

Figure 9 shows P_6^2 is labeled isomorphic to $P_6(2)$.



FIGURE 9. An LD labeling for P_6^2 *and* $P_6(2)$.

Corollary 2.3. *The graph C_n^2 is labeled isomorphic to the circulant graph $C_n(2)$.*

It follows from Theorem 2.4 that the square graph C_n^2 is LD if and only if n is even.

Corollary 2.4. *If the diameter in a graph G is k, then the k^{th} power of the cycle graph C_n will be a complete graph K_n, i.e., $C_n^k \cong K_n$ is labeled isomorphic, for $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, hence, the graph C_n^k is LD if and only if $n \in \{1,2,3,4,6\}$ and $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.*

Proof. Consequently, since $C_n^k \cong K_n$, it follows from theorem 2.1 that C_n^k is an LDG if and only if $n \in \{1,2,3,4,6\}$ and $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

□

Theorem 2.11. *For $n \geq 4$, the cube of the path graph P_n^3 is LD if and only if $n \in \{4,5,8,10,14\}$ or $n \equiv 0\ (mod\ 6)$.*

Proof. Suppose, by contrapositive, for $n \geq 4$, $n \notin \{4,5,8,10,14\}$ and $n \not\equiv 0\,(mod\ 6)$, then we have the following cases:

Case 1: If $n > 5$ is odd, then we have $n \equiv 1\,(mod\ 6)$, i.e., $n = 1 + 6k$ for $k \geq 1$. From lemma (2.3), $\alpha(P_n^3) = \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil = \lceil \frac{1+6k}{4} \rceil = \lceil \frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{2}k \rceil$ for $k \geq 1$. $\left\lfloor \frac{|V\ (P_n^3)|}{2} \right\rfloor = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{1+6k}{2} \rfloor = 3k$ for $k \geq 1$, therefore, $\alpha(P_{1+6k}^3) = \lceil \frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{2}k \rceil < 3k = \left\lfloor \frac{|V\ (P_{1+6k}^3)|}{2} \right\rfloor$ for $k \geq 1$, hence, from the necessary condition 2 (Theorem 1.3), we have that the graph P_n^3 in this case is not LD. Similarly, the other cases, when $n \equiv 3\,(mod\ 6)$ and $n \equiv 5\,(mod\ 6)$.

Case 2: If n is even and $n \notin \{4,8,10,14\}$ and $n \not\equiv 0\,(mod\ 6)$, then we have: $n \equiv 2\,(mod\ 6)$, i.e., $n = 2 + 6.L$ for $L \geq 3$. From lemma 2.3 and lemma 1.6, $\alpha(P_n^3) = \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil = \lceil \frac{2+6L}{4} \rceil = \lceil \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2}L \rceil$ for $L \geq 3$, since 3 is the least prime power that does not divide such n, so $\max_{1<p<n/2} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^{\nu'_p(n)}} \right\rfloor = \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{2+6L}{3} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{2}{3} + 2L \rfloor = 2L$ for $L \geq 3$, therefore, $\alpha(P_{2+6L}^3) = \lceil \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2}L \rceil < 2L = \lfloor \frac{2}{3} + 2L \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor = \max_{1<p<n/2} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^{\nu'_p(n)}} \right\rfloor$ for $L \geq 3$, hence, from the necessary conditions 1 and 3 (Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4), we have that the graph P_n^3 in this case is not LD. Similarly, in the case, when $n \equiv 4\,(mod\ 6)$. From the last five cases we proved the first direction of the theorem.

Conversely, it is obvious that P_n^3 is LD for $n \in \{4,5,8,10,14\}$. Now, consider $n \equiv 0\ mod\ 6$, let $V\left(P_n^3\right) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ be the vertices of P_n^3, where $n = 6k\ for\ k \geq 1$. There exists a labeling function $f : V\left(P_n^3\right) \to \{1,2,3,\ldots,n\}$, which can be defined as follows:
$f(v_i) = i$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ (Figure 10).



FIGURE 10. An LD labeling for the graph P_n^3, when $n \equiv 0\ mod\ 6$.

Since

$$(f(v_i), f(v_{i+2})) = (i, i+2) = \begin{cases} 1 & if\ i \not\equiv 0 \mod 2 \\ 2 & if\ i \equiv 0 \mod 2 \end{cases}$$

$$(f(v_i), f(v_{i+3})) = (i, i+3) = \begin{cases} 1 & if\ i \not\equiv 0 \mod 3 \\ 3 & if\ i \equiv 0 \mod 3 \end{cases}$$

Which divides the order of P_n^3, hence, the graph P_n^3, $n = 6.k$ for $k \geq 1$ is LD. □

Remark 2.2. *For $n = 1, 2$ and 3 (It is obvious that the graph P_n^3 is LD).*

3. Conclusion

This manuscript examines various graph families, including complete graphs, wheel graphs, circulant graphs, and cartesian product graphs, to determine their LD nature and establishing necessary and sufficient conditions for LD labeling. We extend the concept of prime labeling, concluding that all prime graphs are also LD. Furthermore, we proved that complete graphs K_n are LD for specific values of n, while wheel graphs W_n are LD for all $n > 1$. Additionally, we identify conditions for more complex structures, such as normal product graphs and cubes of path graphs, exhibit LD properties. For our future research, we may focus on generalizing these conditions and identifying new LD families.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to express their gratitude to the referees for their insightful comments and valuable suggestions, which have greatly improved this manuscript.

References

[1] Bickle, A., (2020), Fundamentals of Graph Theory, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, USA, vol.43, pp. 336.
[2] Bondy, J. A., Murty, U. S. R., (2008), Graph Theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol.244, Springer, p.82, ISBN 9781846289699.
[3] Burton, D. M., (2011), 7th ed, Elementary number theory, A business unit of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 1221 Avenue of the Americas, New York.
[4] Deretsky, T., Lee, S. M., Mitchem, J., (1991), On vertex prime labeling of graphs in graph theory, Combinatorics and Applications vol. I. J., Alavi, Chartrand G., Ollerman O., Schwank A., 6th ed., International Conference Theory and Application of Graphs, Wiley, New York, pp. 359-369.
[5] Elsonbaty, A., Daoud, S., (2017), Edge even graceful labeling of some path and cycle related graphs, Ars Combinatorial.
[6] El Harbi, E., (2018), Number Theoretic Functions and Graph Labeling, Published M.Sc. thesis.
[7] Fu, H. L., Huang, K. C., (1994), On Prime Labeling, Discrete Mathematics, vol. 127, No. 1-3, PP. 181-186, doi:10.1016/0012-365X(92)00477-9.
[8] Gallian, J. A., (2007), A dynamic survey of graph labeling, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics.
[9] Gross, J. L., Yellen, J., Zhang, P., 2^{nd} edition, Handbook of Graph Theory, Version Date: 20130923, International Standard Book Number-13:978-1-4398-8019-7.
[10] Hoshino, R., (2007), Independence polynomials of circulant graph, Published Ph.D. thesis, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia.
[11] Harary, F., (1969), Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, Massachusetts.
[12] Lee, S. M., Wui, I., Yeh, J., (1988), On the Amalgamation of Prime Graphs, Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society, Second Series, vol. 11, pp. 59-67.
[13] Mahran, A. E. A., (2008), Some different treatments of graph Labeling, Published M.Sc. thesis, Ain Shams University, Cairo.
[14] Rosa, A., (1967), On certain valuations of the vertices of a graph, Theory of Graphs, Intl., Symp., Rome 1966, Gordon and Breach, Dunod, Paris, pp. 349–355.
[15] Rosen, K. H., 5^{th} edition, Elementary Number Theory and Its Applications, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading Massach.
[16] Ramanujan, S., (1919), Proof of Bertrand's postulate, Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society.
[17] Robert, A. M., (2000), A Course in p-adic Analysis, Springer Science Business Media, LLC.
[18] Sondow, J., (2009), Ramanujan primes and Bertrand's postulate, The American Mathematical.
[19] Seoud, M. A., Youssef, M. Z., (1999), On Prime Labeling of Graphs, Congressus Numerantium, vol. 141, pp. 203-215.
[20] Seoud, M. A., Anwar, M., Nasr, A., Elsonbaty, A., (submitted for publication), Some Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for Diophantine Graphs.
[21] Tout, A., Dabboucy, A. N., Howalla, K., (1982), Prime Labeling of Graphs, National Academy Science Letters, vol. 11, pp. 365-368.
[22] Weisstein, Eric, W., (1990), Graph Power (https://mathworld.wolfram.com/GraphPower.html), Skiena, P. 229.

[23] Youssef, M. Z., (2000), On graceful harmonious and prime labeling of graphs, Published Ph.D. thesis, Ain Shams University, Cairo.

Mohammed Abdel Azim Seoud is a professor of pure mathematics at the Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University. He received his Ph.D. in Mathematics (Algebra) from the University of Munich. He has been a professor of pure mathematics since 1993 at Ain Shams University. He has more than 80 published or accepted papers in Canadian, English, Bulgarian, Pakistani, Indian, and Egyptian journals, mostly on graph theory.

Ahmed Elsonbaty is an Associate Professor of Pure Mathematics at the Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University. He received his Ph.D. in Pure Mathematics from the University of Bath in 2004. His current research interests include number theory and its applications in graph labeling and combinatorial problems.



Mohamed Anwar is an Assistant Professor in the Mathematics Department at the Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University. He holds a Ph.D. in Pure Mathematics from Roma Tre University in Italy and a master's degree in Pure Mathematics from the Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.



Omar Mohamed Salama graduated from Ain Shams University. He is currently pursuing his M.Sc. in the Department of Mathematics at the Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University and his research interests are in graph labeling, number theory. Presently, he is working as a teaching assistant in the Department of Mathematics at the Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.