

A STUDY OF FUZZY \mathcal{W} -CLOSED SUBMODULES AND RELATED CONCEPTS

J. A. KHUBCHANDANI^{1*}, P. A. KHUBCHANDANI¹, §

ABSTRACT. In this paper we define fuzzy weak essential submodules to introduce the concept of fuzzy \mathcal{W} -closed submodules of an R -module M . Further, we define fuzzy fully semiprime module. We use the condition of fuzzy fully semiprime module to show that a non-constant fuzzy closed submodule is \mathcal{W} -closed in M . Also, the chain condition on fuzzy \mathcal{W} -closed submodules is studied.

Keywords: fuzzy \mathcal{W} -closed submodules, fuzzy weak essential submodules, fuzzy fully semi-prime submodules.

AMS Subject Classification: 16D10, 08A72.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1965, Zadeh [20] proposed the concept of a fuzzy set. Soon after fuzzy concept was extended in algebra and other branches of mathematics. Moderson and Malik [11] studied the concept of fuzzy submodules. Saikia and Kalita [16] studied various properties on fuzzy essential submodules. Atani [3] studied L -fuzzy multiplication modules. Nimbhorkar and Khubchandani [14] studied fuzzy semi-essential submodules and fuzzy semi-closed submodules. Also, Nimbhorkar and Khubchandani [15] studied L -fuzzy hollow modules and L -fuzzy multiplication modules, Nimbhorkar and Khubchandani [12] studied fuzzy essential-small submodules and fuzzy small-essential submodules.

In [16] Saikia and Kalita studied fuzzy closed submodules and Hassan and Hatam [5] studied weak essential fuzzy submodules. This motivated us to define fuzzy \mathcal{W} -closed submodules and study its properties. Also its wide applications in cryptography, coding theory, decision-making, automata and soft computing.

In present paper in section 3, we define fuzzy weak essential submodules. In section 4, we study some examples and properties of fuzzy \mathcal{W} -closed submodules. In section 5, we study some properties of fuzzy fully semiprime submodules. Lastly in section 6, we study chain conditions on fuzzy \mathcal{W} -closed submodules.

¹ Department of Engineering, Sciences and Humanities, Vishwakarma Institute of Technology, P.O. Box 411037, Pune, India.

e-mail: khubchandani_jyoti@yahoo.com; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3155-0817>

e-mail: payal_khubchandani@yahoo.com; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2002-8775>.

* Corresponding author.

§ Manuscript received: March 14, 2025; accepted: July 23, 2025.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.16, No.4; © Işık University, Department of Mathematics, 2026; all rights reserved.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper R denotes a commutative ring with identity, M a unitary R -module with zero element θ . We use the notations “ \subseteq ” and “ \leq ” to denote inclusion and submodule respectively. We recall some definitions and results.

Definition 2.1. [20] Let S be a nonempty set. A mapping $\mathcal{C} : S \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a fuzzy subset of S .

Remark 2.1. [20] If \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{F} are two fuzzy subsets of M , then

- (i) $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ if and only if $\mathcal{C}(x) \leq \mathcal{F}(x)$;
- (ii) $(\mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{F})(x) = \max\{\mathcal{C}(x), \mathcal{F}(x)\}$;
- (iii) $(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{F})(x) = \min\{\mathcal{C}(x), \mathcal{F}(x)\}$; for all $x \in M$.

Let $N \leq M$, then the characteristic function, χ_N , of N is defined as,

$$\chi_N(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in N, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.2. [11] Let M be an R -module. A fuzzy subset \mathcal{C} of M is said to be a fuzzy submodule, if for every $x, y \in M$ and $r \in R$ the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\mathcal{C}(\theta) = 1$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{C}(x - y) \geq \min\{\mathcal{C}(x), \mathcal{C}(y)\}$;
- (iii) $\mathcal{C}(rx) \geq \mathcal{C}(x)$.

The set of all fuzzy submodules of M is denoted by $F(M)$ and we denote \mathcal{C}_* the set $\mathcal{C}_* = \{x \in M \mid \mathcal{C}(x) = 1\}$.

Definition 2.3. [16] A fuzzy submodule \mathcal{C} of M is called an essential fuzzy submodule of M , denoted by $\mathcal{C} \trianglelefteq M$, if for every nonzero fuzzy submodule \mathcal{F} of M , $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{F} \neq \chi_\theta$.

Definition 2.4. [16] A fuzzy submodule \mathcal{C} of M is said to be a closed submodule of M if μ has no non-constant (proper) essential extension, i.e, the only solution of the relation $\mathcal{C} \trianglelefteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq M$ is $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}$.

Definition 2.5. Let X be a fuzzy module of an R -module M then X is called a chained fuzzy module if for each fuzzy submodules A, B of X either $A \subseteq B$ or $B \subseteq A$.

Definition 2.6. [16] A fuzzy submodule $\delta (\neq \chi_\theta)$ is said to be uniform if any two nonzero fuzzy submodules of δ have non-zero intersection, that is, if each non-zero fuzzy submodule of δ is essential in δ .

Proposition 2.1. [6] Let X be a fuzzy module of an R -module M and let $A \leq B \leq X$. If A is closed fuzzy in B and B is closed in X , then A is closed fuzzy in X .

Definition 2.7. [1] A fuzzy submodule \mathcal{C} of an R -module M is called a fuzzy semi-essential submodule of M if for any nonzero fuzzy prime submodule \mathcal{F} of M , $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{F} \neq \chi_\theta$ and then we write $\mathcal{C} \trianglelefteq_{\text{semi}} M$.

Definition 2.8. [4] Let μ be a proper fuzzy submodule of a fuzzy module A of an R -module M , then μ is a fuzzy semiprime of A if and only if $\forall r \in R$ and $\forall x_l \subseteq A$ such that $r_l^2 x_l \subseteq \mu$ then $r_l x_l \subseteq \mu$, $t, l \in [0, 1]$.

Proposition 2.2. [5] Let A be a fuzzy submodule of a fuzzy module X . Then A is weak essential in X if and only if A_* is weak essential submodule in X_* .

Proposition 2.3. [5] Let A and B be non-constant fuzzy submodules of X such that $A \subseteq B$. If A is weak essential fuzzy submodule in B and B is weak fuzzy submodule in X implies A is weak essential fuzzy submodules in X .

Definition 2.9. [14] A fuzzy submodule \mathcal{C} of an R -module M is called semi-closed if \mathcal{C} has no proper(non-constant) semi-essential extensions in M , that is, if $\mathcal{C} \trianglelefteq_{\text{semi}} \mathcal{F} \leq M$ then $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}$.

3. FUZZY WEAK-ESSENTIAL SUBMODULES

In this section, we study fuzzy weak essential submodule of a commutative ring R .

Definition 3.1. [5] A fuzzy submodule \mathcal{C} of an R -module M is called a fuzzy weak essential submodule of M if for any nonzero semiprime submodule \mathcal{F} of M , $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{F} \neq \chi_\theta$ and then we write $\mathcal{C} \trianglelefteq_{\mathcal{W}} M$.

Proposition 3.1. The sum of two fuzzy weak essential submodules is again a weak essential submodule.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F} \leq F(M)$. We know that $\mathcal{C} \leq \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{F}$. Since, $\mathcal{C} \trianglelefteq_{\mathcal{W}} M$, so by Proposition 2.3, $\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{F} \trianglelefteq_{\mathcal{W}} M$. \square

Remark 3.1. The converse of Proposition 2.3 is not true.

Example 3.1. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$, $M = \mathbb{Z}_{36}$ and the fuzzy module define as $X : M \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

$$X(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in \langle \bar{36} \rangle, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define fuzzy submodule $\mathcal{C} : M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{C}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in \langle \bar{18} \rangle, \\ 0.5, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here, $\mathcal{C}_* = \langle \bar{18} \rangle$ is weak essential submodule of \mathbb{Z}_{36} .

Hence, by Proposition 2.2, $\mathcal{C} \trianglelefteq_{\mathcal{W}} \mathbb{Z}_{36}$.

Now, Define fuzzy submodule $\mathcal{F} : M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in \langle \bar{2} \rangle, \\ 0.9, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We observe that $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. Also, $\mathcal{C}_* = \langle \bar{18} \rangle$, $\mathcal{F}_* = \langle \bar{2} \rangle$ and $\mathcal{C}_* \subseteq \mathcal{F}_*$.

We observe that $\mathcal{C}_* \not\trianglelefteq_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{F}_*$.

Thus, by Proposition 2.2, $\mathcal{C} \not\trianglelefteq_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{F}$.

4. FUZZY \mathcal{W} -CLOSED SUBMODULES

In this section, we define fuzzy \mathcal{W} -closed submodule, study some of its properties and examples.

Definition 4.1. A fuzzy submodule \mathcal{C} of an R -module M is called a \mathcal{W} -closed in M if \mathcal{C} has no non-constant weak essential extension in M . That is if there exists a submodule \mathcal{F} of M with $\mathcal{C} \trianglelefteq_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{F} \leq M$ then $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}$ and we write $\mathcal{C} \leq_{\mathcal{W}} M$.

Remark 4.1. Every fuzzy \mathcal{W} -closed submodule of an R -module is fuzzy closed submodule in M .

Proof. Let \mathcal{F} be a fuzzy \mathcal{W} -closed submodule of M and \mathcal{C} be a fuzzy submodule in M with \mathcal{F} is essential in \mathcal{C} , then by [[5], Remark 2.5], \mathcal{F} is weak essential in \mathcal{C} . But \mathcal{F} is \mathcal{W} -closed in M , thus, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{C}$. Hence, \mathcal{F} is fuzzy closed submodule in M . \square

Remark 4.2. The converse of Remark 4.1 may not be true.

Example 4.1. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $M = \mathbb{Z}_{24}$.
 Define fuzzy submodule $\mathcal{C} : M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{C}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in \langle \bar{3} \rangle, \\ 0.7, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then \mathcal{C} is closed submodule in \mathbb{Z}_{24} , as \mathcal{C} is a direct summand of \mathbb{Z} module \mathbb{Z}_{24} , by [2], Remark 2].

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in \langle \bar{2} \rangle, \\ 0.2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here, $\langle \bar{2} \rangle$ is a prime submodule of \mathbb{Z}_{24} and 0.2 is prime element.
 Then by [2], Theorem 3.6], μ is prime submodule of M .
 Now, by [10], Theorem 2.1], μ is fuzzy semi-prime submodule of \mathbb{Z}_{24} .
 We observe that $\mathcal{C} \cap \mu \neq \chi_\theta$. Implies \mathcal{C} is weak essential submodule of M and thus, \mathcal{C} is not \mathcal{W} -closed submodule of \mathbb{Z}_{24} .

Proposition 4.1. If \mathcal{C} is a fuzzy submodule of an R -module M such that \mathcal{C} is weak essential and \mathcal{W} -closed submodule of M , then $\mathcal{C} = \chi_M$.

Proof. As \mathcal{C} is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule of M , then \mathcal{C} has no non-constant weak essential extension in M . But given that \mathcal{C} is weak essential submodule of M , then $\mathcal{C} = \chi_M$. \square

Remark 4.3. (i) Every fuzzy submodule of M is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in itself.
 (ii) The fuzzy submodule χ_θ may not be \mathcal{W} -closed submodule of an R -module M .
 Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $M = \mathbb{Z}_4$. Define a fuzzy submodule $\mathcal{C} : M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as $\mathcal{C}(x) = 0$, for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ is not \mathcal{W} -closed submodule of M .

Remark 4.4. [6] Let X be a fuzzy module of R -module M . A and B are non-trivial fuzzy submodules of X , $A_* \subseteq B_*$ implies $A \subseteq B$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathcal{F} \in F(M)$ which satisfies the remark 4.4. Then \mathcal{F} is a \mathcal{W} -closed submodule of M if and only if \mathcal{F}_* is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule of M .

Proof. Assume \mathcal{F} is a \mathcal{W} -closed submodule of M and $\mathcal{F}_* \trianglelefteq_{\mathcal{W}} N \leq M$.
 To show: $\mathcal{F}_* = N$.
 Define,

$$\mathcal{C}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in N, \\ 0.7, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that \mathcal{C} is a fuzzy submodule of M and $\mathcal{C}_* = N$, $\mathcal{F}_* \subseteq \mathcal{C}_* = N$.
 So by Remark 4.4, $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. But $\mathcal{F}_* \trianglelefteq_{\mathcal{W}} N = \mathcal{C}_*$, then by Proposition 2.8 of [5], $\mathcal{F}_* \trianglelefteq_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{C}_*$.
 Therefore, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{C}$. Since \mathcal{F} is a closed fuzzy submodule in M , so $\mathcal{F}_* = \mathcal{C} = N$, then $\mathcal{F}_* = N$.

Conversely, Assume \mathcal{F}_* is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in M and $\mathcal{F} \trianglelefteq_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{C} \leq M$.
 To show: $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{C}$.
 Since, $\mathcal{F} \trianglelefteq_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{C}$, then Proposition 2.8 of [5], $\mathcal{F}_* \trianglelefteq_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{C}_*$. But \mathcal{F}_* is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in M so that $\mathcal{F}_* = \mathcal{C}_*$, then by Remark 4.4, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{C}$. Hence, \mathcal{F} is a \mathcal{W} -closed submodule of M . \square

Proposition 4.2. Let \mathcal{F} be a non-constant fuzzy submodule of M . Then there exists a fuzzy \mathcal{W} -closed submodule \mathcal{C} in M with \mathcal{F} is weak essential in \mathcal{C} .

Proof. Let,

$$\tau = \{\omega \in F(M) \mid \mathcal{F} \text{ is weak essential in } \omega\},$$

then $\tau \neq \phi$ as $\omega \in \tau$ and (τ, \subseteq) forms a poset.

Clearly, the union of members of a chain in τ is again a member of τ . Hence by Zorn's lemma, τ has a maximal element say \mathcal{C} .

Claim: To prove \mathcal{C} is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in M .

Assume that there exists $\mathcal{H} \in F(M)$ with \mathcal{C} weak essential in \mathcal{H} . Since \mathcal{F} is weak essential in \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C} is weak essential in \mathcal{H} so by Proposition 2.12 of [5], \mathcal{F} is weak essential in \mathcal{H} . But this is a contradiction to the maximality of \mathcal{C} . Thus, $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{H}$. Hence, \mathcal{C} is a \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in M with \mathcal{F} is weak essential in \mathcal{C} . \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C} \in F(M)$ with \mathcal{F} is any weak essential extensions of \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{C} is a \mathcal{W} -closed submodule of \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F} is a \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in X , then \mathcal{C} is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in X .*

Proof. Let $\nu \leq F(M)$ such that \mathcal{C} is weak essential in ν .

By hypothesis, $\mathcal{F} \leq \nu$. Since, \mathcal{C} is weak essential in ν and \mathcal{C} is a submodule of \mathcal{F} , then by Remark 2.9(2) of [5], \mathcal{F} is weak essential in ν . But \mathcal{F} is a \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in X , then $\mathcal{F} = \nu$. That is \mathcal{C} is weak essential \mathcal{F} . But \mathcal{C} is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in \mathcal{F} . So $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}$.

Hence, \mathcal{C} is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in X . \square

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \leq F(M)$ with \mathcal{F}_2 is containing any weak essential extensions of \mathcal{F}_1 , and \mathcal{F}_1 is a \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in \mathcal{F}_2 and \mathcal{F}_2 is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in M , then \mathcal{F}_1 is a \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in M .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} \leq F(M)$ with \mathcal{F}_1 is a weak essential submodule in \mathcal{C} , then by hypothesis we get, $\mathcal{C} \leq \mathcal{F}_2$. Since, \mathcal{F}_1 is a \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in \mathcal{F}_2 , then $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{C}$.

Thus, \mathcal{F}_1 is a \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in M . \square

Proposition 4.5. *Let M be a fuzzy chained module and $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F} \leq F(M)$ with $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{F} \leq_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C} \leq_{\mathcal{W}} M$, then $\mathcal{F} \leq_{\mathcal{W}} M$.*

Proof. Let $\nu \leq F(M)$ with \mathcal{F} is weak essential in ν . Since M is fuzzy chained module, then either $\nu \leq \mathcal{C}$ or $\mathcal{C} \leq \nu$.

Case(i): If $\nu \leq \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{F} \leq_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{C}$, then $\mathcal{F} = \nu$.

Hence, $\mathcal{F} \leq_{\mathcal{W}} M$.

Case(ii): If $\mathcal{C} \leq \nu$ and as $\mathcal{F} \leq_{\mathcal{W}} \nu$, then by Remark (2.9)(2), $\mathcal{C} \leq_{\mathcal{W}} \nu$.

But $\mathcal{C} \leq_{\mathcal{W}} M$, hence $\mathcal{C} = \nu$. Thus, $\mathcal{F} \leq_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{C}$.

But \mathcal{F} is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in \mathcal{C} , then $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{C}$.

Hence, $\mathcal{F} \leq_{\mathcal{W}} M$. \square

Definition 4.2. *An R -module M is called fuzzy completely essential if each non-constant fuzzy weak essential submodule of M is essential submodule in M .*

Proposition 4.6. *Let $\mathcal{F} \leq F(M)$ such that every essential extensions of \mathcal{F} is completely essential, then \mathcal{F} is closed submodule in M if and only if \mathcal{F} is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in M .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}, \nu \leq F(M)$ such that $\mathcal{F} \leq_{\mathcal{W}} \nu$.

By hypothesis as ν is completely essential, therefore \mathcal{F} is essential submodule in ν . But \mathcal{F} is a closed submodule in M , then $\mathcal{F} = \nu$. Thus, $\mathcal{F} \leq_{\mathcal{W}} M$.

Conversely, by using Remark 4.1 we get the result. \square

Definition 4.3. *A non-constant fuzzy module M is called weak uniform if every non-constant fuzzy submodules of M is weak essential.*

Proposition 4.7. *Let M be an R -module. Then M -module is fuzzy uniform module if and only if M is fuzzy weak uniform and fuzzy completely essential module.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be a non-constant fuzzy submodule of M and since M is uniform module, \mathcal{C} is essential submodule and also every essential submodule is weak essential so \mathcal{C} is weak essential. Let \mathcal{R} be fuzzy weak essential submodule of M . Since, M is uniform module so \mathcal{R} is essential submodule of M . Hence, M is weak uniform and fuzzy completely essential module.

Conversely, let \mathcal{F} be a non-constant fuzzy submodule of M . Since, M is fuzzy weak uniform module then \mathcal{F} is a fuzzy weak essential submodule of M . But M is fuzzy completely essential module, therefore \mathcal{F} is fuzzy completely essential submodule of M . \square

Corollary 4.1. *If M is fuzzy uniform module and $\mathcal{C} \leq F(M)$. Then \mathcal{C} is closed submodule in M if and only if \mathcal{C} is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in M .*

Proof. Assume \mathcal{C} is fuzzy closed submodule in M and let \mathcal{C} be weak essential submodule in \mathcal{F} where \mathcal{F} is fuzzy submodule in M , then \mathcal{F} is uniform. Hence, by Proposition 4.7, \mathcal{F} is completely essential. Thus, \mathcal{C} is essential in \mathcal{F} . But \mathcal{C} is closed, then $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}$. Thus, \mathcal{C} is \mathcal{W} -closed in M . \square

Proposition 4.8. *Let M be an R -module with $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F} \in M$ such that $\mathcal{C} \leq \mathcal{F}$. Also every weak essential extensions of \mathcal{C} is completely essential submodule of M . If $\mathcal{C} \leq_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F} \leq_{\mathcal{W}} M$, then $\mathcal{C} \leq_{\mathcal{W}} M$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{C} \leq_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F} \leq_{\mathcal{W}} M$, then by Remark 4.1, \mathcal{C} is closed submodule in \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F} is closed submodule in M . Then by Proposition 3.6 of [6], we get \mathcal{C} is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in M and by Proposition 4.6, $\mathcal{C} \leq_{\mathcal{W}} M$. \square

Proposition 4.9. *If $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F} \in F(M)$ such that $\mathcal{C} \leq \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{C} \leq_{\mathcal{W}} M$, then $\mathcal{C} \leq_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D} \leq \mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{F} \leq F(M)$ and \mathcal{C} is weak essential in \mathcal{D} . But $\mathcal{C} \leq_{\mathcal{W}} M$, then $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}$. Hence, $\mathcal{C} \leq_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{F}$. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Let \mathcal{C} is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in M , then \mathcal{C} is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in $\sqrt{\mathcal{C}}$.*

Proof. Since, $\mathcal{C} \leq \sqrt{\mathcal{C}} \leq M$ and \mathcal{C} is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in M , then by Proposition 4.9 \mathcal{C} is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in $\sqrt{\mathcal{C}}$. \square

Proposition 4.10. *Let M be an R -module such that every fuzzy semi-prime submodule of M is irreducible. If $\mathcal{C} \in F(M)$ is semi-essential then \mathcal{C} is weak essential submodule of M .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} \in F(M)$ be a non-constant fuzzy semi-prime submodule of M with $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{F} = \chi_{\theta}$. Since, \mathcal{F} is irreducible then by Theorem 2.4 of [10], \mathcal{F} is prime submodule. But \mathcal{C} semi-essential submodule of M , therefore $\mathcal{F} = \chi_{\theta}$. \square

Proposition 4.11. *Let M be a faithful fuzzy multiplication R -module and $\mathcal{C} \in F(M)$ such that $\mathcal{C} = \mu\lambda_M$ for some fuzzy ideal μ of R . Suppose that every non-constant fuzzy semi-prime submodule of M is irreducible. If μ is weak essential ideal of R then \mathcal{C} is weak essential submodule of M .*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{F} = \chi_{\theta}$ for some non-constant semi-prime submodule $\mathcal{F} \leq F(M)$. Given \mathcal{F} is irreducible submodule of M , so by Theorem 2.4 of [10], \mathcal{F} is prime submodule. But \mathcal{F} is a non-constant submodule of fuzzy multiplication M this implies that there exists

a fuzzy prime ideal ν of R such that $\mathcal{F} = \nu\lambda_M$ by Theorem 17 of [3].
Now consider,

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_\theta &= \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{F} \\ &= \mu\lambda_M \cap \nu\lambda_M \\ &= (\mu \cap \nu)\lambda_M\end{aligned}$$

But as M is faithful fuzzy multiplication R -module, therefore $\mu \cap \nu = \chi_\theta$. Since every fuzzy prime submodule is semi-prime and by assumption, we get $\nu = \chi_\theta$ but $\mathcal{F} = \nu\lambda_M$ and therefore $\mathcal{F} = \chi_\theta$. \square

Proposition 4.12. *Let M be a faithful fuzzy multiplication R -module and $\mathcal{C} \in F(M)$ such that $\mathcal{C} = \mu\lambda_M$ for some fuzzy ideal μ of R . Suppose that every non-constant fuzzy semi-prime submodule of M is primary. If μ is weak essential ideal of R then \mathcal{C} is weak essential submodule of M .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{F} = \chi_\theta$ for some non-constant semi-prime submodule $\mathcal{F} \leq F(M)$.

By Corollary 2.1 of [10], $[\mathcal{F}, \lambda_M]$ is fuzzy semi-prime ideal of R and also by assumption \mathcal{F} is primary. By Proposition 2.1 of [10], \mathcal{F} is fuzzy prime submodule of M and by Theorem 17 of [3], $\mathcal{F} = \nu\lambda_M$, for some fuzzy prime ideal ν of R .

Now consider,

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_\theta &= \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{F} \\ &= \mu\lambda_M \cap \nu\lambda_M \\ &= (\mu \cap \nu)\lambda_M\end{aligned}$$

But M is faithful fuzzy multiplication R -module, therefore $\mu \cap \nu = \chi_\theta$. Since every fuzzy prime submodule is semi-prime and by assumption, we get $\nu = \chi_\theta$ but $\mathcal{F} = \nu\lambda_M$ and therefore $\mathcal{F} = \chi_\theta$. \square

5. FUZZY FULLY SEMIPRIME SUBMODULES

In this section, we define fuzzy fully semiprime submodule of an R -module M .

Definition 5.1. *An R -module M is called fuzzy fully semiprime, if every non-constant fuzzy submodule of M is fuzzy semiprime.*

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F} \in F(M)$ such that $\mathcal{C} \leq \mathcal{F}$. If \mathcal{C} is a fuzzy semiprime submodule of M , then \mathcal{C} is fuzzy semiprime submodule in \mathcal{F} .*

Proof. Let for any $r_t \subseteq R$ and for all $x_l \subseteq M$, $t, l \in [0, 1]$ such that $r_t^2 x_l \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. But \mathcal{C} is a fuzzy semiprime submodule of M , then $r_t x_l \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. Hence, \mathcal{C} is a fuzzy semiprime submodule in \mathcal{F} . \square

Proposition 5.1. *Let M be a fuzzy fully semi-prime R -module. Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F} \in F(M)$. Then \mathcal{C} is weak essential in \mathcal{F} if and only if \mathcal{C} is essential in \mathcal{F} .*

Proof. As $\mathcal{C} \in F(M)$ and μ be a fuzzy submodule of \mathcal{C} such that $\mathcal{F} \cap \mu = \chi_\theta$. Since, M is fuzzy fully semi-prime module then both the fuzzy submodules \mathcal{C} and μ are semiprime submodules of M and by Lemma 5.1, \mathcal{F} is fuzzy semiprime submodule of \mathcal{C} . But \mathcal{C} is weak essential submodule of \mathcal{F} , therefore $\mu = \chi_\theta$, hence \mathcal{C} is weak essential in \mathcal{F} .

Converse is straight forward. \square

Corollary 5.1. *If M is fuzzy fully semiprime module, then every non-zero weak essential submodule of M is fuzzy essential submodule in M .*

Proposition 5.2. *Let M be a fuzzy fully semiprime module and $\mathcal{C} \in F(M)$. Then \mathcal{C} is a non-constant fuzzy closed in M if and only if \mathcal{C} is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in M .*

Proof. Assume \mathcal{C} is a non-constant fuzzy closed in M , and $\nu \in F(M)$ such that \mathcal{C} is weak essential in ν . Then by Corollary 5.1, \mathcal{C} is an fuzzy essential submodule in ν . But \mathcal{C} is a non-constant fuzzy closed in M , hence $\mathcal{C} = \nu$. Thus, \mathcal{C} is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in M .

The converse is direct. □

Proposition 5.3. *Let M be a fuzzy fully semiprime module and let \mathcal{C} be a non-constant \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F} is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in M . Then \mathcal{C} is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in M .*

Proof. Since, \mathcal{C} be a non-constant \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F} is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in M , then by Remark 4.1, \mathcal{C} is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F} is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in M . Hence by Proposition 2.1, \mathcal{C} is closed in M . Hence, by Proposition 5.2, \mathcal{C} is \mathcal{W} -closed submodule in M . □

6. CHAIN CONDITIONS ON FUZZY \mathcal{W} -CLOSED SUBMODULE

In this section, we introduce the definitions of a module that have ascending (descending) chain conditions on fuzzy \mathcal{W} -closed submodules of an R -module M .

Definition 6.1. *A fuzzy module M is said to have ascending chain condition (acc) on fuzzy \mathcal{W} -closed submodules, if every ascending chain $\mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_2 \subseteq \dots$ of \mathcal{W} -closed submodules of M is finite. That is there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{C}_m$, for all $n \geq m$.*

Definition 6.2. *A fuzzy module M is said to have descending chain condition (dcc) on fuzzy \mathcal{W} -closed submodules, if every descending chain $\mathcal{C}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{C}_2 \supseteq \dots$ of \mathcal{W} -closed submodules of M is finite. That is there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{C}_m$, for all $n \geq m$.*

Proposition 6.1. *Let M be fuzzy module and satisfy (acc) on closed submodules, then M satisfy (acc) on fuzzy \mathcal{W} -closed submodules.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_2 \subseteq \dots$ be an increasing chain of fuzzy \mathcal{W} -closed submodules of M . But by Remark 4.1, each \mathcal{C}_i is fuzzy closed submodule for each $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Since, M satisfy (acc) on closed submodules, then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{C}_m$, for all $n \geq m$. Thus, M satisfy (acc) on fuzzy \mathcal{W} -closed submodules. □

Proposition 6.2. *Let M be fuzzy module and satisfy (dcc) on closed submodules, then M satisfy (dcc) on fuzzy \mathcal{W} -closed submodules.*

Proposition 6.3. *If M be a fuzzy fully semiprime module, then M satisfy (acc) on \mathcal{W} -closed submodules if and only if M satisfy (acc) on closed submodules.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_2 \subseteq \dots$ be ascending chain of fuzzy closed submodules. Then by Proposition 5.2, \mathcal{C}_i is fuzzy \mathcal{W} -closed submodules for each $i = 1, 2, \dots$. But M satisfy (acc) on \mathcal{W} -closed submodules, so there exists $n \geq m$ such that $\mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{C}_m$, for all $n \geq m$. Hence, M satisfies (acc) on fuzzy closed submodules.

Conversely, by Proposition 6.1. □

Proposition 6.4. *If M be a fuzzy fully semiprime module, then M satisfy (dcc) on \mathcal{W} -closed submodules if and only if M satisfy (dcc) on closed submodules.*

Remark 6.1. A direct summand of fuzzy submodules of M may not be necessary \mathcal{W} -closed in M as shown in Example 6.1.

Example 6.1. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $M = \mathbb{Z}_{24}$.

Define fuzzy submodules $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F} : M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{C}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in \langle \bar{3} \rangle, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{F}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in \langle \bar{8} \rangle, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We observe that $\chi_M = \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{F}$ as $\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{F} = \chi_M$ and $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{F} = \chi_\theta$.

Here, \mathcal{C} is not \mathcal{W} -closed submodules in \mathbb{Z}_{24} as \mathcal{C} is weak essential in \mathbb{Z}_{24} .

7. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have studied fuzzy weak-essential submodules and fuzzy \mathcal{W} -closed submodules. Moreover, we studied chain conditions on fuzzy \mathcal{W} -closed submodules.

This work can be extended and generalised in the environment of q-rung orthopair fuzzy sets. It can be applied in order to solve multi-criteria decision making problems.

8. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the referee for helpful suggestions, which improved the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abbas, H. H. and Al-Aeashi, S. N., (2012), A fuzzy semi-essential submodules of a fuzzy module, J. of Kufa for Math. and Computer, 1 (5), pp. 31-37.
- [2] Acar, U., (2025), On L -fuzzy prime submodules, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 34, pp. 17-25.
- [3] Atani, S. E. and Sarai, F. E. K., (2017), On L -fuzzy multiplication modules, Discuss. Math. Gen. Algebra Appl., 37, pp. 209-221.
- [4] Hadi, I. M. A., (2004), Semiprime fuzzy submodules of fuzzy modules, Al-Haitham J. of Pure and Appl. Sci., 17 (3), pp. 112-123.
- [5] Hassan, K. M. and Hatam Y. Khalaf., (2020), Weak essential fuzzy submodules of fuzzy modules, Ibn Al-Haitham Jour. for Pure and Appl. Sci., 33 (4), pp. 65-72.
- [6] Hassan, K. M. and Hatam Y. Khalaf., (2020), Some properties of the essential fuzzy and closed fuzzy submodules, Iraqi Journal of Science, 61 (4), pp. 890-897.
- [7] Khubchandani, P. and Khubchandani, J., (2024), Fuzzy bioatomic pairs in fuzzy lattices, TWMS J. App. and Eng. Math., 14 (4), pp. 1485-1494.
- [8] Khubchandani, P. and Khubchandani, J., (2025), Characterization of fuzzy \perp - \top distributive lattice in fuzzy lattices, TWMS J. App. and Eng. Math., 15 (2), pp. 377-386.
- [9] Khubchandani, P. and Khubchandani, J., (2025), Fuzzy perspectivity in fuzzy lattices, Journal of Algebraic Systems, 13 (3), pp. 175-184.
- [10] Mohammed, M. Ali Radman Al-Shamiri., (2015), On fuzzy semiprime submodules, International Journal of Innovation and Applied Studies, 13 (4), pp. 929-934.
- [11] Modersohn, J. N. and Malik. D. S., (1998), Fuzzy commutative algebra, World scientific, River Edge, NJ, USA.
- [12] Nimbhorkar, S. K. and Khubchandani, J. A., (2020), Fuzzy essential-small submodules and fuzzy small-essential submodules, Journal of hyperstructures, 9 (2), pp. 52-67.
- [13] Ahmmad J., Mahmood T., Rehman U.Ur, (2025) Market Segmentation Strategies Based on Complex Fuzzy Rough Dombi Aggregation Operators, Appl. Comput. Math., Vol.24, No.1, 2025, pp.38-61.
- [14] Nimbhorkar, S. K. and Khubchandani, J. A., (2023), Fuzzy semi-essential submodules and fuzzy semi-closed submodules, TWMS J. App and Eng. Math., 13 (2), pp. 568-575.

- [15] Nimbhorkar, S. K. and Khubchandani J. A., (2024), *L*-Fuzzy hollow modules and *L*-fuzzy multiplication modules, *Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics*, 48 (3), pp. 423-432.
- [16] Saikia, H. K. and Kalita, M. C., (2007), On fuzzy essential submodules, *The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, 17 (1), pp. 109-117.
- [17] Talee, B., (2019), Intuitionistic fuzzy small submodules and their properties, *Fuzzy Information and Engineering*, 11 (3), pp. 307-319.
- [18] Talee, B., Oskooie, B. and Davaz, B., (2024), Some properties of intuitionistic fuzzy modules, *J. Fuzzy Ext. Appl.*, 5 (2), pp. 190-198.
- [19] Wu X., Zhu Z., Wang T, Zhang X., (2025), Picture Fuzzy Interactional Aggregation Operators via Strict Triangular Norms and Applications to Multi-Criteria Decision Making, *Appl. Comput. Math.*, Vol.24, No.1, 2025, pp.62-100
- [20] Zadeh, L. A., (1965), Fuzzy sets, *Inform. and Control*, 8, pp. 338-353.



Jyoti Ashok Khubchandani holds a Ph.D. in Mathematics. She has more than eleven years of experience in teaching. Her research area is Algebra and Fuzzy set theory.



Payal Ashok Khubchandani holds Ph.D. degree in Mathematics. She has more than twelve years of teaching experience. Her research area is Lattice Theory and Fuzzy set theory.
