# USING IMPLICIT RELATION TO PROVE COMMON COUPLED FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR TWO HYBRID PAIRS OF MAPPINGS

BHAVANA DESHPANDE<sup>1</sup>, AMRISH HANDA<sup>2</sup>, DEEPMALA <sup>3</sup>, §

ABSTRACT. Using implicit relation we establish two common coupled fixed point theorems under the conditions of weakly commutativity and w-compatibility on a complete metric space, which is not partially ordered. We do not use the condition of continuity of any mapping for proving the existence of coupled coincidence and common coupled fixed point.

Keywords: Coupled fixed point, coupled coincidence point, implicit relation, w—compatibility, weakly commuting mappings.

AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let (X, d) be a metric space and CB(X) be the set of all nonempty closed bounded subsets of X. Let D(x, A) denote the distance from x to  $A \subset X$  and H denote the Hausdorff metric induced by d, that is,

$$D(x, A) = \inf_{a \in A} d(x, a),$$

$$H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} D(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} D(b, A) \right\}, \text{ for all } A, B \in CB(X).$$

The study of fixed points for multivalued contractions and non-expansive mappings using the Hausdorff metric was studied by many authors under different conditions. This theory has found application in control theory, convex optimization, differential inclusions and economics. There exists considerable literature about fixed point properties for two hybrid pairs of mappings, including [2, 10, 11, 12, 20, 25, 26, 32].

Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [7] introduced the concept of coupled fixed point for single-valued mappings and established some coupled fixed point results and found its application in the existence and uniqueness of solution for periodic boundary value problems. Lakshmikantham and Ciric [18] proved coupled coincidence and common coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractive mappings in partially ordered complete metric spaces and extended the results established in [7]. Many authors focused on coupled fixed point theory for single-valued mappings and proved remarkable results including [5, 9, 13, 15, 16, 21, 33].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Govt. P. G. Arts & Science College, Ratlam (M. P.) India. e-mail: bhavnadeshpande@yahoo.com;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Govt. P. G. Arts & Science College, Ratlam (M. P.) India. e-mail: amrishhanda83@gmail.com;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> SQC & OR Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 203 B. T. Road, Kolkata, 700 108, India. e-mail: deepmaladm23@gmail.com;

<sup>§</sup> Manuscript received: June 26, 2014; Accepted: August 26, 2015.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.6, No.1; © Işık University, Department of Mathematics, 2016; all rights reserved.

Recently Samet et al. [28] claimed that most of the coupled fixed point theorems for single-valued mappings on ordered metric spaces are consequences of well-known fixed point theorems.

The concepts related to coupled fixed point for single valued mappings have been extended by Abbas et al. [1] for multivalued mappings to obtained coupled coincidence point and common coupled fixed point theorems involving hybrid pair of mappings in complete metric spaces. At present, very few authors have been studied coupled fixed point theorems for hybrid pair of mappings including [1, 19].

In [1], Abbas, Ciric, Damjanovic and Khan introduced the following concept:

**Definition 1.1.** Let X be a nonempty set,  $F: X \times X \to 2^X$  (a collection of all nonempty subsets of X) and g be a self-mapping on X. An element  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  is called

- (1) a coupled fixed point of F if  $x \in F(x, y)$  and  $y \in F(y, x)$ .
- (2) a coupled coincidence point of hybrid pair  $\{F, g\}$  if  $gx \in F(x, y)$  and  $gy \in F(y, x)$ .
- (3) a common coupled fixed point of hybrid pair  $\{F, g\}$  if  $x = gx \in F(x, y)$  and  $y = gy \in F(y, x)$ .

We denote the set of coupled coincidence points of mappings F and g by CF, g. Note that if  $(x, y) \in C(F, g)$ , then (y, x) is also in C(F, g).

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $F: X \times X \to 2^X$  be a multivalued mapping and g be a self-mapping on X. The mapping g is called F-weakly commuting at some point  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  if  $g^2x \in F(gx, gy)$  and  $g^2y \in F(gy, gx)$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $F: X \times X \to 2^X$  be a multivalued mapping and g be a self-mapping on X. The hybrid pair  $\{F, g\}$  is called w-compatible if  $gF(x, y) \subseteq F(gx, gy)$  whenever  $(x, y) \in C(F, g)$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** [14] Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then, for each  $a \in X$  and  $B \in CB(X)$ , there is  $b_0 \in B$  such that  $D(a, B) = d(a, b_0)$ , where  $D(a, B) = \inf_{b \in B} d(a, b)$ .

On the other hand, several authors have been studied fixed point theorems satisfying an implicit relation for single-valued and multivalued mappings under different conditions including [3, 4, 6, 8, 17, 22, 23, 24, 27, 29, 30, 31, 34].

In this paper, we establish two common coupled fixed point theorems for two hybrid pairs of mappings satisfying an implicit relation under the conditions of weakly commutativity and w-compatibility on a complete metric space, which is not partially ordered. To prove our theorems we do not use condition of continuity of any mapping. We improve, extend and generalize the result of Sedghi et al. [29].

## 2. Implicit relation

Let  $R^+$  be the set of all non-negative real numbers and let  $\Psi$  be the set of all continuous functions  $\psi:(R^+)^{11}\to R$  satisfying the following conditions:

 $\psi_1: \psi(t_1, t_2, ..., t_{11})$  is non-decreasing in  $t_1$  and non-increasing in  $t_2, t_3, ..., t_{11}$ .

 $\psi_2$ : There exists 0 < k < 1 such that for every  $u, v, p, q \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$\psi(u, v, v, u, u+v, 0, q, q, p, p+q, 0) \leq 0,$$

or

$$\psi(u, v, u, v, 0, u+v, q, p, q, 0, p+q) \leq 0,$$

then  $\max\{u, p\} \le k \max\{v, q\}$ .  $\psi_3$ : For all u, v > 0,

 $\psi(u, u, 0, 0, u, u, v, 0, 0, v, v) > 0.$ 

**Example 2.1.** Let  $\psi(t_1, t_2, ..., t_{11}) = t_1 - h \max\{t_2, t_3, t_4, \frac{t_5 + t_6}{2}, t_7, t_8, t_9, \frac{t_{10} + t_{11}}{2}\}$  where 0 < h < 1.

 $(\psi_1) \ \ Obvious. \ \ (\psi_2) \ \ Let \ \max\{u, \ p\} \ > \ 0 \ \ and \ \ \psi(u, \ v, \ v, \ u, \ u+v, \ 0, \ q, \ q, \ p, \ p+q, \ 0) = u-h \max\{u, \ v, \ p, \ q\} \le 0. \ \ Thus \ u \le h \max\{\max\{u, \ p\}, \ \max\{v, \ q\}\}. \ \ Similarly \ p \le h \max\{\max\{u, \ p\}, \ \max\{v, \ q\}\}. \ \ Thus \ \max\{u, \ p\} \le h \max\{\max\{u, \ p\}, \ \max\{v, \ q\}\}. \ \ Now, \ if \ \max\{u, \ p\} \ge \max\{v, \ q\}, \ then \ \max\{u, \ p\} \le h \max\{u, \ p\}, \ max\{u, \ p\}, \ which \ is \ a \ contradiction. \ Thus \ \max\{u, \ p\} < \max\{v, \ q\} \ \ and \ \max\{u, \ p\} \le h \max\{v, \ q\}. \ Similarly, \ let \ \max\{u, \ p\} > 0 \ \ and \ \psi(u, \ v, \ u, \ v, \ 0, \ u+v, \ q, \ p, \ q, \ 0, \ p+q) = u-h \max\{u, \ v, \ q\} \le 0, \ then \ we \ have \ \max\{u, \ p\} \le h \max\{v, \ q\}. \ \ Thus \ (\psi_2) \ \ is \ satisfying \ with \ k=h < 1. \ If \ \max\{u, \ p\} = 0, \ then \ \max\{u, \ p\} \le k \max\{v, \ q\}. \ (\psi_3) \ \psi(u, \ u, \ 0, \ 0, \ u, \ v, \ 0, \ 0, \ v, \ v) = u-h \ \max\{u, \ v\} = \max\{u-hu, \ u-hv\} = \max\{u(1-h), \ u-hv\} > 0. \ \ Therefore \ \psi \in \Psi.$ 

**Example 2.2.** Let  $\psi(t_1, t_2, ..., t_{11}) = t_1 - \alpha \max\{t_2, t_3, t_4, t_7, t_8, t_9\} - \beta \max\{t_5 + t_6, t_{10} + t_{11}\}$  where  $\alpha, \beta \ge 0$  and  $\alpha + 2\beta < 1$ .

 $(\psi_1) \ \ Obvious. \ \ (\psi_2) \ \ Let \ \max\{u, \ p\} > 0 \ \ and \ \ \psi(u, \ v, \ u, \ u + v, \ 0, \ q, \ q, \ p, \ p + q, \ 0) = u - \alpha \max\{v, \ u, \ q, \ p\} - \beta \max\{u + v, \ p + q\} \leq 0, \ then \ u \leq \alpha \max\{\max\{u, \ p\}, \ \max\{v, \ q\}\} + \beta \max\{v, \ q\}, \ then \ \max\{u, \ p\} + \beta \max\{v, \ q\}, \ (\alpha + \beta) \max\{v, \ q\} + \beta \max\{u, \ p\}\}. \ \ Similarly \ p \leq \max\{(\alpha + \beta) \max\{u, \ p\} + \beta \max\{v, \ q\}, \ (\alpha + \beta) \max\{v, \ q\} + \beta \max\{u, \ p\}\}. \ \ Thus \ \max\{u, \ p\} \leq \max\{(\alpha + \beta) \max\{u, \ p\} + \beta \max\{v, \ q\}, \ (\alpha + \beta) \max\{v, \ q\} + \beta \max\{u, \ p\}\}. \ \ Now, \ \ if \ \max\{u, \ p\} \geq \max\{v, \ q\}, \ \ then \ \max\{u, \ p\} \leq (\alpha + 2\beta) \max\{u, \ p\}, \ \ which \ is \ a \ contradiction. \ \ Thus \ \max\{u, \ p\} < \max\{v, \ q\}, \ q\}, \ \ downwrather \$ 

**Example 2.3.** Let  $\psi(t_1, t_2, ..., t_{11}) = t_1 - a \max\{t_2, t_7\} - b \max\{t_3 + t_4, t_8 + t_9\} - c \max\{t_5 + t_6, t_{10} + t_{11}\}, \text{ where } a, b, c \in [0, 1) \text{ and } a + 2b + 2c < 1.$ 

 $(\psi_1) \ \ Obvious. \ \ (\psi_2) \ \ Let \ \max\{u, \ p\} > 0 \ \ and \ \ \psi(u, \ v, \ u, \ u+v, \ 0, \ q, \ q, \ p, \ p+q, \ 0) = u-a \ \max\{v, \ q\} - b \ \max\{u+v, \ p+q\} - c \ \max\{u+v, \ p+q\} \leq 0, \ then \ u \leq a \ \max\{v, \ q\} + b [\max\{u, \ p\} + \max\{v, \ q\}] + c [\max\{u, \ p\} + \max\{v, \ q\}]. \ \ Similarly \ p \leq a \ \max\{v, \ q\} + b [\max\{u, \ p\} + \max\{v, \ q\}] + c [\max\{u, \ p\} + \max\{v, \ q\}]. \ \ Thus \ \max\{u, \ p\} \leq a \ \max\{v, \ q\} + b [\max\{u, \ p\} + \max\{v, \ q\}] + c [\max\{u, \ p\} + \max\{v, \ q\}]. \ \ Now, \ \ if \ \max\{u, \ p\} \geq \max\{v, \ q\}, \ then \ \max\{u, \ p\} \leq (a+2b+2c) \ \max\{u, \ p\}, \ which \ is \ a \ contradiction. \ Thus \ \max\{u, \ p\} < 0 \ \ and \ \max\{u, \ p\} \leq (a+2b+2c) \ \max\{u, \ q\}. \ \ Similarly, \ let \ \max\{u, \ p\} > 0 \ \ and \ \psi(u, \ v, \ u, \ v, \ 0, \ u+v, \ q, \ p, \ q, \ 0, \ p+q) = u-a \ \max\{v, \ q\} - b \ \max\{u+v, \ p+q\} - c \ \max\{u+v, \ p+q\} \leq 0, \ then \ we \ have \ \max\{u, \ p\} = 0, \ then \ \max\{u, \ p\} \leq k \ \max\{v, \ q\}. \ \ (\psi_3) \ \psi(u, \ u, \ 0, \ 0, \ u, \ u, \ v, \ 0, \ 0, \ v, \ v) = u-a \ \max\{u, \ v\} - c \ \max\{2u, \ v\} = \max\{u-au-2cu, \ u-av-2cu\} = \max\{u(1-a-2c), \ u-(a+2c)v\} > 0. \ \ Therefore \ \psi \in \Psi.$ 

**Example 2.4.** Let  $\psi(t_1, t_2, ..., t_{11}) = t_1 - h \max\{t_2, t_7\} - L \min\{t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6, t_8, t_9, t_{10}, t_{11}\}$ , where  $h \in [0, 1)$  and  $L \ge 0$ .

 $\{u\} = \max\{u - hu, u - hv\} = \max\{u(1 - h), u - hv\} > 0.$  Therefore  $\psi \in \Psi$ .

Example 2.6. Let  $\psi(t_1, t_2, ..., t_{11}) = t_1 - h \max\{t_2, t_7\}$ , where  $h \in [0, 1)$ .  $(\psi_1)$  Obvious.  $(\psi_2)$  Let  $\max\{u, p\} > 0$  and  $\psi(u, v, v, u, u + v, 0, q, q, p, p + q, 0) = u - h \max\{v, q\} \le 0$ . Thus  $u \le h \max\{v, q\}$ . Similarly  $p \le h \max\{v, q\}$ . Thus  $\max\{u, p\} \le h \max\{v, q\}$ . Similarly, let  $\max\{u, p\} > 0$  and  $\psi(u, v, u, v, 0, u + v, q, p, q, 0, p + q) = u - h \max\{v, q\} \le 0$ , then we have  $\max\{u, p\} \le h \max\{v, q\}$ . Thus  $(\psi_2)$  is satisfying with k = h < 1. If  $\max\{u, p\} = 0$ , then  $\max\{u, p\} \le k \max\{v, q\}$ .  $(\psi_3)$   $\psi(u, u, v, 0, 0, u, u, v, 0, 0, v, v) = u - h \max\{u, v\} = \max\{u - hu, u - hv\} = \max\{u(1 - h), u - hv\} > 0$ . Therefore  $\psi \in \Psi$ .

Example 2.7. Let  $\psi(t_1, t_2, ..., t_{11}) = t_1 - b \max\{\frac{t_3 + t_4}{2}, \frac{t_8 + t_9}{2}\}$  where  $b \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ .  $(\psi_1)$  Obvious.  $(\psi_2)$  Let  $\max\{u, p\} > 0$  and  $\psi(u, v, v, u, u + v, 0, q, q, p, p + q, 0) = <math>u - b \max\{\frac{u+v}{2}, \frac{p+q}{2}\} \le 0$ . Thus  $u \le \frac{b}{2}[\max\{u, p\} + \max\{v, q\}]$ . Similarly  $p \le \frac{b}{2}[\max\{u, p\} + \max\{v, q\}]$ . Now, if  $\max\{u, p\} \ge \max\{v, q\}$ , then  $\max\{u, p\} \le b \max\{u, p\} < \max\{u, p\}$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $\max\{u, p\} < \max\{u, p\} < \max\{u, p\}$ , similarly, let  $\max\{u, p\} > 0$  and  $\psi(u, v, u, v, 0, u + v, q, p, q, 0, p + q) = u - b \max\{\frac{u+v}{2}, \frac{p+q}{2}\} \le 0$ , then we have  $\max\{u, p\} \le b \max\{v, q\}$ . Thus  $(\psi_2)$  is satisfying with k = b < 1. If  $\max\{u, p\} = 0$ , then  $\max\{u, p\} \le k \max\{v, q\}$ .  $(\psi_3)$   $\psi(u, u, 0, 0, u, u, v, 0, 0, v, v) = u > 0$ . Therefore  $\psi \in \Psi$ .

Example 2.8. Let  $\psi(t_1, t_2, ..., t_{11}) = t_1 - c \max\{t_5 + t_6, t_{10} + t_{11}\}$  where  $c \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ .  $(\psi_1)$  Obvious.  $(\psi_2)$  Let  $\max\{u, p\} > 0$  and  $\psi(u, v, v, u, u + v, 0, q, q, p, p + q, 0) = <math>u - c \max\{u + v, p + q\} \le 0$ . Thus  $u \le c[\max\{u, p\} + \max\{v, q\}]$ . Similarly  $p \le c[\max\{u, p\} + \max\{v, q\}]$ . Thus  $\max\{u, p\} \le c[\max\{u, p\} + \max\{v, q\}]$ . Now, if  $\max\{u, p\} \ge \max\{v, q\}$ , then  $\max\{u, p\} \le 2c \max\{u, p\} < \max\{u, p\}$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $\max\{u, p\} < \max\{v, q\}$  and  $\max\{u, p\} \le 2c \max\{v, q\}$ . Similarly, let  $\max\{u, p\} > 0$  and  $\psi(u, v, u, v, 0, u + v, q, p, q, 0, p + q) = u - c \max\{u + v, p + q\} \le 0$ , then we have  $\max\{u, p\} \le 2c \max\{v, q\}$ . Thus  $(\psi_2)$  is satisfying with k = 2c < 1. If  $\max\{u, p\} = 0$ , then  $\max\{u, p\} \le k \max\{v, q\}$ .  $(\psi_3)$   $\psi(u, u, 0, 0, u, u, v, 0, 0, v, v) = u - c \max\{2u, 2v\} = \max\{u - 2cu, u - 2cv\} = \max\{u(1 - 2c), u - 2cv\} > 0$ . Therefore  $\psi \in \Psi$ .

**Example 2.9.** Let  $\psi(t_1, t_2, ..., t_{11}) = t_1 - h \max\{t_2, \frac{t_3 + t_4}{2}, \frac{t_5 + t_6}{2}, t_7, \frac{t_8 + t_9}{2}, \frac{t_{10} + t_{11}}{2}\}$  where  $h \in [0, 1)$ .

 $\begin{array}{l} (\psi_1) \ \ Obvious. \ \ (\psi_2) \ \ Let \ \max\{u, \ p\} > 0 \ \ and \ \ \psi(u, \ v, \ v, \ u, \ u+v, \ 0, \ q, \ q, \ p, \ p+q, \\ 0\} = u - h \max\{v, \ q\} \leq 0. \ \ Thus \ u \leq h \max\{v, \ q\}. \ \ Similarly \ p \leq h \max\{v, \ q\}. \ \ Thus \\ \max\{u, \ p\} \leq h \max\{v, \ q\}. \ \ Similarly, \ let \ \max\{u, \ p\} > 0 \ \ and \ \psi(u, \ v, \ u, \ v, \ 0, \ u+v, \ q, \ p, \\ q, \ 0, \ p+q) = u - h \max\{v, \ q\} \leq 0, \ \ then \ \ we \ have \ \max\{u, \ p\} \leq h \max\{v, \ q\}. \ \ Thus \ (\psi_2) \\ is \ \ satisfying \ \ with \ k = h < 1. \ \ If \ \max\{u, \ p\} = 0, \ \ then \ \max\{u, \ p\} \leq k \max\{v, \ q\}. \ \ (\psi_3) \ \ \psi(u, \ u, \ u, \ v, \ 0, \ 0, \ v, \ v) = u - h \max\{u, \ v\} = \max\{u - hu, \ u - hv\} = \max\{u(1-h), \ u - hv\} > 0. \ \ Therefore \ \psi \in \Psi. \end{array}$ 

### 3. Main Results

**Theorem 3.1.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Assume  $F, G: X \times X \to CB(X)$  and  $f, g: X \to X$  be mappings satisfying

- (i)  $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$ ,  $G(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$ ,
- (ii) for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $\psi \in \Psi$ ,

$$\psi\left(\begin{array}{c} H(F(x,\ y),\ G(u,\ v)),\\ d(fx,\ gu),\ D(fx,\ F(x,\ y)),\ D(gu,\ G(u,\ v)),\\ D(fx,\ G(u,\ v)),\ D(gu,\ F(x,\ y)),\\ d(fy,\ gv),\ D(fy,\ F(y,\ x)),\ D(gv,\ G(v,\ u)),\\ D(fy,\ G(v,\ u)),\ D(gv,\ F(y,\ x)) \end{array}\right) \leq 0,$$

- (iii) f(X) and g(X) are closed subsets of X, then
- (a) F and f have a coupled coincidence point,
- (b) G and g have a coupled coincidence point,
- (c) F and f have a common coupled fixed point, if f is F-weakly commuting at (x, y) and  $f^2x = fx$  and  $f^2y = fy$  for  $(x, y) \in C\{F, f\}$ ,
- (d) G and g have a common coupled fixed point, if g is G-weakly commuting at  $(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y})$  and  $g^2\widetilde{x} = g\widetilde{x}$  and  $g^2\widetilde{y} = g\widetilde{y}$  for  $(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) \in C\{G, g\}$ ,
- (e) F, G, f and g have common coupled fixed point provided that both (c) and (d) are true.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0, y_0 \in X$  be arbitrary. Choose  $u_1 = gx_1 \in F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $v_1 = gy_1 \in F(y_0, x_0)$ , as  $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$ . Since  $F, G: X \times X \to CB(X)$ , therefore by Lemma 1.1, there exist  $u_2 \in G(x_1, y_1)$  and  $v_2 \in G(y_1, x_1)$  such that

$$d(u_1, u_2) \leq H(F(x_0, y_0), G(x_1, y_1)),$$
  
$$d(v_1, v_2) \leq H(F(y_0, x_0), G(y_1, x_1)).$$

Since  $G(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$ , there exist  $x_2, y_2 \in X$  such that  $u_2 = fx_2 \in G(x_1, y_1)$  and  $v_2 = fy_2 \in G(y_1, x_1)$ . Then we choose  $u_3 \in F(x_2, y_2)$  and  $v_3 \in F(y_2, x_2)$  such that

$$d(u_2, u_3) \leq H(G(x_1, y_1), F(x_2, y_2)),$$
  
$$d(v_2, v_3) \leq H(G(y_1, x_1), F(y_2, x_2)).$$

Continuing this process, we obtain sequences  $\{u_n\}$ ,  $\{v_n\}$ ,  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in X such that for all  $n \geq 0$ , we have

$$u_{2n} = fx_{2n} \in G(x_{2n-1}, y_{2n-1}), u_{2n+1} = gx_{2n+1} \in F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}),$$
  
 $v_{2n} = fy_{2n} \in G(y_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}), v_{2n+1} = gy_{2n+1} \in F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}),$ 

and

$$\begin{array}{lcl} d(u_{2n-1},\;u_{2n}) & \leq & H(F(x_{2n-2},\;y_{2n-2}),\;G(x_{2n-1},\;y_{2n-1})),\\ d(u_{2n},\;u_{2n+1}) & \leq & H(G(x_{2n-1},\;y_{2n-1}),\;F(x_{2n},\;y_{2n})),\\ d(v_{2n-1},\;v_{2n}) & \leq & H(F(y_{2n-2},\;x_{2n-2}),\;G(y_{2n-1},\;x_{2n-1})),\\ d(v_{2n},\;v_{2n+1}) & \leq & H(G(y_{2n-1},\;x_{2n-1}),\;F(y_{2n},\;x_{2n})). \end{array}$$

Then by condition (ii), we get

$$\psi \begin{pmatrix} H(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), G(x_{2n-1}, y_{2n-1})), \\ d(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n-1}), \\ D(fx_{2n}, F(x_{2n}, y_{2n})), D(gx_{2n-1}, G(x_{2n-1}, y_{2n-1})), \\ D(fx_{2n}, G(x_{2n-1}, y_{2n-1})), D(gx_{2n-1}, F(x_{2n}, y_{2n})), \\ d(fy_{2n}, gy_{2n-1}), \\ D(fy_{2n}, F(y_{2n}, x_{2n})), D(gy_{2n-1}, G(y_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1})), \\ D(fy_{2n}, G(y_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1})), D(gy_{2n-1}, F(y_{2n}, x_{2n})) \end{pmatrix} \leq 0.$$

Using  $(\psi_1)$ , we get

$$\psi \begin{pmatrix}
d(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n}), \\
d(u_{2n}, u_{2n-1}), d(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}), d(u_{2n-1}, u_{2n}), \\
0, d(u_{2n-1}, u_{2n+1}), \\
d(v_{2n}, v_{2n-1}), d(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}), d(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n}), \\
0, d(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n+1})
\end{pmatrix} \leq 0,$$

which implies that

$$\psi \begin{pmatrix} d(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n}), \\ d(u_{2n}, u_{2n-1}), d(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}), d(u_{2n-1}, u_{2n}), \\ 0, d(u_{2n-1}, u_{2n}) + d(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}), \\ d(v_{2n}, v_{2n-1}), d(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}), d(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n}), \\ 0, d(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n}) + d(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) \end{pmatrix} \leq 0.$$

By  $(\psi_2)$ , we get

$$\max \{d(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n}), d(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n})\}$$

$$\leq k \max \{d(u_{2n}, u_{2n-1}), d(v_{2n}, v_{2n-1})\}.$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$\max \{d(u_{2n}, u_{2n-1}), d(v_{2n}, v_{2n-1})\}$$

$$\leq k \max \{d(u_{2n-1}, u_{2n-2}), d(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n-2})\}.$$

Thus, we have for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\max \{d(u_n, u_{n+1}), d(v_n, v_{n+1})\}$$

$$\leq k \max \{d(u_{n-1}, u_n), d(v_{n-1}, v_n)\}$$

$$\leq k^n \max \{d(u_0, u_1), d(v_0, v_1)\}$$

$$\leq k^n \delta.$$

Thus

$$\max \{d(u_n, u_{n+1}), d(v_n, v_{n+1})\} \le k^n \delta, \tag{1}$$

where

$$\delta = \max \{d(u_0, u_1), d(v_0, v_1)\}.$$

Thus, for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with m > n, by triangle inequality and (1), we get

$$\max \{d(u_n, u_{m+n}), d(v_n, v_{m+n})\}$$

$$\leq \max \{d(u_n, u_{n+1}), d(v_n, v_{n+1})\}$$

$$+ \max \{d(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}), d(v_{n+1}, v_{n+2})\}$$

$$+ \dots + \max \{d(u_{m+n-1}, u_{m+n}), d(v_{m+n-1}, v_{m+n})\}$$

$$\leq k^n \delta + k^{n+1} \delta + \dots + k^{n+m-1} \delta$$

$$\leq k^n (1 + k + k^2 + \dots + k^{m-1}) \delta$$

$$\leq \frac{k^n (1 - k^m)}{1 - k} \delta \to 0 \text{ as } n, m \to \infty,$$

which shows that  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{v_n\}$  are Cauchy sequences in X. Since X is complete, there exist  $u, v \in X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} f x_{2n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} g x_{2n+1} = u,$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} v_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} f y_{2n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} g y_{2n+1} = v.$$
(2)

Since f(X) and g(X) are closed subsets of X, then there exist  $x, y, \widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y} \in X$ , we have

$$u = fx = g\widetilde{x}, \ v = fy = g\widetilde{y}. \tag{3}$$

Now, since  $fx_{2n} \in G(x_{2n-1}, y_{2n-1})$  and  $fy_{2n} \in G(y_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1})$ , therefore by using condition (ii), we get

$$\psi\left(\begin{array}{c} H(F(x,\ y),\ G(x_{2n-1},\ y_{2n-1})),\\ d(fx,\ gx_{2n-1}),\ D(fx,\ F(x,\ y)),\ D(gx_{2n-1},\ G(x_{2n-1},\ y_{2n-1})),\\ D(fx,\ G(x_{2n-1},\ y_{2n-1})),\ D(gx_{2n-1},\ F(x,\ y)),\\ d(fy,\ gy_{2n-1}),\ D(fy,\ F(y,\ x)),\ D(gy_{2n-1},\ G(y_{2n-1},\ x_{2n-1})),\\ D(fy,\ G(y_{2n-1},\ x_{2n-1})),\ D(gy_{2n-1},\ F(y,\ x)) \end{array}\right) \leq 0,$$

which implies, by  $(\psi_1)$ , that

$$\psi \begin{pmatrix}
D(F(x, y), fx_{2n}), \\
d(fx, gx_{2n-1}), D(fx, F(x, y)), d(gx_{2n-1}, fx_{2n}), \\
d(fx, fx_{2n}), D(gx_{2n-1}, F(x, y)), \\
d(fy, gy_{2n-1}), D(fy, F(y, x)), d(gy_{2n-1}, fy_{2n}), \\
d(fy, fy_{2n}), D(gy_{2n-1}, F(y, x))
\end{pmatrix} \leq 0.$$

Letting  $n \to \infty$  in the above inequality, using the continuity of  $\psi$ , (2) and (3), we obtain

$$\psi\left(\begin{array}{c} D(F(x,\ y),\ fx),\\ 0,\ D(fx,\ F(x,\ y)),\ 0,\ 0,\ D(fx,\ F(x,\ y)),\\ 0,\ D(fy,\ F(y,\ x)),\ 0,\ 0,\ D(fy,\ F(y,\ x)) \end{array}\right) \leq 0.$$

Thus, by  $(\psi_2)$ , we obtain

$$D(fx, F(x, y)) = 0$$
 and  $D(fy, F(y, x)) = 0$ ,

which implies that

$$fx \in F(x, y)$$
 and  $fy \in F(y, x)$ ,

that is, (x, y) is a coupled coincidence point of F and f. This proves (a). Again, since  $gx_{2n+1} \in F(x_{2n}, y_{2n})$  and  $gy_{2n+1} \in F(y_{2n}, x_{2n})$ , therefore by using condition (ii), we get

$$\psi \begin{pmatrix} H(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), G(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y})), \\ d(fx_{2n}, g\widetilde{x}), D(fx_{2n}, F(x_{2n}, y_{2n})), D(g\widetilde{x}, G(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y})), \\ D(fx_{2n}, G(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y})), D(g\widetilde{x}, F(x_{2n}, y_{2n})), \\ d(fy_{2n}, g\widetilde{y}), D(fy_{2n}, F(y_{2n}, x_{2n})), D(g\widetilde{y}, G(\widetilde{y}, \widetilde{x})), \\ D(fy_{2n}, G(\widetilde{y}, \widetilde{x})), D(g\widetilde{y}, F(y_{2n}, x_{2n})) \end{pmatrix} \leq 0,$$

which implies, by  $(\psi_1)$ , that

$$\psi \begin{pmatrix} D(gx_{2n+1}, G(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y})), \\ d(fx_{2n}, g\widetilde{x}), d(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}), D(g\widetilde{x}, G(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y})), \\ D(fx_{2n}, G(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y})), d(g\widetilde{x}, gx_{2n+1}), \\ d(fy_{2n}, g\widetilde{y}), d(fy_{2n}, gy_{2n+1}), D(g\widetilde{y}, G(\widetilde{y}, \widetilde{x})), \\ D(fy_{2n}, G(\widetilde{y}, \widetilde{x})), d(g\widetilde{y}, gy_{2n+1}) \end{pmatrix} \leq 0.$$

Letting  $n \to \infty$  in the above inequality, using the continuity of  $\psi$ , (2) and (3), we obtain

$$\psi\left(\begin{array}{c}D(g\widetilde{x},\ G(\widetilde{x},\ \widetilde{y})),\\0,\ 0,\ D(g\widetilde{x},\ G(\widetilde{x},\ \widetilde{y})),\ D(g\widetilde{x},\ G(\widetilde{x},\ \widetilde{y})),\ 0,\\0,\ 0,\ D(g\widetilde{y},\ G(\widetilde{y},\ \widetilde{x})),\ D(g\widetilde{y},\ G(\widetilde{y},\ \widetilde{x})),\ 0\end{array}\right)\leq 0.$$

Thus, by  $(\psi_2)$ , we obtain

$$D(g\widetilde{x}, G(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y})) = 0 \text{ and } D(g\widetilde{y}, G(\widetilde{y}, \widetilde{x})) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$g\widetilde{x} \in G(\widetilde{x}, \ \widetilde{y}) \text{ and } g\widetilde{y} \in G(\widetilde{y}, \ \widetilde{x}),$$

that is,  $(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y})$  is a coupled coincidence point of G and g. This proves (b).

Furthermore, from condition (c), we have f is F-weakly commuting at (x, y), that is,  $f^2x \in F(fx, fy)$ ,  $f^2y \in F(fy, fx)$  and  $f^2x = fx$ ,  $f^2y = fy$ . Thus  $fx = f^2x \in F(fx, fy)$  and  $fy = f^2y \in F(fy, fx)$ , that is,  $u = fu \in F(u, v)$  and  $v = fv \in F(v, u)$ . This proves (c). A similar argument proves (d). Then (e) holds immediately.

Put f = g in the Theorem 3.1, we get the following result:

**Corollary 3.1.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Assume  $F, G: X \times X \to CB(X)$  and  $g: X \to X$  be mappings satisfying

- (i)  $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$ ,  $G(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$ ,
- (ii) for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$ ,

$$\psi\left(\begin{array}{c} H(F(x,\ y),\ G(u,\ v)),\\ d(gx,\ gu),\ D(gx,\ F(x,\ y)),\ D(gu,\ G(u,\ v)),\\ D(gx,\ G(u,\ v)),\ D(gu,\ F(x,\ y)),\\ d(gy,\ gv),\ D(gy,\ F(y,\ x)),\ D(gv,\ G(v,\ u)),\\ D(gy,\ G(v,\ u)),\ D(gv,\ F(y,\ x)) \end{array}\right) \leq 0,$$

(iii) g(X) is a closed subset of X, then

- (a) F and g have a coupled coincidence point,
- (b) G and g have a coupled coincidence point,
- (c) F and g have a common coupled fixed point, if g is F-weakly commuting at (x, y) and  $g^2x = gx$  and  $g^2y = gy$  for  $(x, y) \in C(F, g)$ ,
- (d) G and g have a common coupled fixed point, if g is G-weakly commuting at  $(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y})$  and  $g^2\widetilde{x} = g\widetilde{x}$  and  $g^2\widetilde{y} = g\widetilde{y}$  for  $(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) \in C\{G, g\}$ ,
  - (e) F, G and g have common coupled fixed point provided that both (c) and (d) are true.

Put F = G and f = g in the Theorem 3.1, we get the following result:

**Corollary 3.2.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Assume  $F: X \times X \to CB(X)$  and  $g: X \to X$  be mappings satisfying

- (i)  $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$ ,
- (ii) for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$ .

$$\psi \left( \begin{array}{c} H(F(x,\ y),\ F(u,\ v)), \\ d(gx,\ gu),\ D(gx,\ F(x,\ y)),\ D(gu,\ F(u,\ v)), \\ D(gx,\ F(u,\ v)),\ D(gu,\ F(x,\ y)), \\ d(gy,\ gv),\ D(gy,\ F(y,\ x)),\ D(gv,\ F(v,\ u)), \\ D(gy,\ F(v,\ u)),\ D(gv,\ F(y,\ x)) \end{array} \right) \leq 0.$$

If (iii) of Corollary 3.1 holds, then

- (a) F and g have a coupled coincidence point,
- (b) F and g have a common coupled fixed point, if g is F-weakly commuting at (x, y) and  $g^2x = gx$  and  $g^2y = gy$  for  $(x, y) \in C(F, g)$ .

Examples 2.1-2.9 and Theorem 3.1 imply the following:

**Corollary 3.3.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Assume  $F, G: X \times X \to CB(X)$  and  $f, g: X \to X$  be mappings satisfying (i) of Theorem 3.1 and

(i) for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where 0 < h < 1,

$$H(F(x, y), G(u, v)) \le h \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(fx, gu), D(fx, F(x, y)), D(gu, G(u, v)), \\ d(fy, gv), D(fy, F(y, x)), D(gv, G(v, u)), \\ \frac{D(fx, G(u, v)) + D(gu, F(x, y))}{2}, \frac{D(fy, G(v, u)) + D(gv, F(y, x))}{2} \end{array} \right\}$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  and  $\alpha + 2\beta < 1$ ,

$$H(F(x, y), G(u, v)) \le \alpha \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(fx, gu), D(fx, F(x, y)), D(gu, G(u, v)), \\ d(fy, gv), D(fy, F(y, x)), D(gv, G(v, u)) \end{array} \right\} \\ +\beta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} D(fx, G(u, v)) + D(gu, F(x, y)), \\ D(fy, G(v, u)) + D(gv, F(y, x)) \end{array} \right\},$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $a, b, c \in [0, 1)$  and a + 2b + 2c < 1,

$$\begin{split} &H(F(x,\ y),\ G(u,\ v))\\ &\leq\ a\max\big\{d(fx,\ gu),\ d(fy,\ gv)\big\}\\ &+b\max\left\{\begin{array}{l} D(fx,\ F(x,\ y)) + D(gu,\ G(u,\ v)),\\ D(fy,\ F(y,\ x)) + D(gv,\ G(v,\ u)) \end{array}\right\}\\ &+c\max\left\{\begin{array}{l} D(fx,\ G(u,\ v)) + D(gu,\ F(x,\ y)),\\ D(fy,\ G(v,\ u)) + D(gv,\ F(y,\ x)) \end{array}\right\}, \end{split}$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $h \in [0, 1)$  and  $L \geq 0$ ,

$$H(F(x, y), G(u, v)) \le h \max \{d(fx, gu), d(fy, gv)\} + L \max \left\{ D(fx, F(x, y)), D(gu, G(u, v)), D(fx, G(u, v)), D(gu, F(x, y)), D(fy, F(y, x)), D(gy, G(y, u)), D(fy, G(y, u)), D(fy, F(y, x)) \right\},$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $h \in [0, 1)$  and  $L \ge 0$ ,

$$H(F(x, y), G(u, v))$$

$$\leq h \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(fx, gu), D(fx, F(x, y)), D(gu, G(u, v)), \\ d(fy, gv), D(fy, F(y, x)), D(gv, G(v, u)), \\ \frac{D(fx, G(u, v)) + D(gu, F(x, y))}{2}, \frac{D(fy, G(v, u)) + D(gv, F(y, x))}{2} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$+L \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} D(fx, F(x, y)), D(gu, G(u, v)), \\ D(fx, G(u, v)), D(gu, F(x, y)), \\ D(fy, F(y, x)), D(gv, G(v, u)), \\ D(fy, G(v, u)), D(gv, F(y, x)) \end{array} \right\},$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $h \in [0, 1)$ ,

$$H(F(x, y), G(u, v)) \le h \max \{d(fx, gu), d(fy, gv)\},$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $b \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,

$$H(F(x, y), G(u, v)) \le b \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{D(fx, F(x, y)) + D(gu, G(u, v))}{2}, \\ \frac{D(fy, F(y, x)) + D(gv, G(v, u))}{2}, \end{array} \right\},$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $c \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,

$$H(F(x, y), G(u, v)) \le c \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} D(fx, G(u, v)) + D(gu, F(x, y)), \\ D(fy, G(v, u)) + D(gv, F(y, x)) \end{array} \right\},$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where 0 < h < 1,

$$\leq h \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(fx, gu), \ d(fy, gv), \\ \frac{D(fx, F(x, y)) + D(gu, G(u, v))}{2}, \ \frac{D(fx, G(u, v)) + D(gu, F(x, y))}{2}, \\ \frac{D(fy, F(y, x)) + D(gv, G(v, u))}{2}, \end{array} \right\}.$$

If (i) of Theorem 3.1 holds, then

- (a) F and f have a coupled coincidence point,
- (b) G and g have a coupled coincidence point,
- (c) F and f have a common coupled fixed point, if f is F-weakly commuting at (x, y) and  $f^2x = fx$  and  $f^2y = fy$  for  $(x, y) \in C\{F, f\}$ ,
- (d) G and g have a common coupled fixed point, if g is G-weakly commuting at  $(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y})$  and  $g^2\widetilde{x} = g\widetilde{x}$  and  $g^2\widetilde{y} = g\widetilde{y}$  for  $(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) \in C\{G, g\}$ ,
- (e) F, G, f and g have common coupled fixed point provided that both (c) and (d) are true.

Examples 2.1-2.9 and Corollary 3.1 imply the following:

**Corollary 3.4.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Assume  $F, G: X \times X \to CB(X)$  and  $g: X \to X$  be mappings satisfying (i) of Corollary 3.1 and

(i) for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where 0 < h < 1,

$$H(F(x, y), G(u, v))$$

$$\leq h \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(gx, gu), D(gx, F(x, y)), D(gu, G(u, v)), \\ d(gy, gv), D(gy, F(y, x)), D(gv, G(v, u)), \\ \frac{D(gx, G(u, v)) + D(gu, F(x, y))}{2}, \frac{D(gy, G(v, u)) + D(gv, F(y, x))}{2} \end{array} \right\}$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  and  $\alpha + 2\beta < 1$ ,

$$H(F(x, y), G(u, v))$$

$$\leq \alpha \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(gx, gu), D(gx, F(x, y)), D(gu, G(u, v)), \\ d(gy, gv), D(gy, F(y, x)), D(gv, G(v, u)) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$+\beta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} D(gx, G(u, v)) + D(gu, F(x, y)), \\ D(gy, G(v, u)) + D(gv, F(y, x)) \end{array} \right\},$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $a, b, c \in [0, 1)$  and a + 2b + 2c < 1,

$$H(F(x, y), G(u, v)) \le a \max \{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv)\}$$

$$+b \max \left\{ D(gx, F(x, y)) + D(gu, G(u, v)), D(gy, F(y, x)) + D(gv, G(v, u)) \right\}$$

$$+c \max \left\{ D(gx, G(u, v)) + D(gu, F(x, y)), D(gy, G(v, u)) + D(gv, F(y, x)) \right\},$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $h \in [0, 1)$  and  $L \ge 0$ 

$$H(F(x, y), G(u, v)) \le h \max \{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv)\}$$

$$+L \max \left\{ D(gx, F(x, y)), D(gu, G(u, v)), D(gx, G(u, v)), D(gy, F(x, y)), D(gy, F(y, x)), D(gy, G(y, u)), D(gy, G(y, u)), D(gy, G(y, u)), D(gy, F(y, x)) \right\},$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $h \in [0, 1)$  and  $L \ge 0$ ,

$$H(F(x, y), G(u, v))$$

$$\leq h \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(gx, gu), D(gx, F(x, y)), D(gu, G(u, v)), \\ d(gy, gv), D(gy, F(y, x)), D(gv, G(v, u)), \\ \frac{D(gx, G(u, v)) + D(gu, F(x, y))}{2}, \frac{D(gy, G(v, u)) + D(gv, F(y, x))}{2} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$+L \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} D(gx, F(x, y)), D(gu, G(u, v)), \\ D(gx, G(u, v)), D(gu, F(x, y)), \\ D(gy, F(y, x)), D(gv, G(v, u)), \\ D(gy, G(v, u)), D(gv, F(y, x)) \end{array} \right\},$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $h \in [0, 1)$ ,

$$H(F(x, y), G(u, v)) \le h \max \{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv)\},\$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $b \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,

$$H(F(x, y), G(u, v)) \le b \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{D(gx, F(x, y)) + D(gu, G(u, v))}{2}, \\ \frac{D(gy, F(y, x)) + D(gv, G(v, u))}{2}, \end{array} \right\},\,$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $c \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,

$$H(F(x, y), G(u, v)) \le c \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} D(gx, G(u, v)) + D(gu, F(x, y)), \\ D(gy, G(v, u)) + D(gv, F(y, x)) \end{array} \right\},$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where 0 < h < 1,

$$\leq h \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), \\ \frac{D(gx, F(x, y)) + D(gu, G(u, v))}{2}, \frac{D(gx, G(u, v)) + D(gu, F(x, y))}{2}, \\ \frac{D(gy, F(y, x)) + D(gv, G(v, u))}{2}, \frac{D(gy, G(v, u)) + D(gv, F(y, x))}{2} \end{array} \right\}$$

If (iii) of Corollary 3.1 holds, then

- (a) F and g have a coupled coincidence point,
- (b) G and g have a coupled coincidence point,
- (c) F and g have a common coupled fixed point, if g is F-weakly commuting at (x, y) and  $g^2x = gx$  and  $g^2y = gy$  for  $(x, y) \in C(F, g)$ ,
- (d) G and g have a common coupled fixed point, if g is G-weakly commuting at  $(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y})$  and  $g^2\widetilde{x} = g\widetilde{x}$  and  $g^2\widetilde{y} = g\widetilde{y}$  for  $(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) \in C\{G, g\}$ ,
  - (e) F, G and g have common coupled fixed point provided that both (c) and (d) are true.

## Examples 2.1-2.9 and Corollary 3.2 imply the following:

**Corollary 3.5.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Assume  $F: X \times X \to CB(X)$  and  $g: X \to X$  be mappings satisfying (i) of Corollary 3.2 and

(i) for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where 0 < h < 1,

$$H(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \le h \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(gx, gu), D(gx, F(x, y)), D(gu, F(u, v)), \\ d(gy, gv), D(gy, F(y, x)), D(gv, F(v, u)), \\ \frac{D(gx, F(u, v)) + D(gu, F(x, y))}{2}, \frac{D(gy, F(v, u)) + D(gv, F(y, x))}{2} \end{array} \right\},$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  and  $\alpha + 2\beta < 1$ ,

$$H(F(x, y), F(u, v))$$

$$\leq \alpha \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(gx, gu), D(gx, F(x, y)), D(gu, F(u, v)), \\ d(gy, gv), D(gy, F(y, x)), D(gv, F(v, u)) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$+\beta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} D(gx, F(u, v)) + D(gu, F(x, y)), \\ D(gy, F(v, u)) + D(gv, F(y, x)) \end{array} \right\},$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $a, b, c \in [0, 1)$  and a + 2b + 2c < 1,

$$\begin{split} &H(F(x,\ y),\ F(u,\ v))\\ &\leq\ a\max\left\{d(gx,\ gu),\ d(gy,\ gv)\right\}\\ &+b\max\left\{\begin{array}{ll} D(gx,\ F(x,\ y)) + D(gu,\ F(u,\ v)),\\ D(gy,\ F(y,\ x)) + D(gv,\ F(v,\ u)) \end{array}\right\}\\ &+c\max\left\{\begin{array}{ll} D(gx,\ F(u,\ v)) + D(gu,\ F(x,\ y)),\\ D(gy,\ F(v,\ u)) + D(gv,\ F(y,\ x)) \end{array}\right\}, \end{split}$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $h \in [0, 1)$  and  $L \ge 0$ ,

$$H(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \le h \max \{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv)\}$$

$$+L \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} D(gx, F(x, y)), D(gu, F(u, v)), \\ D(gx, F(u, v)), D(gu, F(x, y)), \\ D(gy, F(y, x)), D(gv, F(v, u)), \\ D(gy, F(v, u)), D(gv, F(y, x)) \end{array} \right\},$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $h \in [0, 1)$  and  $L \ge 0$ ,

$$H(F(x, y), F(u, v))$$

$$\leq h \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(gx, gu), D(gx, F(x, y)), D(gu, F(u, v)), \\ d(gy, gv), D(gy, F(y, x)), D(gv, F(v, u)), \\ \frac{D(gx, F(u, v)) + D(gu, F(x, y))}{2}, \frac{D(gy, F(v, u)) + D(gv, F(y, x))}{2} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$+L \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} D(gx, F(x, y)), D(gu, F(u, v)), \\ D(gx, F(u, v)), D(gu, F(x, y)), \\ D(gy, F(y, x)), D(gv, F(v, u)), \\ D(gy, F(v, u)), D(gv, F(y, x)) \end{array} \right\},$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $h \in [0, 1)$ ,

$$H(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \le h \max \{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv)\},\$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $b \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,

$$H(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \le b \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{D(gx, F(x, y)) + D(gu, F(u, v))}{2}, \\ \frac{D(gy, F(y, x)) + D(gv, F(v, u))}{2}, \end{array} \right\},$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $c \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,

$$H(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \le c \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} D(gx, F(u, v)) + D(gu, F(x, y)), \\ D(gy, F(v, u)) + D(gv, F(y, x)) \end{array} \right\},$$

or for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where 0 < h < 1,

$$\leq h \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx, gu), \ d(gy, gv), \\ \frac{D(gx, F(x, y)) + D(gu, F(u, v))}{2}, \frac{D(gx, F(u, v)) + D(gu, F(x, y))}{2}, \\ \frac{D(gy, F(y, x)) + D(gv, F(v, u))}{2}, \frac{D(gy, F(v, u)) + D(gv, F(y, x))}{2} \end{array} \right\}$$

If (iii) of Corollary 3.1 holds, then

- (a) F and g have a coupled coincidence point,
- (b) F and g have a common coupled fixed point, if g is F-weakly commuting at (x, y) and  $g^2x = gx$  and  $g^2y = gy$  for  $(x, y) \in C(F, g)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Assume  $F, G: X \times X \to CB(X)$  and  $f, g: X \to X$  be mappings satisfying (i), (ii) of Theorem 3.1 and

- (i)  $\{F, f\}$  and  $\{G, g\}$  are w-compatible,
- (ii) f(X) or g(X) is a closed subset of X,

then F, G, f and g have a common coupled fixed point.

*Proof.* We can prove like Theorem 3.1 that  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{v_n\}$  are Cauchy sequences in X. Since X is complete, there exist  $u, v \in X$  satisfying (2). Suppose that f(X) is a closed subset of X, then there exist  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$u = fx \text{ and } v = fy. (4)$$

As in Theorem 3.1, we can prove that

$$fx \in F(x, y) \text{ and } fy \in F(y, x),$$
 (5)

that is, (x, y) is a coupled coincidence point of F and f. Hence  $(x, y) \in C\{F, f\}$ . From w-compatibility of  $\{F, f\}$ , we have  $fF(x, y) \subseteq F(fx, fy)$ , hence  $f^2x \in F(fx, fy)$  and

 $f^2y \in F(fy, fx)$ , that is,  $fu \in F(u, v)$  and  $fv \in F(v, u)$ . Now, by condition (ii) of Theorem 3.1, we get

$$\psi\left(\begin{array}{c} H(F(u,\ v),\ G(x_{2n-1},\ y_{2n-1})),\\ d(fu,\ gx_{2n-1}),\ D(fu,\ F(u,\ v)),\ D(gx_{2n-1},\ G(x_{2n-1},\ y_{2n-1})),\\ D(fu,\ G(x_{2n-1},\ y_{2n-1})),\ D(gx_{2n-1},\ F(u,\ v)),\\ d(fv,\ gy_{2n-1}),\ D(fv,\ F(v,\ u)),\ D(gy_{2n-1},\ G(y_{2n-1},\ x_{2n-1})),\\ D(fv,\ G(y_{2n-1},\ x_{2n-1})),\ D(gy_{2n-1},\ F(v,\ u)) \end{array}\right) \leq 0.$$

From  $(\psi_1)$  and triangle inequality, we have

$$\psi\left(\begin{array}{c}d(fu,\ u_{2n}),\\d(fu,\ u_{2n-1}),\ 0,\ d(u_{2n-1},\ u_{2n}),\ d(fu,\ u_{2n}),\ d(u_{2n-1},\ fu),\\d(fv,\ v_{2n-1}),\ 0,\ d(v_{2n-1},\ v_{2n}),\ d(fv,\ v_{2n}),\ d(v_{2n-1},\ fv)\end{array}\right)\leq 0.$$

Letting  $n \to \infty$  in the above inequality, we get

$$\psi\left(\begin{array}{c} d(fu,\ u),\\ d(fu,\ u),\ 0,\ 0,\ d(fu,\ u),\ d(fu,\ u),\\ d(fv,\ v),\ 0,\ 0,\ d(fv,\ v),\ d(fv,\ v) \end{array}\right) \leq 0.$$

Hence, by  $(\psi_3)$ , we have d(fu, u) = d(fv, v) = 0. Thus

$$u = fu \in F(u, v)$$
 and  $v = fv \in F(v, u)$ .

Since  $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$ , then there exist  $\widetilde{x}$ ,  $\widetilde{y} \in X$  such that  $g\widetilde{x} = u = fu \in F(u, v)$  and  $g\widetilde{y} = v = fv \in F(v, u)$ . Now, by condition (ii) of Theorem 3.1, we get

$$\psi\left(\begin{array}{c} H(F(u,\ v),\ G(\widetilde{x},\ \widetilde{y})),\\ d(fu,\ g\widetilde{x}),\ D(fu,\ F(u,\ v)),\ D(g\widetilde{x},\ G(\widetilde{x},\ \widetilde{y})),\\ D(fu,\ G(\widetilde{x},\ \widetilde{y})),\ D(g\widetilde{x},\ F(u,\ v)),\\ d(fv,\ g\widetilde{y}),\ D(fv,\ F(v,\ u)),\ D(g\widetilde{y},\ G(\widetilde{y},\ \widetilde{x})),\\ D(fv,\ G(\widetilde{y},\ \widetilde{x})),\ D(g\widetilde{y},\ F(v,\ u)) \end{array}\right) \leq 0,$$

and so we have

$$\psi\left(\begin{array}{c}D(u,\ G(\widetilde{x},\ \widetilde{y})),\\0,\ 0,\ D(u,\ G(\widetilde{x},\ \widetilde{y})),\ D(u,\ G(\widetilde{x},\ \widetilde{y})),\ 0\\0,\ 0,\ D(v,\ G(\widetilde{y},\ \widetilde{x})),\ D(v,\ G(\widetilde{y},\ \widetilde{x})),\ 0\end{array}\right)\leq 0.$$

Hence, by  $(\psi_2)$ , we have  $D(u, G(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y})) = D(v, G(\widetilde{y}, \widetilde{x})) = 0$ . Thus

$$u = g\widetilde{x} \in G(\widetilde{x}, \ \widetilde{y}) \text{ and } v = g\widetilde{y} \in G(\widetilde{y}, \ \widetilde{x}),$$

that is,  $(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y})$  is a coupled coincidence point of G and g. Hence  $(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) \in C\{G, g\}$ . From w-compatibility of  $\{G, g\}$ , we have  $gG(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) \subseteq G(g\widetilde{x}, g\widetilde{y})$ , hence  $g^2\widetilde{x} \in G(g\widetilde{x}, g\widetilde{y})$  and  $g^2\widetilde{y} \in G(g\widetilde{y}, g\widetilde{x})$ , that is,  $gu \in G(u, v)$  and  $gv \in G(v, u)$ . Again, by condition (ii) of Theorem 3.1, we get

we get 
$$\psi \begin{pmatrix} H(F(u, v), G(u, v)), \\ d(fu, gu), D(fu, F(u, v)), D(gu, G(u, v)), \\ D(fu, G(u, v)), D(gu, F(u, v)), \\ d(fv, gv), D(fv, F(v, u)), D(gv, G(v, u)), \\ D(fv, G(v, u)), D(gv, F(v, u)) \end{pmatrix} \leq 0,$$

and so by triangle inequality, we have

$$\psi \left( \begin{array}{c} d(u, gu), \\ d(u, gu), 0, 0, d(u, gu), d(u, gu), \\ d(v, gv), 0, 0, d(v, gv), d(v, gv) \end{array} \right) \leq 0.$$

Hence, by  $(\psi_3)$ , we have d(u, gu) = d(v, gv) = 0. Thus

 $u = gu \in G(u, v)$  and  $v = gv \in G(v, u)$ .

Therefore (u, v) is a common coupled fixed point of F, G, f and g. The proof is similar when g(X) is assumed to be a closed subset of X.

Put f = g in the Theorem 3.2, we get the following result:

**Corollary 3.6.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Assume  $F, G: X \times X \to CB(X)$  and  $g: X \to X$  be mappings satisfying (i), (ii) of Corollary 3.1 and

(i)  $\{F, g\}$  and  $\{G, g\}$  are w-compatible.

If (iii) of Corollary 3.1 holds, then F, G and g have a common coupled fixed point.

Put F = G and f = g in the Theorem 3.2, we get the following result:

**Corollary 3.7.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Assume  $F: X \times X \to CB(X)$  and  $g: X \to X$  be mappings satisfying (i), (ii) of Corollary 3.2 and (i)  $\{F, g\}$  is w-compatible.

If (iii) of Corollary 3.1 holds, then F and g have a common coupled fixed point.

Examples 2.1-2.9 and Theorem 3.2 imply the following:

**Corollary 3.8.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Assume  $F, G: X \times X \to CB(X)$  and  $f, g: X \to X$  be mappings satisfying (i) of Theorem 3.1, (i) of Corollary 3.3, (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.2, then F, G, f and g have a common coupled fixed point.

Examples 2.1-2.9 and Corollary 3.6 imply the following:

**Corollary 3.9.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Assume  $F, G: X \times X \to CB(X)$  and  $f, g: X \to X$  be mappings satisfying (i), (iii) of Corollary 3.1, (i) of Corollary 3.4, and (i) of Corollary 3.6, then F, G and g have a common coupled fixed point.

Examples 2.1-2.9 and Corollary 3.7 imply the following:

**Corollary 3.10.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Assume  $F, G: X \times X \to CB(X)$  and  $g: X \to X$  be mappings satisfying (iii) of Corollary 3.1, (i) of Corollary 3.2, (i) of Corollary 3.5 and (i) of Corollary 3.7, then F and g have a common coupled fixed point.

#### References

- [1] Abbas M., Ciric L., Damjanovic B. and Khan M. A., Coupled coincidence point and common fixed point theorems for hybrid pair of mappings, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012:4.
- [2] Abbas M. and Rhoades B. E., Common fixed point theorems for hybrid pairs of occasionally weakly compatible mappings satisfying generalized contractive condition of integral type, Fixed Point Theory Appl. Volume 2007, Article ID 54101.
- [3] Aliouche A. and Popa V., General common fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible hybrid mappings and applications, Novi Sad J. Math. 39 (1) (2009), pp. 89-109.
- [4] Altun I. and Simsek H., Some fixed point theorems on ordered metric spaces and application, Fixed Point Theory Appl. Volume 2010, Article ID 621469.
- [5] Berinde V., Coupled fixed point theorems for  $\varphi$ -contractive mixed monotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, Nonlinear Analysis 75 (2012), pp. 3218-3228.
- [6] Berinde V. and Vetro F., Common fixed points of mappings satisfying implicit contractive conditions, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012, 2012:105.
- [7] Bhaskar T. G. and Lakshmikantham V., Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, Nonlinear Anal. 65 (7) (2006), pp. 1379-1393.
- [8] Bouhadjera H. and Popa V., Some common fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings satisfying implicit relation and contractive modulus, Fasc. Math. Nr 51 2013.
- [9] Ciric L., Damjanovic B., Jleli M. and Samet B., Coupled fixed point theorems for generalized Mizoguchi-Takahashi contractions with applications, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012, 51.

- [10] Deshpande B. and Pathak R., Fixed point theorems for noncompatible discontinuous hybrid pairs of mappings on 2-metric spaces, Demonstr. Math. XLV (1) 2012, pp. 143-154.
- [11] Deshpande B. and Chouhan S., Common fixed point theorems for hybrid pairs of mappings with some weaker conditions in 2-metric spaces, Fasc. Math. 46 (2011), pp. 37-55.
- [12] Deshpande B. and Chouhan S., Fixed points for two hybrid pairs of mappings satisfying some weaker conditions on noncomplete metric spaces, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 35 (2011), pp. 851-858.
- [13] Deshpande B. and Handa A., Nonlinear mixed monotone-generalized contractions on partially ordered modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces with application to integral equations, Afr. Mat. Vol. 26 (3-4) (2015), pp. 317-343.
- [14] Deshpande B., Sharma S. and Handa A., Tripled fixed point theorem for hybrid pair of mappings under generalized nonlinear contraction, J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math. 21 (1) (2014), pp. 23-38.
- [15] Ding H. S., Li L. and Radenovic S., Coupled coincidence point theorems for generalized nonlinear contraction in partially ordered metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012, 96.
- [16] Jain M., Tas K., Kumar S. and Gupta N., Coupled common fixed point results involving a  $\varphi \psi$  contractive condition for mixed g-monotone operators in partially ordered metric spaces, J. Inequal. Appl.2012, 285.
- [17] Kubiaczyk I. and Deshpande B., Coincidence point for noncompatible multivalued mappings satisfying an implicit relation, Demonstr. Math. XXXIX (4) (2006), pp. 555-562.
- [18] Lakshmikantham V. and Ciric, L. Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 70 (12) (2009), pp. 4341-4349.
- [19] Long W., Shukla S. and Radenovic S., Some coupled coincidence and common fixed point results for hybrid pair of mappings in 0-complete partial metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013, 145.
- [20] Liu Y., Wu J. and Li Z., Common fixed points of single-valued and multivalued mappings, Int. J. Math. Sci. (19) (2005), pp. 3045–3055.
- [21] Luong N. V.and Thuan N. X., Coupled fixed points in partially ordered metric spaces and application, Nonlinear Anal. 74 (2011), pp. 983-992.
- [22] Nashine H. K., Common fixed point theorems under implicit relations on ordered metric spaces and application to integral equations, Bull. Math. Sci. (2013) 3, pp. 183–204.
- [23] Popa V., A general fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings in compact metric spaces, Turk. J. Math. 25 (2001), pp. 465-474.
- [24] Popa V. and Patriciu A. M., A general fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying an  $\varphi$ -implicit relation in complete G-metric spaces, Gazi University Journal of Science 25 (2) (2012), pp. 403-408.
- [25] Rao K. P. R., Ravi Babu G. and Raju V. C. C., A Common fixed point theorem for two pairs of occasionally weakly semi-compatible hybrid mappings under an implicit relation, Mathematical Sciences 1 (3) (2007), pp. 01-06.
- [26] Rao K. P. R. and Rao K. R. K., A common fixed point theorem for two hybrid pairs of mappings in b-metric spaces, International Journal of Analysis Volume 2013, Article ID 404838.
- [27] Samet B., Fixed point results for implicit contractions on spaces with two metric, J. Inequal. Appl. 2014, 84.
- [28] Samet B., Karapinar E., Aydi H. and Rajic V. C., Discussion on some coupled fixed point theorems, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013, 50.
- [29] Sedghi S., Altun I. and Shobe N., A fixed point theorem for multi-mappings satisfying an implicit relation on metric spaces, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. 2 (2008), pp. 189–196.
- [30] Sharma S. and Deshpande B., Compatible multivalued mappings satisfying an implicit relation, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 30 (2006), pp. 535-540.
- [31] Sharma S. and Deshpande B., On compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation in common fixed point consideration, Tamkang J. Math. 33 (3) (2002), pp. 245-252.
- [32] Sharma S., Deshpande B. and Pathak R., Common fixed point theorems for hybrid pairs of mappings with some weaker conditions, Fasc. Math. 39 (2008), pp. 71-84.
- [33] Sintunavarat W., Kumam P. and Cho Y. J., Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions without mixed monotone property, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012, 170.
- [34] Turkoglu D. and Altun I., Fixed point theorem for multivalued mappings satisfying an implicit relation, Tamkang J. Math. 39 (3) (2008), pp. 247-253.

**Bhavana Deshpande** for the photography and short autobiography, see TWMS J. Appl. Eng. Math. V.5, No.1, 2015.



Amrish Handa received his M.Sc. in Mathematics degree from Vikram University of Ujjain, Madhya Pradesh, India in 2005. He secured first position in the whole university. Thenafter he cleared National Eligibility Test in Mathematical Sciences organized by Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, India in 2008. He worked as a Junior Research Fellow on Research Project entitled "A Study on Fixed Point Theory and its Applications." sanctioned by Madhya Pradesh Council of Science and Technology with Principal investigator Dr. Bhavana Deshpande from 2012 to 2014. His research area is Fixed point theory and its applications. His 34 research papers

are published and 14 research papers are accepted for publication in reputed international journals



Deepmala is Visiting Scientist at SQC and OR Unit at Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata, West Bengal, India. Her research interests are in the areas of pure and applied mathematics including Optimization, Mathematical Programming, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Integral equations, Operator theory, Approximation Theory, Summability analysis etc. She is member of several scientific committees, advisory boards as well as member of editorial board of a number of scientific journals, like as Maejo International Journal of Science and Technology etc. Dr. Deepmala has more than 50 research papers to her credit published in several journals of repute as well as

key note speaker in International conferences. Citations of her research contributions can be found in many books and monographs, PhD thesis, and scientific journal articles, much too numerous to be recorded here.